



ON A SINGULARLY PERTURBED, COUPLED ELLIPTIC-ELLIPTIC PROBLEM

Luminița Barbu and Emil Cosma

To Professor Silviu Sburlan, at his 60' anniversary

Abstract

The behavior of the solution of the below problem (E_ϵ) , (BC_ϵ) , (TC_ϵ) is studied when the small parameter ϵ tends to 0.

1. Introduction.

We consider the following coupled boundary value problem of elliptic-elliptic type, denoted by P_ϵ :

$$\begin{cases} -\epsilon u''(x) + \alpha(x)u'(x) + \beta(x)u(x) = f(x), & x \in (a, b), \\ -(\mu(x)v'(x))' + \alpha(x)v'(x) + \beta(x)v(x) = g(x), & x \in (b, c), \end{cases} \quad (E_\epsilon)$$

with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$u(a) = v(c) = 0 \quad (BC_\epsilon)$$

and transmission conditions at $x = b$

$$u(b) = v(b), \quad \epsilon u'(b) = (\mu v')(b). \quad (TC_\epsilon)$$

The transmission conditions at $x = b$ express the continuity of the solution and of the flux.

The following assumptions will be required in the following:

(A_1) $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b < c$, $\epsilon > 0$ is a small parameter;

Key Words: Coupled boundary value problem, transmission conditions, singularly perturbed, asymptotic expansion.

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 34B05, 34E15.

$$(A_2) \alpha \in H^1(a, c), \beta \in L^\infty(a, c), \mu \in H^1(a, c);$$

$$(A_3) \alpha(x) \leq \alpha_0 < 0 \text{ in } [a, c], \mu(x) \geq \mu_0 > 0 \text{ in } [b, c], \beta - \frac{\alpha'}{2} \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } (a, c);$$

$$(A_4) f \in L^2(a, b), g \in L^2(b, c).$$

The aim of this paper is to investigate the problem P_ϵ for ϵ going to zero from the view point of singular perturbation theory. This is a singularly perturbed problem with respect to the norm of uniform convergence and the boundary layer is the point $x = a$. To have an idea about this matter, let us consider the particular case when α, β, μ are constant functions. If the solution (u, v) of (P_ϵ) converges in $C[a, b] \times C[b, c]$ to (U, V) , then it can easily be seen that (U, V) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \alpha U' + \beta U = f, & \text{in } (a, b), \\ -\mu V'' + \alpha V' + \beta V = g, & \text{in } (b, c), \\ U(a) = 0, U(b) = V(b), \\ V'(b) = 0, V(c) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The condition $U(a) = 0$ is not satisfied in general (this exceeds the number of conditions allowed). This fact is not acceptable from a physical point of view. Actually we shall see that indeed, in the point $x = a$, the solution (u, v) has a singular behaviour as ϵ goes to zero. The corresponding unperturbed (reduced) problem, denoted by P_0 , is the following [6]:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(x)U'(x) + \beta(x)U(x) = f(x), & x \in (a, b) \\ -(\mu(x)V'(x))' + \alpha(x)V'(x) + \beta(x)V(x) = g(x), & x \in (b, c), \end{cases} \quad (E_0)$$

$$V(c) = 0, \quad (BC_0)$$

$$\begin{cases} U(b) = V(b), \\ V'(b) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (TC_0)$$

This problem will be reobtained below by using the Vishik-Lusternik method and it is the same as that derived in [6] by using a different way.

In [1], [2] we studied a similar transmission of type elliptic-elliptic but we considered that $\alpha \geq \alpha_0 > 0$. Here we are assuming $\alpha < 0$, hence the asymptotic behavior is different from the case $\alpha > 0$: actually we cannot have a convergence of $u(a)$ to $U(a)$, in general. A comment is needed about the conditions $(TC_0), (BC_0)$. Note that the problem P_0 has no conditions at all for $x = a$ and needs two conditions at b , in this case, when $\alpha < 0$.

In Section 2 we shall derive a formal zero-th order asymptotic expansion for the solution (u, v) of the problem (P_ϵ) . The interface point $x = a$ is a boundary layer and the expansion of u contains a corresponding corrector (boundary layer function).

In Section 3 we shall investigate the existence and uniqueness of the solutions to the problems (P_ϵ) and P_0 .

Finally, Section 4 is devoted to obtaining some estimates for the remainder terms of the expansion established in Section 2 with respect to the uniform convergence topology.

2. A formal asymptotic expansion for the solution of P_ϵ

The classical perturbation theory (see [7] for details) can be adapted to our specific singular perturbation problem. Following this theory, we are going to derive formally an expansion of the solution (u, v) of (P_ϵ) of the form:

$$\begin{cases} u(x) = U(x) + \theta_1(\zeta) + \rho_{1\epsilon}(x), & x \in [a, b], \\ v(x) = V(x) + \theta_2(\zeta) + \rho_{2\epsilon}(x), & x \in [b, c], \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\zeta := \epsilon^{-1}(x - a)$ is the fast variable; (U, V) is the zero-th order term of the regular series; θ_1, θ_2 are boundary layer functions (correctors); $\rho_{1\epsilon}, \rho_{2\epsilon}$ denote the remainder terms of zero-th order.

We substitute formally in (E_ϵ) (u, v) given by (2.1) and then we identify the coefficients of ϵ^k ($k = -1, 0$), separately those depending on x from those depending on ζ . So, we get

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(x)U'(x) + \beta(x)U(x) = f(x), & a < x < b, \\ -(\mu(x)V'(x))' + \alpha(x)V'(x) + \beta(x)V(x) = g(x), & b < x < c. \end{cases} \quad (E_0)$$

For $\theta_1 = \theta_1(\zeta)$ we derive the equation

$$\theta_1''(\zeta) - \alpha(a)\theta_1'(\zeta) = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Eq. (2.2) and the fact that θ_1 is a boundary layer function (in particular, $\theta_1(\zeta) \rightarrow 0$, as $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$) implies that $\theta_1(\zeta) = ke^{\alpha(a)\zeta}$, where k is a constant which will be determined from (BC_ϵ) . Also, we can deduce that $\theta_2 = 0$.

For the remainder terms we have the equations

$$\begin{cases} -\epsilon \rho_{1\epsilon}''(x) + \alpha(x)\rho_{1\epsilon}'(x) + \beta(x)\rho_{1\epsilon}(x) = \\ = \epsilon U''(x) + \epsilon^{-1}(\alpha(x) - \alpha(a))\theta_1'(\zeta(x)) - \beta(x)\theta_1(\zeta(x)), & a < x < b, \\ -((\mu\rho_{2\epsilon}')'(x))' + \alpha(x)\rho_{2\epsilon}'(x) + \beta(x)\rho_{2\epsilon}(x) = 0, & b < x < c, \end{cases} \quad (ER)$$

where $\zeta(x) = (x - a)/\epsilon$.

From (BC_ϵ) , we can derive

$$k = -U(a), \text{ hence } \theta_1(\xi) = -U(a)e^{\alpha(a)\zeta} \quad (2.3)$$

$$V(c) = 0, \quad (BC_0)$$

$$\begin{cases} \rho_{1\epsilon}(a) = 0, \\ \rho_{2\epsilon}(c) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (BCR)$$

By replacing (2.1) into $(TC)_\epsilon$, we can see that U and V satisfy the transmission conditions

$$\begin{cases} U(b) = V(b), \\ V'(b) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (TC_0)$$

and for the remainder terms we have

$$\begin{cases} \rho_{1\epsilon}(b) = \rho_{2\epsilon}(b) + U(a)e^{\alpha(a)\theta_1(\zeta(b))}, \\ \epsilon\rho'_{1\epsilon}(b) = -\epsilon U'(b) + U(a)\alpha(a)e^{\alpha(a)\theta_1(\zeta(b))} + (\mu\rho'_{2\epsilon})(b). \end{cases} \quad (TCR)$$

Summarizing, the reduced problem, P_0 , is $(E_0) - (BC_0) - (TC_0)$, while the problem satisfied by the remainder terms is $(ER) - (BCR) - (TCR)$. As we shall see later on, the last problem is satisfied by $(\rho_{1\epsilon}, \rho_{2\epsilon})$ in a generalized sense.

3. Existence and regularity for the problems (P_ϵ) and P_0 .

For the problem (P_ϵ) we have the following result, whose proof is essentially known (see [1]):

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that $(A_1) - (A_4)$ are satisfied. Then, the problem P_ϵ admits a unique solution $(u, v) \in H^2(a, b) \times H^2(b, c)$ satisfying (E_ϵ) a.e. in (a, b) and in (b, c) , respectively, as well as (BC_ϵ) and (TC_ϵ) .*

In the following, we are going to investigate the reduced problem (P_0) . In fact, we can split it in two separate problems, with the unknowns U and V , respectively. Clearly, V is a solution of $(E_0)_2$, with the boundary conditions

$$V'(b) = 0, V(c) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

By the Lax-Milgram lemma, there exists a unique solution $V \in H^2(b, c)$ of this problem. Obviously, Eq. $(E_0)_1$, with $U(b) = V(b)$, has a unique solution $U \in H^1(a, b)$. Therefore, we have the following result

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that $(A_1) - (A_4)$ are satisfied. Then, the problem P_0 has a unique solution $U \in H^1(a, b)$, $V \in H^2(a, c)$, which satisfies (E_0) a.e. in (a, b) and (b, c) , respectively, as well as (BC_0) and (TC_0) .*

If we denote

$$\tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon}(x) := \rho_{1\epsilon}(x) + A_\epsilon x + B_\epsilon, \quad A_\epsilon := (b-a)\chi_\epsilon, \quad B_\epsilon := -aA_\epsilon, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\chi_\epsilon = -U(a)e^{\alpha(a)\theta_1(\zeta(b))}$ then we have $\tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon}(a)=0$, $\tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon}(b) = \rho_{2\epsilon}(b)$ and taking into account $(P_\epsilon), (P_0)$, we can see that

$$\rho_\epsilon := \begin{cases} \tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon} & \text{in } [a, b] \\ \rho_{2\epsilon} & \text{in } (b, c] \end{cases},$$

satisfies $\rho_\epsilon \in H_0^1(a, c)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon \int_a^b \tilde{\rho}'_{1\epsilon} \varphi' dx + \int_b^c \mu \rho'_{2\epsilon} \varphi' dx + \int_a^b \alpha \tilde{\rho}'_{1\epsilon} \varphi dx + \int_b^c \alpha \rho'_{2\epsilon} \varphi dx \\ &= -\epsilon \int_a^b U' \varphi' dx - \int_a^c h_\epsilon \varphi dx + \epsilon A_\epsilon \varphi(b) + \alpha(a) \varphi(b) \chi_\epsilon, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(a, c), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$h_\epsilon(x) := \begin{cases} \beta(x)\theta_1(\zeta(x)) + \alpha(a)(\alpha(x) - \alpha(a))\theta_1(\zeta(x)) + \\ + [A_\epsilon \alpha(x) + \beta(x)(A_\epsilon x + B_\epsilon)], & \text{in } (a, b), \\ 0, & \text{in } (b, c). \end{cases}$$

Indeed, by (E_ϵ) and (E_0) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \epsilon u' \varphi' dx + \int_a^b \alpha u' \varphi dx + \int_a^b \beta u \varphi dx + \int_b^c (\mu v') \varphi' dx + \\ & + \int_b^c \alpha v' \varphi dx + \int_b^c \beta v \varphi dx = \int_a^b f \varphi dx + \int_b^c g \varphi dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(a, c), \\ & \int_a^b \alpha U' \varphi dx + \int_a^b \beta U \varphi dx = \int_a^b f \varphi dx, \\ & \int_b^c (\mu V') \varphi' dx + \int_b^c \alpha V' \varphi dx + \int_b^c \beta V \varphi dx - \mu V' \varphi|_b^c = \int_b^c g \varphi dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(a, c). \end{aligned}$$

Now, subtracting the last two equalities from the first one, we obtain that

$$\int_a^b \epsilon \left(U' + \frac{d}{dx} \theta_1(\zeta(x)) + \varrho'_{1\epsilon} \right) \varphi' dx + \int_a^b \alpha \left(\frac{d}{dx} \theta_1(\zeta(x)) + \rho'_{1\epsilon} \right) \varphi dx +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_a^b \beta(\theta_1(\zeta(x)) + \rho_{1\epsilon}) \varphi dx + \\
& + \int_b^c (\mu \rho'_{2\epsilon}) \varphi' dx + \int_b^c \alpha \rho'_{2\epsilon} \varphi dx + \int_b^c \beta \rho_{2\epsilon} \varphi dx - (\mu V')(b) \varphi(b) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

From

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \int_a^b \varphi' \frac{d}{dx} \theta_1(\zeta(x)) dx & = \epsilon \varphi(x) \frac{d}{dx} \theta_1(\zeta(x)) \Big|_a^b - \epsilon \int_a^b \varphi \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \theta_1(\zeta) dx = \\
& = \varphi(b) \chi_\epsilon \alpha(a) - \epsilon^{-1} \alpha(a)^2 \int_a^b \varphi \theta_1(\zeta(x)) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

we can see that,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_a^b \epsilon (\tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon}' - A_\epsilon \varphi') dx + \int_a^b \alpha (\tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon}' - A_\epsilon - \epsilon^{-1} \alpha(a) \theta_1(\zeta)) \varphi dx + \\
& + \int_a^b \beta (\theta_1(\xi) + \tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon} - A_\epsilon x - B_\epsilon) \varphi dx + \\
& + \int_b^c \mu \rho'_{2\epsilon} \varphi' dx + \int_b^c \alpha \rho'_{2\epsilon} \varphi dx + \int_b^c \beta \rho_{2\epsilon} \varphi dx - \\
& - \epsilon^{-1} \alpha(a)^2 \int_a^b \varphi \theta_1(\zeta) dx = -\epsilon \int_a^b U' \varphi' dx + \alpha(a) \varphi(b) \chi_\epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, we obtain (3.3).

An elementary computation shows that, if $\rho_{1\epsilon}$, $\rho_{2\epsilon}$ are smooth functions, then they satisfy problem $(ER) - (BCR) - (TCR)$ in a classical sense.

4. Estimates for the remainder terms

The main result of this section is

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that (A_1) – (A_4) are satisfied and α is Lipschitzian in $[a, b]$ (i.e., $\exists L > 0$, such that $|\alpha(x) - \alpha(y)| \leq L|x - y|$, $\forall x, y \in [a, b]$). Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$, the problem (P_ϵ) has a unique solution $(u, v) \in H^2(a, b) \times H^2(b, c)$ of the form (2.1), where $\text{col}(U, V) \in H^1(a, b) \times H^2(b, c)$ is the solution of (P_0) , θ_1 is given by (2.3), $\theta_2 = 0$ and $(\rho_{1\epsilon}, \rho_{2\epsilon}) \in H^1(a, b) \times H^2(b, c)$.*

In addition, we have the estimates $\|\rho_{1\epsilon}\|_{C[a,b]} = O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$, $\|\rho_{2\epsilon}\|_{C[b,c]} = O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$.

Proof. By Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, $(\rho_{1\epsilon}, \rho_{2\epsilon}) \in H^1(a, b) \times H^2(b, c)$. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that $\beta - \alpha'/2 \geq \gamma_0 > 0$ a.e. in (a, c) . If we choose in (3.3) $\varphi = \rho_\epsilon \in H_0^1(a, c)$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon \int_a^b (\rho'_{1\epsilon})^2 dx + \int_b^c \mu (\rho'_{2\epsilon})^2 dx + \int_a^b (\beta - \alpha'/2) \tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon}^2 dx + \\ & + \int_b^c (\beta - \alpha'/2) \rho_{2\epsilon}^2 dx = -\epsilon \int_a^b U' \tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon}' dx - \int_a^b h_\epsilon \tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon} dx + \gamma_\epsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $\gamma_\epsilon = \alpha(a)\varphi(b) \tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon}(b) + \epsilon A_\epsilon \tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon}(b)$. In the case $\beta - \alpha'/2 \geq 0$ a.e. in (a, c) , we choose in (3.3)

$$\varphi(x) := \begin{cases} e^{-x} \tilde{r}_{1\epsilon}(x) & \text{in } [a, b] \\ e^{-b} r_{2\epsilon}(x) & \text{in } (b, c] \end{cases}$$

and we can use a slight modification of our reasoning below. Denote by $\|\cdot\|_1$, $\|\cdot\|_2$ the norms of $L^2(a, b)$, $L^2(b, c)$, respectively. As $\beta - \alpha'/2 \geq \gamma_0 > 0$ a.e. in (a, c) and $\mu \geq \mu_0 > 0$ in $[b, c]$, it follows from (4.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon \|\tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon}'\|_1^2 + \mu_0 \|\rho'_{2\epsilon}\|_2^2 + \gamma_0 (\|\tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon}\|_1^2 + \|\rho_{2\epsilon}\|_2^2) \leq \\ & \leq (1/2) \left[\epsilon \|U'\|_1^2 + \epsilon \|\tilde{\rho}'_{1\epsilon}\|_1^2 + \gamma_0^{-1} \|h_\epsilon\|_1^2 + \gamma_0 \|\tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon}\|_1^2 \right] + |\gamma_\epsilon|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

In the following, we can show that $\|h_\epsilon\|_1 = O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ and $\gamma(\epsilon) = O(\epsilon^k)$, $\forall k \geq 0$. From equations (E_ϵ) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon \|u'\|_1^2 + \mu_0 \|v'\|_2^2 + \gamma_0 (\|u\|_1^2 + \|v\|_2^2) \leq \\ & \leq (1/2) \left[\gamma_0^{-1} (\|f\|_1^2 + \|g\|_2^2) + \gamma_0 (\|u\|_1^2 + \|v\|_2^2) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

This implies that $\|u\|_1=O(1)$, $\|v\|_2=O(1)$ and $\|v'\|_2=O(1)$, $\epsilon \|u'\|_2^2=O(1)$. Since $v(c)=0$ and $H^1(b, c) \subset C[b, c]$, with a compact injection, we get $\|v\|_{C[b, c]}=O(1)$. For γ_ϵ , we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^{-k} \gamma_\epsilon = 0, \text{ for every } k \geq 0,$$

and therefore $\gamma_\epsilon = O(\epsilon^k)$. Now, as $\beta \in L^\infty(a, c)$, $|A_\epsilon|, |B_\epsilon| = O(\epsilon^k)$, $k \geq 1$, α is Lipschitzian in $[a, b]$, one gets by an easy computation that $\|h_\epsilon\|_1^2 = O(\epsilon)$.

Now, from (4.2), it follows

$$\|\tilde{\rho}'_{1\epsilon}\|_1 = O(1), \quad \|\tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon}\|_1 = O(\sqrt{\epsilon}), \quad \|\rho'_{2\epsilon}\|_2 = O(\sqrt{\epsilon}), \quad \|\rho_{2\epsilon}\|_2 = O(\sqrt{\epsilon}),$$

therefore

$$\|\rho_{1\epsilon}\|_1 \leq \|\tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon}\|_1 + \|(A_\epsilon x + B_\epsilon)\omega(\epsilon)\|_1 = O(\sqrt{\epsilon}). \quad (4.4)$$

From

$$\rho_{2\epsilon}^2(x) = (-1/2) \int_x^c \rho_{2\epsilon}'(s) \rho_{2\epsilon}(s) ds \leq 2 \|\rho_{2\epsilon}\|_2 \|\rho_{2\epsilon}\|_2,$$

one gets

$$\|\rho_{2\epsilon}\|_{C[b, c]} = O(\sqrt{\epsilon}). \quad (4.5)$$

In that which follows, we shall prove that $\|\rho_{1\epsilon}\|_{C[b, c]}=O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$. To do that, we integrate $(E_\epsilon)_1$ on $[y, b]$, $y \in [a, b]$:

$$\epsilon(u'(y) - u'(b)) + \int_y^b \alpha(s)u'(s)ds + \int_y^b \beta(s)u(s)ds = \int_y^b f(s)ds. \quad (4.6)$$

By replacing

$$u_\epsilon(x) = U(x) + \theta_1(\zeta(x)) + \rho_{1\epsilon}(x),$$

in (4.6), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon \rho'_{1\epsilon}(y) + \int_y^b \alpha(s) \rho'_{1\epsilon}(s) ds + \int_y^b \beta(s) \rho_{1\epsilon}(s) ds = \\ & = \epsilon \left[U'(y) + \frac{d}{dy} \theta_1(\zeta(y)) \right] - \\ & - \int_y^b \left[\alpha(s) \left(\frac{d}{ds} \theta_1(\zeta(s)) \right) + \beta(s) \left(\theta_1(\zeta(s)) \right) \right] ds + \epsilon u'(b) \text{ a.e. in } (a, x). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Now we multiply the above inequality by $\rho'_{1\epsilon}(y)$ and then integrate on $[a, x]$.

Finally, we obtain that

$$(\alpha(x)/2) \rho_{1\epsilon}^2(x) + \tilde{b}(x)\rho_{1\epsilon}(x) + \tilde{c}(x) = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b}(x) &:= - \int_x^b (\alpha'(y) - \beta(y)) (\rho_{1\epsilon}(y)) dy - \epsilon u'(b) - \alpha(b)\theta_1(\zeta(b) + \theta_1(\zeta(y))), \\ \tilde{c}(x) &:= - \int_a^x (\alpha'/2 - \beta)(y) \tilde{\rho}_{1\epsilon}^2(y) dy - \\ &\quad - \int_a^x \rho'_{1\epsilon}(y) \left[\epsilon U'(y) - (\alpha(y) - \alpha(a))\theta_1(\zeta(y)) \right] dy - \\ &\quad - \int_a^x \left[(\beta(y) - \alpha'(y))\theta_1(\zeta(y))\rho_{1\epsilon}(y) dy - \epsilon \int_a^x (\rho'_{1\epsilon})^2(y) dy \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.4), one obtains that $\tilde{c}(x) = O(\epsilon)$. Integrating (4.6) on $[a, b]$, one obtains $\epsilon u'(b) = O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$, hence $\tilde{b}(x) = O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$.

Finally, from

$$(1/2)\alpha(x)\rho_{1\epsilon}^2(x) + O(\sqrt{\epsilon})\rho_{1\epsilon}(x) + O(\epsilon) = 0 \text{ a.e. in } (a, b),$$

$$\alpha(x)/2 \leq \alpha_0/2, < 0 \text{ in } [a, b],$$

we can deduce that $|\rho_{1\epsilon}(x)| \leq C\sqrt{\epsilon}$, $x \in [a, b]$, so we have $\|r_{1\epsilon}\|_{C[a,b]} = O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$.

References

- [1] L. Barbu and Gh. Morosanu, On a class of singularly perturbed, coupled boundary value problems, *Math. Sci. Res. Hot-Line* **4**(6) (2000), 25-37.
- [2] L. Barbu and Gh. Moroşanu, Asymptotic Analysis of Some Boundary Value Problems with Singular Perturbations, *Editura Academiei, Bucharest*, 2000 (in Romanian).
- [3] C.A. Coclici, Gh. Moroşanu and W.L. Wendland, On the viscous-viscous and the viscous-inviscid interactions in Computational Fluid Dynamics, *Comput. Visualization Sci.* **2** (1999), 95-105.
- [4] C.A. Coclici, Gh. Moroşanu and W.L. Wendland, One-dimensional singularly perturbed coupled boundary value problems, *Math. Sci. Res. Hot-Line* **3**(10) (1999), 1-21.
- [5] C.A. Coclici, Gh. Moroşanu and W.L. Wendland, The coupling of hyperbolic and elliptic boundary value problems with variable coefficients, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **23** (2000), 401-440.

- [6] F. Gastaldi and A. Quarteroni, On the coupling of hyperbolic and parabolic systems: analytical and numerical approach, *Appl. Numer. Math.* **6** (1990), 3-31.
- [7] A.B. Vasilieva, V.F. Butuzov and I.V. Kalashev, *The Boundary Function Method for Singular Perturbation Problems*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1995.

"Ovidius" University of Constantza,
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics,
Mamaia Bd., 124,
8700 Constantza,
Romania