



SOME EQUATIONS IN ALGEBRAS OBTAINED BY THE CAYLEY-DICKSON PROCESS

Cristina Flaut

Dedicated to Professor Mirela Ștefănescu on the occasion of her 60th birthday

Abstract

In this paper we try to solve three fundamental equations $ax = xb$, $ax = \bar{x}b$ and $x^2 = a$, in a division algebra, A over K , obtained with the Cayley-Dickson process (see [Br; 67]), in the case when K is an arbitrary field of characteristic $\neq 2$.

§1. INTRODUCTION

Unless otherwise indicated, K denotes a commutative field with characteristic $\neq 2$ and A denotes a non-associative algebra over K .

Definition 1.1. The algebra A is called **alternative** if $x^2y = x(xy)$ and $yx^2 = (yx)x$, $\forall x, y \in A$.

Let A be an alternative algebra and $x, y, z \in A$. We define the **associator** of elements x, y, z by the equality: $(x, y, z) := (xy)z - x(yz)$. This is linear in each argument and satisfies the identities:

- i) $(x, y, z) = -(y, x, z) = -(x, z, y) = (z, x, y)$;
- ii) $(x, x, y) = 0$;
- ii) $(x, y, a) = 0, a \in K$.

Definition 1.2. An algebra A is called **power-associative**, if each element of A generates an associative subalgebra.

Received: June, 2001

In a power-associative algebra, the power a^n ($n \geq 1$) of an element a is defined in a unique way and we have : $(a^n)^m = a^{nm}$, $a^n a^m = a^{n+m}$.

Definition 1.3. An algebra A is called a **composition algebra** if there exists a quadratic form $n : A \rightarrow K$ such that $n(xy) = n(x)n(y)$, for any $x, y \in A$ and the bilinear associated form $f : A \times A \rightarrow K$, $f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(n(x+y) - n(x) - n(y))$ is non-degenerate. The quadratic form n is also called **the norm** on A .

A composition algebra with unity is also called a **Hurwitz algebra**. The non-zero finite-dimensional composition algebras over fields with characteristic different from 2 can have only the dimensions 1, 2, 4 or 8. [El, Pe-I; 99]

Definition 1.4. An algebra A is called **flexible** if $x(yx) = (xy)x$, for all $x, y \in A$.

Definition 1.5. The vector space morphism $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ is called an **involution of the algebra** A if $\phi(\phi(x)) = x$ and $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$, for all $x, y \in A$.

Let A be an arbitrary finite-dimensional algebra with unity 1. We consider the involution of the algebra A , $\phi : A \rightarrow A$, $\phi(a) = \bar{a}$, where $a + \bar{a}$ and $a\bar{a} \in K \cdot 1$, for all $a \in A$. Let $\alpha \in K$ be a fixed non-zero element. On the vector space $A \oplus A$ we define the following operation of multiplication

$$(a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2) = (a_1b_1 - \alpha\bar{b}_2a_2, a_2\bar{b}_1 + b_2a_1).$$

The resulting algebra is denoted by (A, α) and is called the **algebra derived from the algebra** A by the **Cayley-Dickson process**. We can easily prove that (A, α) is isomorphic with a subalgebra of algebra (A, α) and $\dim(A, \alpha) = 2 \dim A$. We denote $v = (0, 1)$ and we get $v^2 = -\alpha \cdot 1$, where $\mathbf{1} = (0, 1)$, therefore $(A, \alpha) = A \oplus Av$.

Let $x = a_1 + a_2v \in (A, \alpha)$, and denote $\bar{x} = \bar{a}_1 - a_2v$. Then $x + \bar{x} = a_1 + \bar{a}_1 \in K \cdot 1$, $x\bar{x} = a_1\bar{a}_1 + \alpha a_2\bar{a}_2 \in K \cdot 1$, therefore the mapping

$\psi : (A, \alpha) \rightarrow (A, \alpha)$, $\psi(x) = \bar{x}$, is an involution of the algebra (A, α) extending the given involution ϕ .

For $x \in A$ $t(x) = x + \bar{x} \in K$ and $n(x) = x\bar{x} \in K$ are called the *trace* and the *norm* of the element $x \in A$.

If $z \in (A, \alpha)$, $z = x + yv$, then $z + \bar{z} = t(z) \cdot 1$ and $z\bar{z} = \bar{z}z = n(z) \cdot 1$, where $t(z) = t(x)$ and $n(z) = n(x) + \alpha n(y)$. Therefore $(z + \bar{z})z = z^2 + \bar{z}z = z^2 + n(z)$ and $z^2 - t(z)z + n(z) = 0, \forall z \in (A, \alpha)$ that is each algebra which is obtained by the Cayley-Dickson process is a **quadratic algebra**. In [Sc; 54],

it appears that such an algebras is power- associative flexible and satisfies the identities: $t(xy) = t(yx)$, $t((xy)z) = t(x(yz))$, $\forall x, y, z \in (A, \alpha)$.

The algebra (A, α) is a Hurwitz algebra if and only if it is alternative and (A, α) is alternative if and only if A is an associative algebra.[Ko, Sh; 95].

Proposition 1.6. *Let (A, α) be an algebra obtained by the Cayley-Dickson process.*

- i) *If A is an alternative algebra, then $(xy)\bar{x} = x(y\bar{x}) = xy\bar{x}$, $\forall x, y \in (A, \alpha)$.*
- ii) *If $n(x) \neq 0$, then there exists $x^{-1} = \frac{\bar{x}}{n(x)}$, for all $x \in (A, \alpha)$. If (A, α) is an alternative algebra, then $(xy)x^{-1} = x(yx^{-1}) = xyx^{-1}$, for all $x, y \in (A, \alpha)$.*

Proof. The following identities are true : $(x, y, x) = 0$ and $(x, y, \pi) = 0$, $\pi \in K$. Then $(x, y, \bar{x}) + (x, y, x) = (x, y, t(x)) = 0$, therefore $(x, y, \bar{x}) = 0$. \square

The Cayley-Dickson process can be applied to each Hurwitz algebra. If $A = K$, this process leads to the following Hurwitz algebras over K :

- 1) The field K of characteristic $\neq 2$.
- 2) $\mathbb{C}(\alpha) = (K, \alpha)$, $\alpha \neq 0$. If the polynomial $X^2 + \alpha$ is irreducible over K , then $\mathbb{C}(\alpha)$ is a field. Otherwise $\mathbb{C}(\alpha) = K \oplus K$.
- 3) $\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta) = (\mathbb{C}(\alpha), \beta)$, $\beta \neq 0$, the algebra of the generalized quaternions, which is associative but it is not commutative.
- 4) $\mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta), \gamma)$, $\gamma \neq 0$, the algebra of the generalized octonions (also a Cayley-Dickson algebra). The algebra $\mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is non-associative, therefore the process of obtaining Hurwitz algebras ends here. [Ko, Sh; 95]

Definition 1.7. Let A be an arbitrary algebra over the field K . It is a **division algebra** if $A \neq 0$ and the equations $ax=b$, $ya=b$, for every $a, b \in A$, $a \neq 0$, have unique solutions in A .

Proposition 1.8.[Ko, Sh; 95] *Let A be a Hurwitz algebra. The following statements are equivalent:*

- i) *There exists $x \in A$, $x \neq 0$ such that $n(x) = 0$.*
- ii) *There exists $x, y \in A$, $x \neq 0$, $y \neq 0$, such that $xy = 0$;*
- iii) *A contains a non-trivial idempotent (i.e. an element e , $e \neq 0, 1$ such that $e^2 = e$). \square*

Definition 1.9. Any Hurwitz algebra which satisfies one of the above equivalent conditions is called a **split Hurwitz algebra**.

Every Hurwitz algebra is either a division algebra or a split algebra.

If K is an algebraically closed field, then we obtain only split algebras.

We have obtained by the Cayley-Dickson process some algebras with dimension bigger than 8 which are non-alternative and non-associative but are quadratic and flexible algebras. Every one of these algebras is central simple (i.e. $A_F = F \otimes_K A$ is a simple algebra, for every extension F of K and for every dimension).

Remark. 1.10. *For every algebra A obtained by the Cayley-Dickson process we has the relation: $2f(x, 1) = t(x)$, $\forall x \in A$, where f is the bilinear form associated with the norm n .*

Proposition 1.11. *In each algebra obtained by the Cayley-Dickson process the following relation is satisfied: $xy + \bar{y}\bar{x} = 2f(x, \bar{y})1$, where f is the bilinear form associated with the norm n .*

Proof . As $\bar{x} = 2f(x, 1) - x$, we have:

$$xy + \bar{y}\bar{x} = xy + (2f(y, 1) - y)(2f(x, 1) - x) = xy + 4f(y, 1)f(x, 1) - 2f(y, 1)x - 2f(x, 1)y + yx.$$

$$2f(x, \bar{y}) = 2f(x, 2f(y, 1) \cdot 1 - y) = 2f(x, 2f(y, 1) \cdot 1) - 2f(x, y) =$$

$$= 4f(x, 1)f(y, 1) - 2f(x, y) = 4f(x, 1)f(y, 1) - n(x + y) + n(x) +$$

$$+n(y) = 4f(x, 1)f(y, 1) - (x + y)(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) + x\bar{x} + y\bar{y} =$$

$$= 4f(x, 1)f(y, 1) - x\bar{x} - x\bar{y} - \bar{x}y - y\bar{y} + x\bar{x} + y\bar{y} =$$

$$= 4f(x, 1)f(y, 1) - x\bar{y} - \bar{x}y =$$

$$= 4f(x, 1)f(y, 1) - x(2f(y, 1) - y) - y(2f(x, 1) - x) =$$

$$= 4f(x, 1)f(y, 1) - 2f(y, 1)x - 2f(x, 1)y + xy + yx \text{ and we get the required equality. } \square$$

Proposition 1.12. *Let A be a composition division algebra,*

$f : A \times A \rightarrow K$, $n : A \rightarrow K$ be the bilinear form and respectively the norm of A . Then, for $v, w \in A \setminus \{0\}$, we have $f^2(v, w) = f(v, v)f(w, w)$, if and only if $v = rw$, $r \in K$.

Proof. If $v = rw$, $r \in K$, then the equality is true.

Conversely, if $f^2(v, w) = f(v, v)f(w, w)$, for $v \neq 0, w \neq 0$, we have $f^2(v, w) \neq 0$. We suppose that $r \in K$ with $v = rw$ does not exist. Then, for non-zero elements $a, b \in K$, we have $av + bw \neq 0$. Indeed, if $av + bw = 0$ then $v = -\frac{b}{a}w$, with $-\frac{b}{a} \in K$, which is false. We get that $f(av + bw, av + bw) \neq 0$ and we have $a^2f(v, v) + b^2f(w, w) + 2abf(v, w) \neq 0$. For $a = f(w, w)$, we obtain $f(w, w)f(v, v) + b^2 + 2bf(v, w) \neq 0$ and, for $b = -f(v, w)$, we have $f(w, w)f(v, v) + f^2(v, w) - 2f^2(v, w) \neq 0$, therefore $f(w, w)f(v, v) \neq f^2(v, w)$, which is false. Hence $av + bw = 0$ implies $v = rw$. \square

Theorem 1.13.(Artin).[Ko, Sh; 95] *In each alternative algebra A , any two elements generate an associative subalgebra.*

Corollary 1.14.[Ko, Sh; 95] *Each alternative algebra is a power-associative algebra.*

Proposition 1.15. *Let A be a unitary division power-associative algebra (with finite or infinite dimension). Then every subalgebra of A is a unitary algebra.*

Proof. Let B be a subalgebra of the algebra A and $b \in B, b \neq 0$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(b)$ the subalgebra of B generated by b , which is an associative algebra (A is power-associative). Since A is a division algebra, $\mathcal{B}(b)$ is a unitary algebra, then B is unitary. \square

Proposition 1.16. *Let A be a unitary division power-associative algebra (with finite or infinite dimension). Then $\mathcal{A}(a, b) = \mathcal{A}(a - \pi, b - \theta)$, with $\pi, \theta \in K$, where by $\mathcal{A}(a, b)$ we denote the subalgebra generated by the elements $a, b \in A$.*

Proof. From *Proposition 1.15*, we have $1 \in \mathcal{A}(a - \pi, b - \theta)$, so $\pi, \theta \in \mathcal{A}(a - \pi, b - \theta)$. We obtain $a = (a - \pi) + \pi \in \mathcal{A}(a - \pi, b - \theta)$ and $b = (b - \theta) + \theta \in \mathcal{A}(a - \pi, b - \theta)$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}(a, b) \subset \mathcal{A}(a - \pi, b - \theta)$. Since $1 \in \mathcal{A}(a, b)$, we have $a - \pi, b - \theta, \pi, \theta \in \mathcal{A}(a, b)$, so we have the required equality. \square

§ 2. Equations in the generalized quaternion algebras

Consider the generalized quaternion algebra, $\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$, with dimension 4, and the basis $\{1, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, its multiplication operation is listed in the following table:

\cdot	1	e_1	e_2	e_3
1	1	e_1	e_2	e_3
e_1	e_1	$-\alpha$	e_3	$-\alpha e_2$
e_2	e_2	$-e_3$	$-\beta$	βe_1
e_3	e_3	αe_2	$-\beta e_1$	$-\alpha \beta$

Remark 2.1 The algebra $\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ is either a division algebra or a split algebra, in this case being isomorphic to algebra $\mathcal{M}_2(K)$. In the following, we will show how to distinguish these two cases.

Let $x = a + be_1 + ce_2 + de_3 \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$. The element $\bar{x} = a - be_1 - ce_2 - de_3$ is called the conjugate of the element x . The *norm* and the *trace* of the element x are the elements of K : $n(x) = x\bar{x} = a^2 + \alpha b^2 + \beta c^2 + \alpha\beta d^2$, $t(x) = x + \bar{x} = 2a$.

The algebra $\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a division algebra if and only if for any $x \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$, $x \neq 0$, implies $n(x) \neq 0$, therefore if and only if the equation $a^2 + \alpha b^2 + \beta c^2 + \alpha\beta d^2 = 0$ has only the trivial solution. We write this equation under some equivalent forms: $(a^2 + \alpha b^2) = -\beta c^2 - \alpha\beta d^2 = -\beta(c^2 + \alpha d^2)$ or $\beta = -\frac{n(a+be_1)}{n(c+de_1)} = -n\left(\frac{a+be_1}{c+de_1}\right) = -n(\varepsilon + \delta e_1) = -\varepsilon^2 - \alpha\delta^2$, where $\varepsilon + \delta e_1 = \frac{a+be_1}{c+de_1}$ or else $n(z) = -\beta$, where $z = \varepsilon + \delta e_1 \in \mathbb{C}(\alpha)$.

Therefore $\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a division algebra if and only if $\mathbb{C}(\alpha)$ is a quadratic separable extension of the field K and the equation $n(z) = -\beta$ does not have non-zero solutions in $\mathbb{C}(\alpha)$. Otherwise $\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a split algebra. Since, if $\mathbb{C}(\alpha)$ is a quadratic separable extension of the field K , for $x \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$, $x = a_1 + a_2v$, with $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}(\alpha)$, $v^2 = -\beta$, $x \neq 0$ and $n(x) = 0$, then $a_2 \neq 0$. Indeed, if $n(x) = 0$ and $a_2 = 0$ we get $n(x) = n(a_1) = a_1^2 + \alpha b^2$, $a_1 = a + bv$, $v^2 = -\alpha$, therefore the polynomial $X^2 + \alpha$ has a solution in K , false. \square

In the following, we consider that $\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a division generalized quaternion algebra.

Definition 2.2. The linear applications $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\rho} : \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \text{End}_K(\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta))$, given by

$$\bar{\lambda}(a) : \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta), \bar{\lambda}(a)(x) = ax, a \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta) \text{ and}$$

$\bar{\rho}(a) : \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta), \bar{\rho}(a)(x) = xa, a \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$, are called **the left representation** and **the right representation** of the algebra $\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$.

We know that every associative finite-dimensional algebra A over an arbitrary field K is isomorphic with a subalgebra of the algebra $\mathcal{M}_n(K)$, with $n = \dim_K A$. So we could find a faithful representation for the algebra A in the algebra $\mathcal{M}_n(K)$. For the generalized quaternion algebra $\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$, the mapping:

$$\lambda : \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_4(K), \lambda(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & -\alpha a_1 & -\beta a_2 & -\alpha\beta a_3 \\ a_1 & a_0 & -\beta a_3 & \beta a_2 \\ a_2 & \alpha a_3 & a_0 & -\alpha a_1 \\ a_3 & -a_2 & a_1 & a_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a = a_0 + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ is an isomorphism between $\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ and the algebra of the matrices of the above form.

Obviously $\bar{\lambda}(a)(1) = a$, $\bar{\lambda}(a)(e_1) = ae_1$, $\bar{\lambda}(a)(e_2) = ae_2$, $\bar{\lambda}(a)(e_3) = ae_3$, represents the first, the second, the third and the fourth columns of the matrix $\lambda(a)$.

Definition 2.3. $\lambda(a)$ is called **the left matricial representation** for

the element $a \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$.

In the same manner, we introduce **the right matrixial representation** for the element $a \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$:

$$\rho : \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_4(K), \rho(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & -\alpha a_1 & -\beta a_2 & -\alpha \beta a_3 \\ a_1 & a_0 & \beta a_3 & -\beta a_2 \\ a_2 & -\alpha a_3 & a_0 & \alpha a_1 \\ a_3 & a_2 & -a_1 & a_0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where}$$

$a = a_0 + a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3 \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\bar{\rho}(1) = a, \bar{\rho}(a)(e_1) = e_1 a, \bar{\rho}(a)(e_2) = e_2 a, \bar{\rho}(a)(e_3) = e_3 a$ represent the first, the second, the third and the fourth columns of the matrix $\rho(a)$.

Proposition 2.4. ([Ti; 00], Lemma 1.2.) *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $r \in K$. Then the following statements are true:*

- i) $x = y \iff \lambda(x) = \lambda(y)$.
- ii) $x = y \iff \rho(x) = \rho(y)$.
- iii) $\lambda(x + y) = \lambda(x) + \lambda(y), \lambda(xy) = \lambda(x) \lambda(y), \lambda(rx) = r \lambda(x),$
 $\lambda(1) = I_4, r \in K.$
- iv) $\rho(x + y) = \rho(x) + \rho(y), \rho(xy) = \rho(x) \rho(y), \rho(rx) = r \rho(x),$
 $\rho(1) = I_4, r \in K.$
- v) $\lambda(x^{-1}) = (\lambda(x))^{-1}, \rho(x^{-1}) = (\rho(x))^{-1}, \text{ for } x \neq 0; \square$

The following three propositions can be proved by straightforward calculations.

Proposition 2.5. *For all $x \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ $\det(\lambda(x)) = \det(\rho(x)) = (n(x))^2$. \square*

Proposition 2.6. *Let $x = a_0 + a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3 \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$. The following statements are true:*

- i) $x = \frac{1}{4} M_4 \lambda(x) M_4^*, x = \frac{1}{4} M_4^* \rho^t(x) M_4^t$, where $M_4 = (1, e_1, e_2, e_3)$,
 $M_4^* = (1, -\alpha^{-1} e_1, -\beta^{-1} e_2, -\alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} e_3)^t$.
- ii) $\lambda(x) = D_1 \rho^t(x) D_2, \lambda(\bar{x}) = C_1 \lambda^t(x) C_2, \rho(x) = D_1 \lambda^t(x) D_2,$
 $\rho(\bar{x}) = C_1 \rho^t(x) C_2$, where $C_1, C_2, D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{M}_4(K)$ and
 $C_1 = \text{diag}\{1, \alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1}\}, C_2 = \text{diag}\{1, \alpha, \beta, \alpha \beta\},$
 $D_1 = \text{diag}\{1, -\alpha^{-1}, -\beta^{-1}, -\alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1}\}, D_2 = \text{diag}\{1, -\alpha, -\beta, -\alpha \beta\}.$
- iii) *The matrices $C_1, C_2, D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{M}_4(K)$ satisfy the relations:*
 $C_1 C_2 = D_1 D_2 = I_4, D_1 M_1 = C_1, D_2 M_1 = C_2, C_1 M_1 = D_1,$
 $C_2 M_1 = D_2$, where $M_1 \in \mathcal{M}_4(K), M_1 = \text{diag}\{1, -1, -1, -1\}$. \square

Proposition 2.7. ([Ti,00]; Lemma 1.3.) Let $x = a_0 + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$. Let $\vec{x} = (a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3)^t \in \mathcal{M}_{1 \times 4}(K)$, be the vector representation of the element x . Then for every $a, b, x \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ we have the relations:

- i) $\overrightarrow{ax} = \lambda(a) \vec{x}$.
- ii) $\overrightarrow{xb} = \rho(b) \vec{x}$.
- iii) $\overrightarrow{axb} = \lambda(a) \rho(b) \vec{x} = \rho(b) \lambda(a) \vec{x}$.
- iv) $\rho(b) \lambda(a) = \lambda(a) \rho(b)$. \square

Proposition 2.8. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$, $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$. Then the linear equation

$$ax = xb \quad (2.1.)$$

has non-zero solutions $x \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$, if and only if

$$t(a) = t(b) \text{ and } n(a - a_0) = n(b - b_0), \quad (2.2.)$$

where $a = a_0 + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3, b = b_0 + b_1e_1 + b_2e_2 + b_3e_3$.

Proof. We suppose that the equation (2.1.) has non-zero solutions $x \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then we have $n(ax) = n(xb) \Rightarrow n(a)n(x) = n(x)n(b)$, therefore $n(a) = n(b)$. Since $a = xbx^{-1}$, $t(a) = t(xbx^{-1}) = t(x^{-1}xb) = t(b)$. We obtain that $a_0 = b_0$, and from $n(a) = n(b)$ we have $\alpha a_1^2 + \beta a_2^2 + \alpha \beta a_3^2 = \alpha b_1^2 + \beta b_2^2 + \alpha \beta b_3^2$, so $n(a - a_0) = n(b - b_0)$.

Conversely, by considering the vector representation, the equation (2.1.) becomes $\overrightarrow{ax} = \overrightarrow{xb}$, that is

$$(\lambda(a) - \rho(b)) \vec{x} = \vec{0}. \quad (2.3.)$$

Equation (2.1.) has non-zero solutions if and only if the equation (2.3.) has a non-zero solution, that is, if and only if $\det(\lambda(a) - \rho(b)) = 0$. We compute this determinant: $\det(\lambda(a) - \rho(b)) =$

$$= \left[(a_0 - b_0)^2 + n(a - a_0) + n(b - b_0) \right]^2 - 4n(a - a_0)n(b - b_0).$$

If $a_0 = b_0$ and $n(a - a_0) = n(b - b_0)$, then $\det(\lambda(a) - \rho(b)) = 0$, therefore the equation (2.1.) has a non-zero solution. \square

Proposition 2.9. With the notations of Proposition 2.8., if $t(a) = t(b)$ and $n(a - a_0) = n(b - b_0)$, then the matrix $\lambda(a) - \rho(b)$ has the rank two.

Proof.

$$\lambda(a) - \rho(b) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 - b_0 & -\alpha a_1 + \alpha b_1 & -\beta a_2 + \beta b_2 & -\alpha \beta a_3 + \alpha \beta b_3 \\ a_1 - b_1 & a_0 - b_0 & -\beta a_3 - \beta b_3 & \beta a_2 + \beta b_2 \\ a_2 - b_2 & \alpha a_3 + \alpha b_3 & a_0 - b_0 & -\alpha a_1 - \alpha b_1 \\ a_3 - b_3 & -a_2 - b_2 & a_1 + b_1 & a_0 - b_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Case $a \neq b$.

We suppose $a_1 \neq b_1$. If $a_0 - b_0 = 0$, then $d_1 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -\alpha a_1 + \alpha b_1 \\ a_1 - b_1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = \alpha(a_1 - b_1)^2 \neq 0$, and all the minors of order 3 are zero.

Therefore $\text{rank}(\lambda(a) - \rho(b)) = 2$ and the subspace of the solutions is of dimension two.

Case $a = b$.

$$\lambda(a) - \rho(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2\beta a_3 & 2\beta a_2 \\ 0 & 2\alpha a_3 & 0 & -2\alpha a_1 \\ 0 & -2a_2 & 2a_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and it results also}$$

$$\text{rank}(\lambda(a) - \rho(b)) = 2. \square$$

Remark 2.10. By Proposition 1.16., if $A = \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$, we have that $\mathcal{A}(a, b) = \mathcal{A}(a - a_0, b - b_0) = \mathcal{A}(a, \bar{b}) = \mathcal{A}(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = \mathcal{A}(\bar{a}, b)$, where $a = a_0 + a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3$, $b = b_0 + b_1 e_1 + b_2 e_2 + b_3 e_3 \in A$, and $\mathcal{A}(a, b)$ represents the subalgebra generated by a and b .

Remark 2.11. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$, as above, with $t(a) = t(b) = 0$. Then, by Proposition 1.11., it results that $ab + ba = -2\alpha a_1 b_1 - 2\beta a_2 b_2 - 2\alpha\beta a_3 b_3 \in K$.

Remark 2.12. By Proposition 1.12. if $\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a division algebra and $a, b \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ with $t(a) = t(b) = 0$, then the equality

$$n(a)n(b) = \frac{1}{4}(ab + ba)^2 \quad (2.4.)$$

is true if and only if $a = rb$, $r \in K$. If $n(a) = n(b)$, then $r = 1$ or $r = -1$.

Proof. From Proposition 2.11., $n(ab) = (\alpha a_1 b_1 + \beta a_2 b_2 + \alpha\beta a_3 b_3)^2$. Because $n(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}(n(a+b) + n(a) + n(b))$, then $n^2(a, b) = \frac{1}{4}(ab + ba)$,

$n(a, a) = n(a)$, $n(b, b) = n(b)$ and by Proposition 1.12. we obtain

$n(a)n(b) = \frac{1}{4}(ab + ba)^2$ is true if and only if $a = rb$, $r \in K$. If $n(a) = n(b)$, then from the equality (2.4.) it results the equality

$(n(a) + \alpha a_1 b_1 + \beta a_2 b_2 + \alpha\beta a_3 b_3)(n(a) - \alpha a_1 b_1 - \beta a_2 b_2 - \alpha\beta a_3 b_3) = 0$. In the last relation we replace $(n(a) + rn(a))(n(a) - rn(a)) = 0$, and we get $n(a)^2(1+r)(1-r) = 0$. Then either $r = -1$ or $r = 1$. \square

Proposition 2.13.

i) If $a = a_0 + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3, b = b_0 + b_1e_1 + b_2e_2 + b_3e_3 \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ with $b \neq \bar{a}, a, b \notin K$ then the solutions of the equation (2.1.), with $t(a) = t(b)$ and $n(a - a_0) = n(b - b_0)$, are found in $\mathcal{A}(a, b)$ and have the form :

$$x = \lambda_1(a - a_0 + b - b_0) + \lambda_2(n(a - a_0) - (a - a_0)(b - b_0)), \quad (2.5.)$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in K$ are arbitrary.

ii) If $b = \bar{a}$, then the general solution of the equation (2.1.) is $x = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + x_3e_3$, where $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in K$ and they satisfy the equality : $\alpha a_1x_1 + \beta a_2x_2 + \alpha\beta a_3x_3\alpha\beta = 0$.

Proof. *i)* Let $x_1 = a - a_0 + b - b_0, x_2 = n(a - a_0) - (a - a_0)(b - b_0)$. If $b \neq \bar{a}$ then $x_2 \notin K$. We have $ax_1 - x_1b = a(a - a_0) + a(b - b_0) - (a - a_0)b - (b - b_0)b$, and we write $a = a_0 + v, b = b_0 + w$, with $t(v) = t(w) = 0$,
 $ax_1 - x_1b = (a_0 + v)v + (a_0 + v)w - v(b_0 + w) - w(b_0 + w) =$
 $= a_0v + v^2 + a_0w + vw - vb_0 - vw - wb_0 - w^2 = 0$, since by the hypothesis $n(v) = n(w), v^2 = -n(v) = -n(w) = w^2$. Therefore x_1 is a solution.

Analogously, we have $ax_2 - x_2b = 0$, therefore x_2 is a solution. Obviously, $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{A}(a - a_0, b - b_0) = \mathcal{A}(a, b)$. We also note that x_1, x_2 are linearly independent.

If $\theta_1x_1 + \theta_2x_2 = 0$, with $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in K$, then $\theta_1v + \theta_1w + \theta_2n(v) - \theta_2vw = 0$, which gives

$$\theta_2(n(v) + \alpha a_1b_1 + \beta a_2b_2 + \alpha\beta a_3b_3) = 0, \theta_1(a_1 + b_1) - \theta_2\beta(a_2b_3 - a_3b_2) = 0$$

$$\theta_1(a_2 + b_2) - \theta_2\alpha(a_3b_1 - a_1b_3) = 0, \theta_1(a_3 + b_3) - \theta_2(a_1b_2 - a_2b_1) = 0.$$

Since $b \neq \bar{a}$, from Proposition 2.12., we have $\theta_2 = 0$ and

$$\theta_1(a_1 + b_1) = 0, \theta_1(a_2 + b_2) = 0, \theta_1(a_3 + b_3) = 0, \text{ therefore } \theta_1 = 0.$$

If the subspace of the solutions of the equation (2.1.) has the dimension two, it results that each solution of this equation is of the form $\lambda_1x_1 + \lambda_2x_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in K$.

We note that $\lambda_1x_1 + \lambda_2x_2 \in \mathcal{A}(v, w) = \mathcal{A}(a, b)$.

ii) Since $b = \bar{a}$, it results $b = a_0 - a_1e_1 - a_2e_2 - a_3e_3$, therefore $v = -w$. Then, if x is a solution of the equation, we have $ax = x\bar{a}$, therefore $(a_0 + v)(x_0 + y) = (x_0 + y)(a_0 - v)$ from where we get $2x_0v + vy + yv = 0$, where $x = x_0 + y$, with $x_0 \in K, y = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + x_3e_3, t(y) = 0$.

As $vy + yv \in K$, the last equality is equivalent with $x_0 = 0$ and $vy + yv = 0$, that is $x_0 = 0$ and $\alpha a_1x_1 + \beta a_2x_2 + \alpha\beta a_3x_3 = 0$. \square

Remark 2.14. If $a_0 = b_0$ and $n(v) = n(w)$, the equation (2.1.) has the general solution under the form:

$$x = aq - q\bar{b}, \text{ with } q \in \mathcal{A}(a, b), \quad (2.6.)$$

or, equivalently $x = vq + qw$.

Proof. Indeed, suppose that $z \in \mathcal{A}(a, b)$ is an arbitrary solution of the equation (2.1.). It results $az = zb$, therefore $vz = wz$. Let $q = \frac{-vz}{2n(v)} = -\frac{zw}{2n(w)}$. We have $x = vq + qw = -\frac{v^2z}{2n(v)} - \frac{zw^2}{2n(w)} = \frac{z}{2} + \frac{z}{2} = z$, which proves that each solution of the equation (2.1.) can be written in the form (2.6.). \square

Proposition 2.15. *Let $a = a_0 + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3$, $b = b_0 + b_1e_1 + b_2e_2 + b_3e_3 \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$.
i) ([Ti; 99], Theorem 2.3.) The equation*

$$ax = \bar{x}b \quad (2.7.)$$

has non-zero solutions if and only if $n(a) = n(b)$. In this case, if $a + \bar{b} \neq 0$, then (2.7.) has a solution of the form $x = \lambda(\bar{a} + b)$, $\lambda \in K$.

ii) If $a + \bar{b} = 0$, then the general solution of the equation (2.7.) can be written in the form $x = x_0 + x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + x_3e_3$, where $a_0x_0 - \alpha a_1x_1 - \beta a_2x_2 - \alpha\beta a_3x_3 = 0$.

Proof. We suppose that (2.7.) has a non-zero solution $x \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then we have $ax = \bar{x}b \Rightarrow n(ax) = n(\bar{x}b) \Rightarrow n(a)n(x) = n(x)n(b) \Rightarrow n(a) = n(b)$.

Conversely, suppose that $n(a) = n(b)$. We take $y = \bar{a} + b$ and we obtain $ay - \bar{y}a = a(\bar{a} + b) - (\bar{a} + b)a = a\bar{a} + ab - a\bar{a} - \bar{b}b = n(a) - n(b) = 0$.

If $a + \bar{b} = 0$, we have $b = -\bar{a}$ and the equation (2.7.) becomes $ax + \bar{a}\bar{x} = 0$, that is $t(ax) = 0$. But $t(ax) = a_0x_0 - \alpha a_1x_1 - \beta a_2x_2 - \alpha\beta a_3x_3$. \square

Proposition 2.16. *Let $a \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$, $a \notin K$. If there exists $r \in K$ such that $n(a) = r^2$, then $a = \bar{q}r q^{-1}$, where $q = r + \bar{a}$, and $q^{-1} = \frac{\bar{q}}{n(q)}$*

Proof. By hypothesis, we have $a(r + \bar{a}) = ar + a\bar{a} = ar + n(a) = ar + r^2 = (a + r)r$. Since $\bar{q} = r + a$, it results $\bar{q}r = aq$. \square

Proposition 2.17. *Let $a \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ with $a \notin K$. If there exists $r, s \in K$ with the properties $n(a) = r^4$ and $n(r^2 + \bar{a}) = s^2$, then the quadratic equation*

$$x^2 = a \quad (2.8.)$$

has two solutions of the form $x = \frac{r(r^2 + a)}{n(r^2 + \bar{a})}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.16., it results that a is of the form $a = \bar{q}r^2q^{-1}$,

where $q = r^2 + \bar{a}$. Because $q^{-1} = \frac{\bar{q}}{n(q)}$, we obtain $a = r^2 \bar{q} q^{-1} = r^2 \bar{q} \frac{\bar{q}}{n(q)} = r^2 \frac{\bar{q}^2}{s^2} = \left(\frac{r}{s} \bar{q}\right)^2$, therefore $x_1 = \frac{r}{s} \bar{q}$, $x_2 = -\frac{r}{s} \bar{q}$ are solutions. \square

Corollary 2.18. *Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ so that ab and $b^2 - c \notin K$. If ab and $b^2 - c$ satisfy the conditions of Proposition 2.17. then the equations $axx = b$ and*

$$x^2 + bx + xb + c = 0 \text{ have solutions.}$$

Proof. $axx = b \iff (ax)^2 = ab$ and $x^2 + bx + xb + c = 0 \iff \Leftrightarrow (x + b)^2 = b^2 - c. \square$

Corollary 2.19. *If $b, c \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta) \setminus \{K\}$ satisfy the conditions $bc = cb$ and there exists $r \in K, r \neq 0$ so that $n\left(\frac{b^2}{4} - c\right) = r^4$, and $n\left(r^2 + \frac{\bar{b}^2}{4} - \bar{c}\right) = s^2$, $s \neq 0$ then the equation*

$$x^2 + bx + c = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

has solutions in $\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ be a solution of the equation (2.9). Because $x_0^2 = t(x_0)x_0 - n(x_0)$ and $x_0^2 + bx_0 + c = 0$, it results that

$t(x_0)x_0 - n(x_0) + bx_0 + c = 0$ hence $(t(x_0) + b)x_0 = c + n(x_0)$. If $t(x_0) + b \neq 0, t(x_0), n(x_0) \in K, 1 \in \mathcal{A}(b, c)$, then $t(x_0) + b$ and $c + n(x_0) \in \mathcal{A}(b, c)$.

Therefore $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}(b, c)$. Because $bc = cb$, it results that $\mathcal{A}(b, c)$ is commutative, therefore x_0 commutes with every element of $\mathcal{A}(b, c)$. Then the equation (2.9.) can be written under the form $\left(x + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{b^2}{4} + c = 0$ and by the Proposition 2.17. such an x_0 exists. \square

§ 3. Equations in the generalized octonions algebra

Let $\mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ be the generalized octonions algebra, with the basis

$\{1, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6, f_7\}$, where $f_1 = e_1, f_2 = e_2, f_3 = e_3, f_5 = e_1 f_4, f_6 = e_2 f_4, f_7 = e_3 f_4$. Its multiplication table is the following :

·	1	f_1	f_2	f_3	f_4	f_5	f_6	f_7
1	1	f_1	f_2	f_3	f_4	f_5	f_6	f_7
f_1	f_1	$-\alpha$	f_3	$-\alpha f_2$	f_5	$-\alpha f_4$	$-f_7$	αf_6
f_2	f_2	$-f_3$	$-\beta$	βf_1	f_6	f_7	$-\beta f_4$	$-\beta f_5$
f_3	f_3	αf_2	$-\beta f_1$	$-\alpha\beta$	f_7	$-\alpha f_6$	βf_5	$-\alpha\beta f_4$
f_4	f_4	$-f_5$	$-f_6$	$-f_7$	$-\gamma$	γf_1	γf_2	γf_3
f_5	f_5	αf_4	$-f_7$	αf_6	$-\gamma f_1$	$-\alpha\gamma$	$-\gamma f_3$	$\alpha\gamma f_2$
f_6	f_6	f_7	βf_4	$-\beta f_5$	$-\gamma f_2$	γf_3	$-\beta\gamma$	$-\beta\gamma f_1$
f_7	f_7	$-\alpha f_6$	βf_5	$\alpha\beta f_4$	$-\gamma f_3$	$-\alpha\gamma f_2$	$\beta\gamma f_1$	$-\alpha\beta\gamma$

Remark 3.1. The algebra $\mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is a division algebra or a split algebra. As in the quaternion algebra case, we aim to find out conditions for getting a division algebra.

If $x = a_0 + a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2 + a_3 f_3 + a_4 f_4 + a_5 f_5 + a_6 f_6 + a_7 f_7$, then

$\bar{x} = a_0 - a_1 f_1 - a_2 f_2 - a_3 f_3 - a_4 f_4 - a_5 f_5 - a_6 f_6 - a_7 f_7$ is the conjugate of x and $n(x) = x\bar{x} = a_0^2 + \alpha a_1^2 + \beta a_2^2 + \alpha\beta a_3^2 + \gamma a_4^2 + \alpha\gamma a_5^2 + \beta\gamma a_6^2 + \alpha\beta\gamma a_7^2 \in K$ is the norm of x , while $t(x) = x + \bar{x} \in K$ is the trace of the element x .

If there exists $x \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, $x \neq 0$, such that $n(x) = 0$, then $\mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is not a division algebra, and if $n(x) \neq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), x \neq 0$, then $\mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is a division algebra. Therefore, $\mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is a division algebra if and only if the equation $a_0^2 + \alpha a_1^2 + \beta a_2^2 + \alpha\beta a_3^2 + \gamma a_4^2 + \alpha\gamma a_5^2 + \beta\gamma a_6^2 + \alpha\beta\gamma a_7^2 = 0$ has only the trivial solution. This is equivalent with equation $a_0^2 + \alpha a_1^2 + \beta a_2^2 + \alpha\beta a_3^2 = -\gamma(a_4^2 + \alpha a_5^2 + \beta a_6^2 + \alpha\beta\gamma a_7^2)$ or $\gamma = -\frac{n(a_0 + a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2 + a_3 f_3)}{n(a_4 f_4 + a_5 f_5 + a_6 f_6 + a_7 f_7)} = -n(b_0 + b_1 f_1 + b_2 f_2 + b_3 f_3) = -b_0^2 - \alpha b_1^2 - \beta b_2^2 - \alpha\beta b_3^2$, where $b_0 + b_1 f_1 + b_2 f_2 + b_3 f_3 = \frac{a_0 + a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2 + a_3 f_3}{a_4 f_4 + a_5 f_5 + a_6 f_6 + a_7 f_7}$.

$\mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is a division algebra if and only if $\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a division algebra and the equation $n(x) = -\gamma$ does not have solutions in $\mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$. \square

Based upon the matrix representation of the generalized quaternions, we introduce the matrix representation in the case of generalized octonions.

Let $a' = a_0 + a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_3$, $a'' = a_4 + a_5 e_1 + a_6 e_2 + a_7 e_3 \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $a = a' + a'' v \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then the matrix :

$$\Lambda(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & -\alpha a_1 & -\beta a_2 & -\alpha\beta a_3 & -\gamma a_4 & -\alpha\gamma a_5 & -\beta\gamma a_6 & -\alpha\beta\gamma a_7 \\ a_1 & a_0 & -\beta a_3 & \beta a_2 & -\gamma a_5 & \gamma a_4 & \beta\gamma a_7 & -\beta\gamma a_6 \\ a_2 & \alpha a_3 & a_0 & -\alpha a_1 & -\gamma a_6 & -\alpha\gamma a_7 & \gamma a_4 & \alpha\gamma a_5 \\ a_3 & -a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & -\gamma a_7 & \gamma a_6 & -\gamma a_5 & \gamma a_4 \\ a_4 & \alpha a_5 & \beta a_6 & \alpha\beta a_7 & a_0 & -\alpha a_1 & -\beta a_2 & -\alpha\beta a_3 \\ a_5 & -a_4 & \beta a_7 & -\beta a_6 & a_1 & a_0 & \beta a_3 & -\beta a_2 \\ a_6 & -\alpha a_7 & -a_4 & \alpha a_5 & a_2 & -\alpha a_3 & a_0 & \alpha a_1 \\ a_7 & a_6 & -a_5 & -a_4 & a_3 & a_2 & -a_1 & a_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is called the left matriceal representation for the element $a \in$

$\mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Using the matrix representations for quaternions, we can write the left matrix representation:

$$\Lambda(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(a') & -\gamma\rho(a'') M_1 \\ \lambda(a'') M_1 & \rho(a') \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } M_1 = \text{diag}\{1, -1, -1, -1\} \in \mathcal{M}_4(K).$$

Analogously, we define **the right matrix representation**:

$$\Delta(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & -\alpha a_1 & -\beta a_2 & -\alpha\beta a_3 & -\gamma a_4 & -\alpha\gamma a_5 & -\beta\gamma a_6 & -\alpha\beta\gamma a_7 \\ a_1 & a_0 & \beta a_3 & -\beta a_2 & \gamma a_5 & -\gamma a_4 & -\beta\gamma a_7 & \beta\gamma a_6 \\ a_2 & -\alpha a_3 & a_0 & \alpha a_1 & \gamma a_6 & \alpha\gamma a_7 & -\gamma a_4 & -\alpha\gamma a_5 \\ a_3 & a_2 & -a_1 & a_0 & \gamma a_7 & -\gamma a_6 & \gamma a_5 & -\gamma a_4 \\ a_4 & -\alpha a_5 & -\beta a_6 & -\alpha\beta a_7 & a_0 & \alpha a_1 & \beta a_2 & \alpha\beta a_3 \\ a_5 & a_4 & -\beta a_7 & \beta a_6 & -a_1 & a_0 & -\beta a_3 & \beta a_2 \\ a_6 & \alpha a_7 & a_4 & -\alpha a_5 & -a_2 & \alpha a_3 & a_0 & -\alpha a_1 \\ a_7 & -a_6 & a_5 & a_4 & -a_3 & -a_2 & a_1 & a_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix has as its columns, the coefficients in K of the elements $a, f_1a, f_2a, f_3a, f_4a, f_5a, f_6a, f_7a$. Using the matrix representations of

$$\text{quaternions, we can also write that : } \Delta(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(a') & -\gamma\lambda(\bar{a}'') \\ \lambda(a'') & \rho(\bar{a}') \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= A_1 \Lambda^t(a) A_2, \text{ where } A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{M}_8(K) \text{ are matrices of the form:}$$

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_1 \end{pmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma^{-1} D_2 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{pmatrix}, D_1, D_2, C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{M}_4(K)$$

being the matrices in *Proposition 2.6.*, and $A_1 A_2 = A_2 A_1 = I_8$. Indeed, we have

$$\Lambda^t(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^t(a') & M_1^t \lambda^t(a'') \\ -\gamma M_1^t \rho^t(a'') & \rho^t(a') \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 \Lambda^t(a) A_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^t(a') & M_1^t \lambda^t(a'') \\ -\gamma M_1^t \rho^t(a'') & \rho^t(a') \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma^{-1} D_2 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma D_1 \lambda^t(a') & -\gamma D_1 M_1^t \lambda^t(a'') \\ -\gamma C_1 M_1^t \rho^t(a'') & C_1 \rho^t(a') \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma^{-1} D_2 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{pmatrix} = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} D_1 \lambda^t(a') D_2 & -\gamma D_1 M_1^t \lambda^t(a'') C_2 \\ \gamma C_1 M_1^t \rho^t(a'') D_2 \gamma^{-1} & C_1 \rho^t(a') C_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

But, by *Proposition 2.6.*, it results that $D_1 \lambda^t(a') D_2 = \rho(a')$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 \rho^t(a') C_2 &= \rho(\bar{a}'); \text{ We has } -\gamma D_1 M_1^t \lambda^t(a'') C_2 = \\ &= -\gamma \text{diag}\{1, \alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1}\} \begin{pmatrix} a_4 & \alpha a_5 & \beta a_6 & \alpha \beta a_7 \\ -\alpha a_5 & \alpha a_4 & \alpha \beta a_7 & -\alpha \beta a_6 \\ -\beta a_6 & -\alpha \beta a_7 & \beta a_4 & \alpha \beta a_5 \\ -\alpha \beta a_7 & \alpha \beta a_6 & -\alpha \beta a_5 & \alpha \beta a_4 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma a_4 & -\alpha \gamma a_5 & -\beta \gamma a_6 & -\alpha \beta \gamma a_7 \\ \gamma a_5 & -\gamma a_4 & -\beta \gamma a_4 & \beta \gamma a_6 \\ \gamma a_6 & \alpha \gamma a_7 & -\gamma a_4 & -\alpha \gamma a_5 \\ \gamma a_7 & \gamma a_6 & \gamma a_5 & -\gamma a_4 \end{pmatrix} = -\gamma \lambda(\bar{a}'') \text{ and } C_1 M_1^t \rho^t(a'') D_2 = \\ &= \text{diag}\{1, \alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1}\} \begin{pmatrix} a_4 & -\alpha a_5 & -\beta a_6 & -\alpha \beta a_7 \\ -\alpha a_5 & -\alpha a_4 & \alpha \beta a_7 & -\alpha \beta a_6 \\ -\beta a_6 & -\alpha \beta a_7 & -\beta a_4 & \alpha \beta a_5 \\ -\alpha \beta a_7 & \alpha \beta a_6 & -\alpha \beta a_5 & -\alpha \beta a_4 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda(a''). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.2. ([Ti; 00], Theorem 2.1. and Theorem 2.3.) *Let*

$$x = x_0 + x_1 f_1 + x_2 f_2 + x_3 f_3 + x_4 f_4 + x_5 f_5 + x_6 f_6 + x_7 f_7 \text{ and}$$

$$a = a_0 + a_1 f_1 + a_2 f_2 + a_3 f_3 + a_4 f_4 + a_5 f_5 + a_6 f_6 + a_7 f_7 \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma).$$

Denote $\vec{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7)^t$, the vector representation for the element x . Then $\overrightarrow{ax} = \Lambda(a) \vec{x}$ and $\overrightarrow{x\bar{a}} = \Delta(a) \vec{x}$.

Proof. We take a and x under the form $a = a' + a'' v$, $x = x' + x'' v$ with $a', a'', x', x'' \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then $ax = (a' x' - \gamma \bar{x}'' a'') +$

$$+ (x'' a' + a'' \bar{x}') v \text{ and we can write } \overrightarrow{ax} = \begin{pmatrix} \overrightarrow{a' x' - \gamma \bar{x}'' a''} \\ \overrightarrow{x'' a' + a'' \bar{x}'} \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \overrightarrow{a' x' - \gamma \bar{x}'' a''} \\ \overrightarrow{x'' a' + a'' \bar{x}'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(a') \vec{x}' - \gamma \rho(a'') \vec{x}'' \\ \rho(a') \vec{x}'' + \lambda(a'') \vec{x}' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Given $\vec{x}'' = M_1 x''$, it results

$$\overrightarrow{ax} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(a') \vec{x}' - \gamma \rho(a'') M_1 \vec{x}'' \\ \rho(a') \vec{x}'' + \lambda(a'') M_1 \vec{x}' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(a') & -\gamma \rho(a'') M_1 \\ \lambda(a'') M_1 & \rho(a') \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{x}' \\ \vec{x}'' \end{pmatrix} =$$

$\Lambda(a) \vec{x}$.

Analogously, $\overline{x\vec{a}} = \Delta(a)\vec{x}$. \square

Proposition 3.3. ([Ti; 00], Theorem 2.6.) *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ and $m \in K$. Then the following relations are true:*

- i) $x = y \iff \Lambda(x) = \Lambda(y)$.
- ii) $x = y \iff \Delta(x) = \Delta(y)$.
- iii) $\Lambda(x + y) = \Lambda(x) + \Lambda(y)$.
- iv) $\Lambda(mx) = m\Lambda(x)$.
- v) $\Delta(x + y) = \Delta(x) + \Delta(y)$.
- vi) $\Delta(mx) = m\Delta(x)$.
- viii) $\Lambda(x^{-1}) = \Lambda^{-1}(x)$.
- ix) $\Delta(x^{-1}) = \Delta^{-1}(x)$. \square

Since $\mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is a non-associative algebra, the equalities $\Lambda(xy) = \Lambda(x)\Lambda(y)$, $\Delta(xy) = \Delta(x)\Delta(y)$ do not generally apply.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then, by using the notations in Proposition 2.6., we have:*

- i) $\Lambda(\bar{x}) = E_1\Lambda^t(x)E_2$, where $E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^{-1}C_2 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{pmatrix}$.
- ii) $\Delta(\bar{x}) = F_1\Delta^t(x)F_2$, where $F_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $F_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma^{-1}C_2 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{pmatrix}$.
- iii) $E_1E_2 = F_1F_2 = A_1A_2 = I_8$, $E_1^t = E_1$, $E_2^t = E_2$, $F_1^t = F_1$, $F_2^t = F_2$, $A_1^t = A_1$, $A_2^t = A_2$.
- iv) $\Lambda(x) = A_1\Delta^t(x)A_2$, where $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma^{-1}D_2 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof. *iv)* As $\Delta(x) = A_1\Lambda^t(x)A_2$, we multiply this last relation to the left and to the right with A_2 and with A_1 , obtaining $A_2\Delta(x)A_1 = \Lambda^t(x)$, therefore $\Lambda(x) = A_1\Delta^t(x)A_2$. The other relations can be proved by calculations. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let $x \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then :*

- i) $x = \frac{1}{8}H_1\Lambda(x)H_2$, where $H_1 = (1, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6, f_7)$ and $H_2 = (1, -\alpha^{-1}f_1, -\beta^{-1}f_2, -\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}f_3, -\gamma^{-1}f_4, -\alpha^{-1}\gamma^{-1}f_5, -\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1}f_6, -\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\gamma^{-1}f_7)^t$;
- ii) $x = \frac{1}{8}H_2^t\Delta^t(x)H_1^t$.

Proof. *i)* By calculation.

ii) $\Delta^t(x) = A_2\Lambda(x)A_1$ and the rest is proved by calculations. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let $x \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with $x = x' + x''v$, where $x', x'' \in \mathbb{H}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then $\det(\Lambda(x)) = \det(\Delta(x)) = (n(x))^4$.*

Proof. We know that $\Delta(x) = A_1 \Lambda^t(x) A_2$. Then $\det(\Delta(x)) =$
 $= \det(A_1 \Lambda^t(x) A_2) = \det A_1 \det \Lambda^t(x) \det A_2 = \det \Lambda^t(x) = \det \Lambda(x)$. But
 $\det \Delta(x) = \begin{vmatrix} \rho(x') & -\gamma\lambda(\bar{x}'') \\ \lambda(x'') & \rho(\bar{x}') \end{vmatrix} = \det(\rho(x')\rho(\bar{x}') + \gamma\lambda(\bar{x}'')\lambda(x'')) =$
 $= \det(\rho(x'\bar{x}') + \gamma\lambda(x''\bar{x}'')) = \det(n(x')I_4 + \gamma n(x'')I_4) =$
 $= (n(x')I_4 + \gamma n(x'')I_4)^4 = (n(x))^4$. \square
 Let $a, b, \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. In the next, we consider the equation

$$ax = xb \quad (3.1)$$

in $\mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. By using the vector representation, the equation is equivalent to:

$$[\Lambda(a) - \Delta(b)]\vec{x} = \vec{0}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proposition 3.7. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with*
 $a = a_0 + a_1f_1 + a_2f_2 + a_3f_3 + a_4f_4 + a_5f_5 + a_6f_6 + a_7f_7$
 $b = b_0 + b_1f_1 + b_2f_2 + b_3f_3 + b_4f_4 + b_5f_5 + b_6f_6 + b_7f_7$. *Then, the linear equation $ax = xb$ has non-zero solutions if and only if :*

$$a_0 = b_0 \text{ and } n(a - a_0) = n(b - b_0). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. We suppose that the equation $ax = xb$ has non-zero solutions, $x \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. It results that $n(ax) = n(xb)$, hence $n(a)n(x) = n(x)n(b)$, therefore $n(a) = n(b)$. As $a = xbx^{-1}$, it results $t(a) = t(xbx^{-1}) = t(x^{-1}xb) = t(b)$, therefore $a_0 = b_0$ and from $n(a) = n(b)$, we obtain $n(a - a_0) = n(b - b_0)$.

Conversely, considering the vector representation, the equation (3.1) has non-zero solutions if and only if the equation (3.2) has non-zero solutions, therefore if and only if $\det(\Lambda(a) - \Delta(b)) = 0$. We calculate this determinant. If $a_0 = b_0$, then the matrix $\Lambda(a) - \Delta(b)$ is of the form (MN) , where the blocks M and N are the following matrices of type 8×4 :

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\alpha(a_1-b_1) & -\beta(a_2-b_2) & -\alpha\beta(a_3-b_3) \\ a_1-b_1 & 0 & -\beta(a_3+b_3) & \beta(a_2+b_2) \\ a_2-b_2 & \alpha(a_3+b_3) & 0 & -\alpha(a_1+b_1) \\ a_3-b_3 & -(a_2+b_2) & a_1+b_1 & 0 \\ a_4-b_4 & \alpha(a_5+b_5) & \beta(a_6+b_6) & \alpha\beta(a_7+b_7) \\ a_5-b_5 & -(a_4+b_4) & \beta(a_7+b_7) & -\beta(a_6+b_6) \\ a_6-b_6 & -\alpha(a_7+b_7) & -(a_4+b_4) & \alpha(a_5+b_5) \\ a_7-b_7 & a_6+b_6 & -(a_5+b_5) & -(a_4+b_4) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma(a_4-b_4) & -\alpha\gamma(a_5-b_5) & -\beta\gamma(a_6-b_6) & -\alpha\beta\gamma(a_7-b_7) \\ -\gamma(a_5+b_5) & \gamma(a_4+b_4) & \beta\gamma(a_7+b_7) & -\beta\gamma(a_6+b_6) \\ -\gamma(a_6+b_6) & -\alpha\gamma(a_7+b_7) & \gamma(a_4+b_4) & \alpha\gamma(a_5+b_5) \\ -\gamma(a_7+b_7) & \gamma(a_6+b_6) & -\gamma(a_5+b_5) & \gamma(a_4+b_4) \\ 0 & -\alpha(a_1+b_1) & -\beta(a_2+b_2) & -\alpha\beta(a_3+b_3) \\ a_1+b_1 & 0 & \beta(a_3+b_3) & -\beta(a_2+b_2) \\ a_2+b_2 & -\alpha(a_3+b_3) & 0 & \alpha(a_1+b_1) \\ a_3+b_3 & a_2+b_2 & -(a_1+b_1) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Multiplying first the rows 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8 of the matrix $\Lambda(a) - \Delta(b)$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha\gamma, \beta\gamma, \alpha\beta\gamma$, and then the rows 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 with $a_1+b_1, a_2+b_2, a_3+b_3, a_4+b_4, a_5+b_5, a_6+b_6, a_7+b_7$ and adding them to the first row and then, multiplying the columns 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 with $a_1+b_1, a_2+b_2, a_3+b_3, a_4+b_4, a_5+b_5, a_6+b_6, a_7+b_7$ and adding them to the column 7, we get a matrix B_1 with $\det B_1 = \alpha^{-3}\beta^{-3}\gamma^{-3}(n(a-a_0)-n(b-b_0))(a_7+b_7)^{-1}\det B_2$,

where $B_2 \in \mathcal{M}_7(K)$.

Using the same tricks for B_2 , we get, in the end, $\det(\Lambda(a) - \Delta(b)) = \alpha\beta\gamma(n(a-a_0) - n(b-b_0))^2 n^2(a-a_0+b-b_0)$ and then $\det(\Lambda(a) - \Delta(b)) = 0$, if $n(a-a_0) - n(b-b_0) = 0$. If $a_1+b_1 = 0$, then we multiply with a_1 instead of a_1+b_1 . Analogously, for $a_7+b_7 = 0$ and we obtain the same result. \square

Corollary 3.8. *In the same hypothesis as in the Proposition 3.7., the matrix $\Lambda(a) - \Delta(b)$ has the rank 6.*

Proof. From the proof of the last proposition, it results that the matrix $\Lambda(a) - \Delta(b)$ is similar to the matrix

$$B_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-n(a-a_0) + n(b-b_0)}{a_1+b_1} & E_2 & \frac{-n(a-a_0) + n(b-b_0)}{\alpha\beta\gamma(a_1+b_1)} \\ \frac{n(a-a_0) - n(b-b_0)}{a_7+b_7} & 0 & 0 \\ E_1 & B_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $E_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{6 \times 1}(K)$, $E_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{1 \times 6}(K)$, $B_3 \in \mathcal{M}_6(K)$, and if $n(a-a_0) = n(b-b_0)$, then $\text{rank}(\Lambda(a) - \Delta(b)) = \text{rank} B_3 = 6$. \square

Remark 3.9. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with

$$a = a_0 + a_1f_1 + a_2f_2 + a_3f_3 + a_4f_4 + a_5f_5 + a_6f_6 + a_7f_7$$

$$b = b_0 + b_1f_1 + b_2f_2 + b_3f_3 + b_4f_4 + b_5f_5 + b_6f_6 + b_7f_7, \text{ with } t(a) = t(b),$$

then, from Propositions 1.11. and 1.12., it results that the relation

$$n(a)n(b) = \frac{1}{4}(ab+ba)^2 \quad (3.4.)$$

is true if and only if $a = rb$, $r \in K$. If $n(a) = n(b)$ then we have $r = 1$ or $r = -1$. Indeed, the relation (3.4.) is equivalent to

$(n(a))^2 = (\alpha a_1 b_1 + \beta a_2 b_2 + \alpha \beta a_3 b_3 + \gamma a_4 b_4 + \alpha \gamma a_5 b_5 + \beta \gamma a_6 b_6 + \alpha \beta \gamma a_7 b_7)^2$
and, if $a = rb$, we obtain $(n(a) - rn(a))(n(a) + rn(a)) = 0$, therefore either $r = 1$ or $r = -1$. \square

Proposition 3.10. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, $a, b \notin K$ with $\bar{a} \neq b, t(a) = t(b)$,*

$n(a - a_0) = n(b - b_0)$. Then the solutions of the equation $ax = xb$ can be found in $\mathcal{A}(a, b)$ and are:

i) $x = \lambda_1(a - a_0 + b - b_0) + \lambda_2[n(a - a_0) - (a - a_0)(b - b_0)]$, where

$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in K$, if $a \neq b$;

ii) The general solution of the equation $ax = xb$ can be expressed and by the form: $x = (a - a_0)q + q(b - b_0)$, where $q \in \mathcal{A}(a, b)$ is arbitrary;

iii) If $\bar{a} = b$, then the general solution for the equation (3.1.) is : $x = x_1 f_1 + x_2 f_2 + x_3 f_3 + x_4 f_4 + x_5 f_5 + x_6 f_6 + x_7 f_7$, where $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7$ satisfy the equality

$$\alpha a_1 x_1 + \beta a_2 x_2 + \alpha \beta a_3 x_3 + \gamma a_4 x_4 + \alpha \gamma a_5 x_5 + \beta \gamma a_6 x_6 + \alpha \beta \gamma a_7 x_7 = 0.$$

Proof. *i) Let us given $x_1 = a - a_0 + b - b_0, x_2 = n(a - a_0) - (a - a_0)(b - b_0)$. If $b \neq \bar{a}$ it results $x_1 \neq 0$ and $x_2 \notin K$. Then*

$ax_1 - x_1 b = a(a - a_0) + b(b - b_0) - (a - a_0)b - (b - b_0)b$. We write

$a = a_0 + v, b = b_0 + w$ with $t(v) = t(w) = 0$. Then $ax_1 - x_1 b =$

$= (a_0 + v)v + (a_0 + v)w - v(b_0 + w) - w(b_0 + w) = 0$, since

$n(v) = n(w), v^2 = -n(v), w^2 = -n(w)$. Therefore x_1 is a solution.

Analogously $ax_2 - x_2 b = 0$ and x_2 is a solution. It is obvious that $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{A}(a - a_0, b - b_0) = \mathcal{A}(a, b)$. We observe that x_1, x_2 are linear independent. Indeed, if $\theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 = 0, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in K$, it results that $\theta_1 v + \theta_1 w + \theta_2 n(v) - \theta_2 vw = 0$. We have in turn:

$$\theta_2(n(v) + \alpha a_1 b_1 + \beta a_2 b_2 + \alpha \beta a_3 b_3 + \gamma a_4 b_4 + \alpha \gamma a_5 b_5 + \beta \gamma a_6 b_6 + \alpha \beta \gamma a_7 b_7) = 0,$$

$$\theta_1(a_1 + b_1) - \theta_2[\beta(a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2) + \gamma(a_4 b_5 - a_5 b_4) + \beta \gamma(a_7 b_6 - a_6 b_7)] = 0,$$

$$\theta_1(a_2 + b_2) - \theta_2[\alpha(a_3 b_1 - a_1 b_3) + \gamma(a_4 b_6 - a_6 b_4) + \alpha \gamma(a_5 b_7 - a_7 b_5)] = 0,$$

$$\theta_1(a_3 + b_3) - \theta_2[(a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1) + \gamma(a_4 b_7 - a_7 b_4) + \gamma(a_6 b_5 - a_5 b_6)] = 0,$$

$$\theta_1(a_4 + b_4) - \theta_2[\alpha(a_5 b_1 - a_1 b_5) + \beta(a_6 b_2 - a_2 b_6) + \alpha \beta(a_7 b_3 - a_3 b_7)] = 0,$$

$$\theta_1(a_5 + b_5) - \theta_2[(a_1 b_4 - a_4 b_1) + \beta(a_7 b_2 - a_2 b_7) + \beta(a_3 b_6 - a_6 b_3)] = 0,$$

$$\theta_1(a_6 + b_6) - \theta_2[\alpha(a_1 b_7 - a_7 b_1) + (a_2 b_4 - a_4 b_2) + \alpha(a_5 b_3 - a_3 b_5)] = 0,$$

$$\theta_1(a_7 + b_7) - \theta_2[(a_2 b_5 - a_5 b_2) + (a_6 b_1 - a_1 b_6) + (a_3 b_4 - a_4 b_3)] = 0.$$

Since $a \neq b$, from Remark 3.9. it results that $\theta_2 = 0$, therefore $\theta_1(a_1 + b_1) = 0, \dots,$

$\theta_1(a_7 + b_7) = 0$, and from the fact that $b \neq \bar{a}$, it results $\theta_1 = 0$. As the solution subspace of the equation (3.1.) is of dimension two, it results that every solution of this equation has the form $\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2$, with $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in K$, and $\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 \in \mathcal{A}(a - a_0, b - b_0) = \mathcal{A}(a, b)$.

ii) We prove that every element of the form $(a - a_0)q + q(b - b_0)$ is a solution for the equation (3.1.) : $ax - xb = (a_0 + v)(vq + qw) - (vq + qw)(b_0 + w) = a_0vq + a_0qw + v^2q + vqw - vqb_0 - vqw - qwb_0 - qw^2 = 0$. We suppose that z is a solution for the equation (3.1.) . It results that $az = zb$, therefore $vwz = zw$. Take $q = -\frac{vz}{2n(v)} = -\frac{zw}{2n(v)}$, $q \in \mathcal{A}(a, b)$. We have $x = vq + qw = -\frac{v^2z}{2n(v)} - \frac{zw^2}{2n(v)} = \frac{z}{2} + \frac{z}{2} = z$, which gives that every solution can be written in the given form. Obviously, $z \in \mathcal{A}(a, b)$ for $a \neq b$. If $a = b$, let z be a solution for the equation $ax = xa$. Obviously $z \in \mathcal{A}(a)$ and for $q = \frac{-vz}{2n(v)}$, we obtain that every other solution, x , of the equation is of the form $x = -\frac{v^2z}{2n(v)} - \frac{v^2z}{2n(v)} = z \in \mathcal{A}(a)$.

iii) If $b = \bar{a}$, it results $v = -w$. Then, if x is a solution for the equation (3.1.) , we obtain that $(a_0 + v)(x_0 + y) = (x_0 + y)(a_0 - v)$, hence $a_0x_0 + a_0y + vx_0 + vy = x_0a - x_0v + ya_0 - yv$, therefore $2x_0v + vy + yv = 0$, where $x = x_0 + y$, with $x_0 \in K$, $y = x_1f_1 + x_2f_2 + x_3f_3 + x_4f_4 + x_5f_5 + x_6f_6 + x_7f_7$, $t(y) = 0$.

As $vy + yv \in K$, the previous equality is equivalent to $x_0 = 0$ and $vy + yv = 0$, that is $x_0 = 0$ and $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3 + a_4x_4 + a_5x_5 + a_6x_6 + a_7x_7 = 0$. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with*

$$a = a_0 + a_1f_1 + a_2f_2 + a_3f_3 + a_4f_4 + a_5f_5 + a_6f_6 + a_7f_7,$$

$$b = b_0 + b_1f_1 + b_2f_2 + b_3f_3 + b_4f_4 + b_5f_5 + b_6f_6 + b_7f_7.$$

i) ([Ti; 99], Theorem 3.3.) *The equation*

$$ax = \bar{x}b \tag{3.5.}$$

has non-zero solutions if and only if $n(a) = n(b)$. In this case, if $a + \bar{b} \neq 0$, then (3.5.) has a solution of the form $x = \lambda(\bar{a} + b)$, $\lambda \in K$.

ii) *If $a + \bar{b} = 0$, then the general solution of the equation (3.5.) can be written in the form $x = x_0 + x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + x_3e_3 + x_4e_4 + x_5e_5 + x_6e_6 + x_7e_7$, where $a_0x_0 - \alpha a_1x_1 - \beta a_2x_2 - \alpha\beta a_3x_3 - \gamma a_4x_4 - \alpha\gamma a_5x_5 - \beta\gamma a_6x_6 - \alpha\beta\gamma a_7x_7 = 0$.*

Proof. We suppose that (3.5.) has a non-zero solution, $x \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. Then we have $ax = \bar{x}b$ and $n(ax) = n(\bar{x}b)$, $n(a)n(x) = n(x)n(b)$, therefore $n(a) = n(b)$.

Conversely, we suppose that $n(a) = n(b)$. Let us take $y = \bar{a} + b$; we obtain $ay - \bar{y}a = a(\bar{a} + b) - (\bar{a} + b)a = a\bar{a} + ab - a\bar{a} - ab = n(a) - n(b) = 0$.

If $a + \bar{b} = 0$, then $b = -\bar{a}$ and the equation (3.5.) becomes $ax + \bar{a}x = 0$, that is $t(ax) = 0$. But $t(ax) = a_0x_0 - \alpha a_1x_1 - \beta a_2x_2 - \alpha\beta a_3x_3 - \gamma a_4x_4 - \alpha\gamma a_5x_5 - \beta\gamma a_6x_6 - \alpha\beta\gamma a_7x_7 = 0$. \square

Proposition 3.12. *Let $a \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, $a \notin K$. If there exists $r \in K$ such that $n(a) = r^2$, then $a = \bar{q}rq^{-1}$, where $q = r + \bar{a}$.*

Proof. By hypothesis, we have $a(r + \bar{a}) = ar + a\bar{a} = ar + n(a) = ar + r^2 = (a + r)r$. As $\bar{q} = r + a$ it results that $\bar{q}r = aq$. \square

Proposition 3.13. *Let $a \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ with $a \notin K$, such that there exist $r, s \in K$ with properties $n(a) = r^4$ and $n(r^2 + \bar{a}) = s^2$. Then the quadratic equation*

$$x^2 = a \quad (3.6.)$$

has two solutions of the form $x = \pm \frac{r(r^2 + a)}{n(r^2 + \bar{a})}$.

Proof. From Proposition 3.12., it results that a has the form $a = \bar{q}r^2q^{-1}$, where $q = r^2 + \bar{a}$. As $q^{-1} = \frac{\bar{q}}{n(q)}$, we obtain $a = r^2\bar{q}q^{-1} = r^2\bar{q}\frac{\bar{q}}{n(q)} = r^2\frac{\bar{q}^2}{s^2} = (\frac{r}{s}\bar{q})^2$, therefore $x_1 = \frac{r}{s}\bar{q}, x_2 = -\frac{r}{s}\bar{q}$ are the solutions. \square

Corollary 3.14. *Let a, b, c be in $\mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ such that ab and $b^2 - c \notin K$. If ab and $b^2 - c$ satisfy the conditions in Proposition 3.13., then the equations $axx = b$ and $x^2 + bx + xb + c = 0$ have solutions.*

Proof. $axx = b \iff (ax)^2 = ab$ and $x^2 + bx + xb + c = 0 \iff (x+b)^2 = b^2 - c$. \square

Corollary 3.15. *If $b, c \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), b, c \notin K, c \in \mathcal{A}(b)$ with $\frac{b^2}{4} - c \neq 0$ and there exists $r \in K$ such that $n(\frac{b^2}{4} - c) = r^2$, and $n(r^2 + \frac{b^2}{4} - \bar{c}) = s^2, s \neq 0$ then the equation*

$$x^2 + bx + c = 0 \quad (3.7.)$$

has a solution in $\mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{O}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ be a solution of the equation (3.7.). As $x_0^2 = t(x_0)x_0 - n(x_0)$ and $x_0^2 + bx_0 + c = 0$, it results that $t(x_0)x_0 - n(x_0) + bx_0 + c = 0$, therefore $(t(x_0) + b)x_0 = c + n(x_0)$. As $t(x_0) + b \neq 0, t(x_0), n(x_0) \in K, 1 \in \mathcal{A}(b, c)$, it results that $t(x_0) + b$ and $c + n(x_0) \in \mathcal{A}(b, c)$. Therefore $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}(b, c)$. Since $c \in \mathcal{A}(b)$, it results that $\mathcal{A}(b, c) = \mathcal{A}(b)$ is commutative, therefore x_0 commutes with every element of $\mathcal{A}(b, c)$. Then the equation (3.7.) can also be written under the form: $(x + \frac{b}{2})^2 - \frac{b^2}{4} + c = 0$. \square

§ 4. EQUATIONS IN ALGEBRAS OBTAINED BY THE CAYLEY-DICKSON PROCESS OF DIMENSION ≥ 8

In this section, A denotes an algebra obtained by the Cayley-Dickson process and having $\dim A = n, n \geq 8$. Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be a basis of A .

Proposition 4.1. *Let $a, b \in A$ with $t(a) = t(b)$ and $n(a - a_0) = n(b - b_0)$.
i) If $b \neq \bar{a}$, then the equation*

$$ax = xb \quad (4.1.)$$

has a solution of the form $x = \theta(n(a - a_0) + n(b - b_0))$, where $\theta \in K$ is arbitrary.

ii) If $b = \bar{a}$, then the equation (4.1.) has the general solution of the form $x = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + \dots + x_n e_n$, with $f(a, x) = 0$ where $f : A \times A \rightarrow K$ is the associated bilinear form.

Proof. i) Let $x_1 = a - a_0 + b - b_0$. We denote $a - a_0 = v, b - b_0 = w$, with $t(v) = t(w) = 0$ and $n(v) = n(w)$; then we have $ax_1 - x_1b = (a_0 + v)(a - a_0 + b - b_0) - (a - a_0 + b - b_0)(b_0 + w) = a_0(a - a_0) + a_0(b - b_0) + v(a - a_0) + v(b - b_0) - (a - a_0)b_0 - (b - b_0)b_0 - (a - a_0)w - (b - b_0)w = v^2 + vw - vw - w^2 = 0$.

ii) If $b = \bar{a}$, then the equation (4.1.) becomes $ax = x\bar{a}$, therefore

$(a_0 + v)(x_0 + y) - (x_0 + y)(a_0 - v) = 0, vx_0 + vy + x_0v + yv = 0$ and $2vx_0 + vy + yv = 0$. As $vy + yv \in K$ (in Proposition 1.11.), it results that $x_0 = 0$, therefore $vy + yv = 0$, where $x = x_0 + y$, with $t(y) = 0$ and we obtain (by Proposition 1.11.) $f(a, x) = 0$. \square

Remark 4.2. Since A is not an alternative algebra, we obtain that the element $x_2 = n(a - a_0) - (a - a_0)(b - b_0)$ is not a solution for the equation (4.1.)

Proposition 4.3. *Let $a, b \in A$.*

i) ([Ti; 99] Theorem 4.3.) *The equation*

$$ax = \bar{x}b \quad (4.2.)$$

has non-zero solutions if $n(a) = n(b)$. In this case, if $a + \bar{b} \neq 0$, then (4.2.) has a solution of the form $x = \lambda(\bar{a} + b), \lambda \in K$.

ii) If $a + \bar{b} = 0$, then the general solution for the equation (4.2.) can be written under the form $x = x_0 + x_1e_1 + \dots + x_n e_n$, where $t(ax) = 0$ and t is the trace

Proof. i) We suppose that $n(a) = n(b)$. Let $y = \bar{a} + b$ and we obtain $ay - \bar{y}a = a(\bar{a} + b) - (a + \bar{b})b = a\bar{a} + ab - ab - \bar{b}b = n(a) - n(b) = 0$.

ii) If $a + \bar{b} = 0$, then $b = -\bar{a}$ and the equation (4.2.) becomes $ax + \bar{a}x = 0$, that is $t(ax) = 0$. \square

Proposition 4.4 *Let $a \in A, a \notin K$. If there exists $r \in K$ such that $n(a) = r^2$, then $a = \bar{q}r q^{-1}$, where $q = r + \bar{a}$.*

Proof. By hypothesis, we have $a(r + \bar{a}) = ar + a\bar{a} = ar + n(a) = ar + r^2 = (a + r)r$. As $\bar{q} = r + a$, it results $\bar{q}r = aq$. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let $a \in A$ with $a \notin K$, such that there exist $r, s \in K$ with the property $n(a) = r^4$ and $n(r^2 + \bar{a}) = s^2$. Then the quadratic equation*

$$x^2 = a \quad (4.3.)$$

has two solutions of the form $x = \pm \frac{r(r^2+a)}{n(r^2+\bar{a})}$.

Proof. From Proposition 4.4., it results that a has the form $a = \bar{q}r^2q^{-1}$, where $q = r^2 + \bar{a}$. As $q^{-1} = \frac{\bar{q}}{n(q)}$, we obtain that $a = r^2\bar{q}q^{-1} = r^2\bar{q}\frac{\bar{q}}{n(q)} = r^2\frac{\bar{q}^2}{s^2} = \left(\frac{r}{s}\bar{q}\right)^2$, therefore $x_1 = \frac{r}{s}\bar{q}$ and $x_2 = -\frac{r}{s}\bar{q}$ are solutions. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let $a, b, c \in A$ such that ab and $b^2 - c \notin K$. If ab and $b^2 - c$ satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 4.5., then the equation $x^2 + bx + xb + c = 0$ has solutions.*

Proof. $x^2 + bx + xb + c = 0 \iff (x + b)^2 = b^2 - c$. \square

Remark 4.7. Since, generally, the equation $xax = b$ cannot be written in the form $(ax)(ax) = ab$ in A , we cannot solve this equation by using Proposition 4.5.

Corollary 4.8. *If $b, c \in A, b, c \notin K, by = yb, \forall y \in A$ with $\frac{b^2}{4} - c \neq 0$ and there exists $r \in K$ such that $n\left(\frac{b^2}{4} - c\right) = r^4$, $n\left(r^2 + \frac{\bar{b}^2}{4} - \bar{c}\right) = s^2, s \neq 0$, then the equation*

$$x^2 + bx + c = 0, \quad (4.4.)$$

has solutions in A .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in A$ be a solution of the equation (4.4). Since $by = yb, \forall y \in A$, then the equation (4.4.) can be also written as $\left(x + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{b^2}{4} + c = 0$ and then we get the result from Proposition 4.5. \square

References

- [Br; 67] Brown, R. B., *On generalized Cayley-Dickson algebras*, Pacific J. of Math., Vol.20, No.3, 415-422, 1967.
- [Dr, Ki; 94] Drozd, Yu. A., Kirichenko, V.V., *Finite Dimensional Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [El, My; 94] Elduque, A., Myung, H.C., *Mutations of Alternative Algebras*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.
- [El, Pe-I; 99] Elduque, A., Pérez-Izquierdo, J. M. , *Composition algebras of degree two*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **42**, 641-653, 1999.
- [Jh; 80] Jhonson, R. E., *On the equation $\chi\alpha = \gamma\chi + \beta$ over algebraic division ring*, J. of Algebra **67**, 479-490, 1980.
- [Ko, Sh; 90] Kostrikin, A. I., Shafarevich, I.R. (Eds), *Algebra I*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [Ko, Sh; 95] Kostrikin, A. I., Shafarevich, I.R. (Eds), *Algebra VI*, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [Ok; 99] Okubo, S., *Eigenvalues problem for symmetric 3×3 Octonionic Matrix*, Advances in App. Clifford Algebras **9**, No.1, 131-176, 1999.
- [Ok, My; 80] Okubo, S., Myung, H. C., *Some new classes of Division Algebras*, J. of Algebra **67**, 479-490, 1980.
- [Os; 62] Osborn, J. M., *Quadratic Division Algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **115**, 202-221, 1962.
- [Ra; 88] Racine, M. L., *Minimal identities of Octonion Algebras*, J. of Algebra **115**, 251-260, 1988.
- [Sc; 66] Schafer, R. D., *An Introduction to Nonassociative Algebras*, Academic Press, New-York, 1966.
- [Sc; 54] Schafer, R. D., *On the algebras formed by the Cayley-Dickson process*, Amer. J. Math. **76**, 435-446, 1954.
- [Sc; 55] Schafer, R. D., *Structure and representation of nonassociative algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **61**, 469-484, 1955.
- [Ti; 00] Tian, Y., *Matrix representations of octonions and their applications*, Advances in App. Clifford Algebras **10**, No.1, 61-90, 2000.
- [Ti; 99] Tian, Y., *Similarity and consimilarity of elements in the real Cayley-Dickson algebras*, Advances in App. Clifford Algebras **9**, No.1, 61-76, 1999.

”Ovidius” University of Constanta,
 Department of Mathematics,
 8700 Constanta,
 Romania
 e-mail: cflaut@univ-ovidius.ro