



ABOUT THE H - MEASURE OF A SET. II.

Alina Bărbulescu

Dedicated to Professor Mirela Ștefănescu on the occasion of her 60th birthday

Abstract

In the papers [B1] and [B2] we have established some conditions for the finitude of the Hausdorff h-measure of some set. Now, we shall determine a better minorant for this measure.

1 Definitions

We denote by R^n the euclidean n - dimensional space and by $d(E)$ - the diameter of a set $E \subset R^n$.

Definition 1 *If $r_0 > 0$ is a fixed number, a continuous function $h(r)$, defined on $[0, r_0)$, nondecreasing and such that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} h(r) = 0$ is called a measure function.*

If $E \subset R^n$ is a bounded set and $\delta \in R_+$, the Hausdorff h-measure of E is defined by:

$$H_h(E) = \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_i h(\rho_i),$$

inf being considered over all coverings of E with a countable number of spheres of radius $\rho_i \leq \delta$.

Definition 2 *$f : D(\subset R^n) \rightarrow \bar{R}$ is a δ - class Lipschitz function if*

$$|f(x + \alpha) - f(x)| \leq M |\alpha|^\delta, x \in D, \alpha \in R^n, x + \alpha \in D, M > 0.$$

Received: June, 2001

Definition 3 Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 > 0$ be functions defined in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that φ_1 and φ_2 are equivalent and we denote by: $\varphi_1 \sim \varphi_2$, for $x \rightarrow 0$, if there exist $r > 0$, $Q > 0$, satisfying:

$$\frac{1}{Q}\varphi_1(x) \leq \varphi_2(x) \leq Q\varphi_1(x), (\forall)x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |x| < r.$$

An analogous definition can be given for $x \rightarrow \infty$. In this case, $\varphi_1 \sim \varphi_2$ means that the previous inequalities have place in all the space.

If $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, the graph of f is the set: $\Gamma = \{(x, f(x)) | x \in [0, 1]\}$.

2 Results

Theorem 1 If $\delta \in [0, 1]$, h is a measure function such that

$$h(t) \sim t^2 \tag{1}$$

and $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a δ - class Lipschitz function, then: $H_h(\Gamma) < +\infty$.

(see [B1])

Lemma 2 Consider that $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a closed and bounded set, which has a finite Hausdorff h - measure. Suppose that there exists an additive function $\varphi(U)$, defined on union, U , of n - dimensional intervals of the type

$$Q = [a_1, b_1) \times \dots \times [a_n, b_n), a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}, a_i < b_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \tag{2}$$

and which satisfies the properties:

- (1) $\varphi(U) \geq 0$, for every U ;
- (2) if $U \supseteq E$ then $\varphi(U) \geq \alpha$, where α is a fixed constant;
- (3) there exists a constant $k \neq 0$ such that:

$$\varphi(U) \leq k \cdot h[d(U)]. \tag{3}$$

Then:

$$H_h(E) \geq \frac{\alpha}{k}.$$

Proof. We denote by \mathbf{M} the set of all intervals U of the type (2). To determine $H_h(E)$, we consider a covering of E with sets that satisfy Definition 1. From the Heine - Borel - Lebesgue theorem, it results that we can choose a finite number of convex sets $(E_i)_{i \in I}$ (I is finite) such that: $E \subset \cup_{i \in I} E_i$.

Consider $E_i \subset U_i \in \mathbf{M}$, with:

$$h[d(U_i)] < (1 + \varepsilon)h[d(E_i)], \varepsilon > 0.$$

From (3), we have:

$$h[d(U_i)] \geq \frac{1}{k} \varphi(U_i).$$

Thus:

$$\sum_{i \in I} h[d(E_i)] > \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{i \in I} h[d(U_i)] \geq \frac{1}{k(1+\varepsilon)} \sum_{i \in I} \varphi(U_i) \geq \frac{1}{k(1+\varepsilon)} \varphi(\cup_{i \in I} U_i)$$

because

$$\varphi(\cup_{i \in I} U_i) \leq \sum_{i \in I} \varphi(U_i).$$

But $\cup_{i \in I} U_i \supset E$ and we can apply (3): there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that:

$$\varphi(\cup_{i \in I} U_i) \geq \alpha.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i \in I} h[d(E_i)] \geq \frac{1}{k(1+\varepsilon)} \varphi(\cup_{i \in I} U_i) \geq \frac{\alpha}{k(1+\varepsilon)}$$

and $H_h(E) \geq \frac{\alpha}{k}$. □

Theorem 3 *In the hypothesis of the previous theorem there exist $\alpha, k > 0$ such that: $H_h(\Gamma) \geq \frac{\alpha}{k}$.*

Proof. We prove that the conditions of the Lemma 5 are satisfied. $H_h(\Gamma) > 0$, from the Theorem 4. We consider $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^m Q_i$, where

$$Q_i = [a_i, b_i] \times [c_i, d_i], \quad a_i, b_i \in R, \quad a_i < b_i, \quad c_i < d_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (4)$$

and we define

$$\varphi(U) = \sum_{i=1; c_i d_i > 0}^m (b_i - a_i) \times \max\{|c_i|, |d_i|\} + \sum_{i=1; c_i d_i > 0}^m (b_i - a_i) \times |d_i - c_i|. \quad (5)$$

(i) $\varphi(U) \geq 0$, for every U.

(ii) We denote:

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= \{(x, y) \in R^2 : 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq f(x)\} \\ G_2 &= \{(x, y) \in R^2 : 0 \leq x \leq 1, f(x) \leq y \leq 0\} \end{aligned}$$

and α – the sum of the areas of G_1 and G_2 :

$$\alpha = \sigma(G_1) + \sigma(G_2).$$

If $U \supseteq \Gamma$, then $\varphi(U) \geq \alpha$.

(iii) From (1), we deduce that there exists a constant $Q > 0$ such that:

$$\frac{1}{Q}d^2(U) \leq h[d(U)] \leq Qd^2(U).$$

Then

$$\varphi(U) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m d(U)^2 = m \cdot d(U)^2 \leq mQh[d(U)].$$

Using theorem 4, it results:

$$H_h(\Gamma) \geq \frac{1}{mQ} [\sigma(G_1) + \sigma(G_2)].$$

The proof is complete. □

References

- [B1] A. Barbulescu, *La finitude d'une h -mesure Hausdorff d' une ensemble des points dans le plan*, Analele Univ. "Valahia", Târgoviste, 1995/1996, fasc. II, p.93 -99
- [B2] A. Barbulescu, *About the h - measure of a set*, Proceedings of "International Conference on Complex Analysis and Related Topics", Brasov, 2001 (to appear)
- [B3] A. Barbulescu, *P- modulus and p - capacity (PhD. Thesis)*, Iassy, 1997

"Ovidius" University of Constanta,
 Department of Mathematics,
 8700 Constanta,
 Romania
 e-mail: abarbulescu@univ-ovidius.ro