



## A note on decompositions in abelian group rings

Peter V. Danchev

### Abstract

We find a necessary and sufficient condition for a normal decomposition of the group of normed units in a commutative group ring (of prime characteristic) into certain its subgroups. This extends a recent assertion of ours in (Vladikavkaz Math. J., 2007). We also give some new proofs of our recent results published in (Miskolc Math. Notes, 2005).

### I. Introduction

Throughout the rest of the present paper, let  $G$  be an abelian group with subgroups  $A$  and  $B$ , possibly proper, and let  $R$  be a commutative unitary ring. As usual, the letter  $V(RG)$  denotes the normalized unit group in the group ring  $RG$  and  $S(RG)$  is its Sylow  $p$ -subgroup, for some arbitrary but a fixed prime  $p$ . For  $B \leq G$ , the symbol  $I(RG; B)$  designates the relative augmentation ideal of  $RG$  with respect to  $B$ , and  $I_p(RG; B)$  is its nil-radical. It is apparent that  $I(RG; B) = I_p(RG; B)$  whenever  $B$  is a  $p$ -group and  $\text{char}(R) = p$ .

A theme that arises naturally is for the decomposition of  $V(RG)$  and, in particular, of  $S(RG)$  (e.g. [1]-[5]). It was intensively studied in a subsequent series of articles [6] and [8] as well as in the current one. The aim of such studies is of finding a connection between appropriate decompositions of  $V(RG)$ , respectively  $S(RG)$ , and  $G$ . When these decompositions are direct, they are rather useful for the investigation of direct sums of subgroups with a special structure (for instance, subgroups with cardinality not exceeding  $\aleph_1$  - see [2] and [3]).

---

Key Words: Group rings; Normed units; Decompositions; Homomorphisms;  $p$ -components.  
Mathematics Subject Classification: 16U60, 16S34; 20K10, 20K21.  
Received: October, 2007  
Accepted: January, 2008

The purpose of this exploration is to systematize the attainments from [6] and [8] such that, as a new moment, an explicit criterion for a certain decomposition of  $V(RG)$  is given.

All unexplained notions and notations will be in agreement with those from [9].

## II. Main affirmations

In this section, we state our main results and their corollaries. We start with the following decomposition property of  $V(RG)$ .

### Theorem.

- (1)  $V(RG) = V(RA)[(1 + I(RG; B)) \cap V(RG)] \iff G = AB$
- (2)  $V(RG) = V(RA) \times [(1 + I(RG; B)) \cap V(RG)] \iff G = A \times B.$

**Proof.** We foremost concentrate on the first relationship. First of all, we concern the necessity. For this goal, we know that the natural map  $\phi : G \rightarrow G/B$  can be linearly extended to a group homomorphism  $\Phi : V(RG) \rightarrow V(R(G/B))$  with kernel  $(1 + I(RG; B)) \cap V(RG)$  and its restriction  $\Phi_{V(RA)} : V(RA) \rightarrow V(R(AB/B))$ . Next, given  $g \in G \subseteq V(RG)$  whence  $g \in V(RA)(1 + I(RG; B))$ . Thus, by acting both sides with  $\Phi$ , we deduce that  $gB \in V(R(AB/B))$ . Hence  $gB \in (G/B) \cap V(R(AB/B)) = AB/B$ , which trivially forces that  $g \in AB$ , as required.

After this, we deal with the sufficiency. Because  $G = AB$ , we infer that  $\phi(G) = \phi(A)$ . Let now  $v \in V(RG)$  with  $v = \sum_{g \in G} r_g g$ , where  $r_g \in R$ . Consequently, via the action of  $\Phi$ , we have that  $\Phi(v) = \Phi(\sum_{g \in G} r_g g) = \sum_{g \in G} r_g \Phi(g) = \sum_{g \in G} r_g \phi(g) = \sum_{a \in A} \alpha_a \phi(a) = \sum_{a \in A} \alpha_a \Phi(a) = \Phi(\sum_{a \in A} \alpha_a a) = \Phi(u)$ , where we put  $u = \sum_{a \in A} \alpha_a a \in RA$ . Since  $v$  is invertible in  $RG$ , it is therefore a straightforward argument to see that  $u$  is also invertible in  $RA$ . Thus  $\Phi(v) = \Phi(u)$ , where  $u \in V(RA)$ . Finally,  $vu^{-1} \in \ker \Phi = (1 + I(RG; B)) \cap V(RG)$ , and thereby we are done.

As for the second dependence, we routine observe with the aid of Intersection Lemma proved in [3] that  $(1 + I(RG; B)) \cap V(RA) \subseteq 1 + I(RA; A \cap B) = 1$  provided that  $A \cap B = 1$ . So, the previous point works and this concludes the proof.

**Remark.** The relation (2) actually generalizes the Claim in [8] by adding the reverse implication " $\Leftarrow$ ".

As immediate consequences, we yield

**Corollary** ([1],[2],[3],[4],[5]). *Let  $B \leq G_p$  and  $\text{char}(R) = p$ , a prime integer. Then*

- (3)  $V(RG) = V(RA)(1 + I(RG; B)) \iff G = AB$
- (4)  $V(RG) = V(RA) \times (1 + I(RG; B)) \iff G = A \times B.$

**Proof.** Since  $B$  is  $p$ -primary, it is elementary to see that  $1 + I(RG; B)$  is a nil-ideal, whence  $1 + I(RG; B) \subseteq S(RG)$ . Furthermore, the preceding theorem is applicable, and we are finished.

We pose two questions of interest.

**Problem 1.** Suppose that  $\text{char}(R) = p$ . Then find a suitable criterion (in terms of a decomposition for  $G$  if possible) when  $V(RG) = V(RA)(1 + I_p(RG; B))$  and, in particular, when  $V(RG) = V(RA) \times (1 + I_p(RG; B))$ .

Owing to the Corollary, alluded to above, we should consider only the situation  $B \neq B_p$ .

**Problem 2.** Suppose that  $\text{char}(R) = p$ . Then find a suitable criterion (in terms of a decomposition for  $G$  if possible) when  $V(RG) = V(RA)[B(1 + I_p(RG; B))]$  and, in particular, when  $V(RG) = V(RA) \times [B(1 + I_p(RG; B))]$ .

It is worthwhile noting for the latter formula of the last problem that, when  $G$  is  $p$ -mixed that is the only torsion is  $p$ -torsion, and  $R$  is with no idempotents (in particular with no zero divisors), such a necessary and sufficient condition, namely  $G = A \times B$ , was demonstrated in [8]. As aforementioned, only the case  $B \neq B_p$  must be examined.

Finally, we ensure a new confirmation of own statements from [6]. Specifically, we proceed by proving the following

**Proposition ([6]).** *Let  $G = AB$  where  $A \leq G$  and  $B \leq G$  and let  $R$  be of prime  $\text{char}(R) = p$ . Then*

$$S(RG) = S(RA)(1 + I_p(RG; B)) \iff G_p = A_p B_p.$$

**Proof.** For the first implication, the natural map  $G \rightarrow G/B$  induces a homomorphism  $\pi : S(RG) \rightarrow S(R(G/B))$ . The given formula for  $S(RG)$  simply says the image of  $S(RG)$  is the same as the image of  $S(RA)$ . If we assume the formula and  $g_p \in G_p$ , then  $\pi(g_p) = \pi(s)$ ,  $s \in S(RA)$ . Thus there exists  $a_p \in A_p$  such that  $\pi(g_p) = \pi(a_p)$ , hence  $g_p a_p^{-1} \in B_p = G_p \cap (1 + I_p(RG; B))$ . That is why,  $G_p = A_p B_p$ , as desired.

Conversely, for the other implication, if  $G_p = A_p B_p$  and  $x \in S(RG)$ , then writing  $x$  out and replacing under the action of  $\pi$  every  $p$ -torsion element of form  $t = t_a t_b \in A_p B_p$  by  $t_a$ , and every  $g = g_a g_b \in AB$  by  $g_b$ , we obtain the existence of  $y \in S(RA)$  such that  $\pi(y) = \pi(x)$ , whence  $xy^{-1} \in 1 + I_p(RG; B)$ . Thus,  $S(RG) = S(RA)(1 + I_p(RG; B))$ , as wanted.

**Remark.** Note that a more general version of the last equivalence was established in [8].

We also provide a new argumentation of the niceness proposition in [6] for a ring without nilpotent elements.

**Proposition ([6]).** *Suppose  $N$  is a  $p$ -balanced, that is a  $p$ -nice and  $p$ -isotype, subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $1 + I_p(RG; N)$  is nice in  $S(RG)$  provided  $R$  is perfect with no nilpotents of prime  $\text{char}(R) = p$ .*

**Proof.** Put  $H = G/N$ . Then the canonical map  $G \rightarrow H$  induces a homomorphism  $\pi : S(RG) \rightarrow S(RH)$  and, to finish the proof, it suffices to show that every element of  $S(RH)$  has a pre-image in  $S(RG)$  of the same  $p$ -height. But elements in  $S(RH)$  are linear combinations of elements of form  $h_p$  and  $h - hh_p$ , where  $h_p \in H_p$  and  $h \in H$ . But the assumptions on  $N$  of  $p$ -niceness and  $p$ -isotypeness guarantee that elements of  $H$  have pre-images in  $G$  of the same  $p$ -height, and that the pre-images of  $p$ -torsion elements may be taken to be  $p$ -torsion as well. Thus, in conclusion, every element of  $S(RH)$  has a pre-image in  $S(RG)$  of the same  $p$ -height, as needed.

**Remark.** Notice that the same technique can be employed to prove niceness of some other groups of type  $S(RA)$ , which were considered and attacked via a different approach in [7].

## References

- [1] P. V. Danchev, *Unit groups of abelian group rings with prime characteristic*, *Compt. Rend. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, **48** (8) (1995), 5-8.
- [2] P. V. Danchev, *Isomorphism of modular group algebras of direct sums of torsion-complete abelian  $p$ -groups*, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, **101** (1999), 51-58.
- [3] P. V. Danchev, *Modular group algebras of coproducts of countable abelian groups*, *Hokkaido Math. J.*, **29** (2) (2000), 255-262.
- [4] P. V. Danchev, *The splitting problem and the direct factor problem in modular abelian group algebras*, *Math. Balkanica*, **14** (3-4) (2000), 217-226.
- [5] P. V. Danchev, *Normed units in abelian group rings*, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **43** (3) (2001), 365-373.
- [6] P. V. Danchev, *On a decomposition formula in commutative group rings*, *Miskolc Math. Notes*, **6** (2) (2005), 153-159.
- [7] P. V. Danchev, *On the balanced subgroups of modular group rings*, *Vladikavkaz Math. J.*, **8** (2) (2006), 29-32.
- [8] P. V. Danchev, *On a decomposition equality in modular group rings*, *Vladikavkaz Math. J.*, **9** (2) (2007), 3-8.
- [9] L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, volumes I and II, Mir, Moskva, 1974 and 1977 (in Russian).

13, General Kutuzov Str.  
 bl. 7, floor 2, apart. 4  
 4003 Plovdiv  
 Bulgaria  
 E-mail: pvdanchev@yahoo.com