



Compactness and Radon-Nikodym properties on the Banach space of convergent series

A. L. Barrenechea and C. C. Peña

Abstract

We characterize the bounded linear operators on the Banach space γ of convergent complex sequences. The class of infinite matrices that determine such operators is determined, as well as those that induce conservative, regular or compact operators. It is seen that γ does not have the Radon-Nikodym property and hence it is deduced its non reflexivity and its non uniform convexity.

1 Preliminaries

In this article we investigate the structure of bounded operators on the sequence space γ of convergent series, as well as some of their geometric properties. In 1949 R. G. Cooke characterized the class of bounded operators between γ and the Banach space c of the convergent sequences (cf. [5]). For a further study and a more complete list of references and reader can see [9]. The following facts are well-known:

Theorem 1 *Let c be the space of convergent complex sequences endowed with the supremum norm, i.e. $\|z\|_c = \sup_{n \geq 1} |z_n|$ for $z \in c$.*

- (i) *With the usual coordinate operations c becomes a Banach space, as it is a closed subspace of l^∞ .*
- (ii) *If $e = (1, 1, \dots)$ then $c = c_0 \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot e$, where c_0 is the Banach subspace of c of sequences that converge to zero.*

Key Words: Conservative and regular operators; Radon-Nikodym type properties; Hausdorff measure of non compactness; Uniform convexity of Banach spaces.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 40C05; 40E05

Received: 8 November, 2007

Accepted: January, 2008

(iii) $c_0^* \approx l^1$, where \approx denotes an isometric isomorphism of Banach spaces and l^1 is the usual Banach space of absolutely convergent series.

(iv) Every $\varphi \in c^*$ can be written in a unique way as

$$\varphi(z) = \tilde{a}_0 \cdot \lambda(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cdot (z_n - \lambda(z)) \quad \text{if } z \in c, \quad (1)$$

where $\lambda \in c^*$ is defined as $\lambda(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n$. Further, $a_n = \varphi(e_n)$ if $n \geq 1$, $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^1$ and $\tilde{a}_0 = \varphi(e)$. By (1) we can write

$$\varphi(z) = \left(\tilde{a}_0 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right) \lambda(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cdot z_n.$$

(v) Moreover, if $a_0 \triangleq \tilde{a}_0 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ then $\|\varphi\| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|$.

In order to be more clear and self-contained we prove the following:

Corollary 2 (cf. [12]) *A linear operator $A : c \rightarrow c$ is bounded if and only if there is a unique bi-index sequence $\{a_{n,m}\}_{n,m=0}^{\infty}$ so that*

$$A(z) = \left\{ a_{n,0} \cdot \lambda(z) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{n,m} \cdot z_m \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \quad \text{if } z \in c \quad (2)$$

and

$$\|A\| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |a_{n,m}|. \quad (3)$$

In particular, the following limits exist:

$$a_{0,0} \triangleq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{n,m}, \quad (4)$$

$$a_{0,m} \triangleq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n,m}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \quad (5)$$

and $\{a_{0,m}\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \in l^1$.

Proof. If $A \in \mathcal{B}(c)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\chi_n \in c^*$ is the projection onto the n -th coordinate, by (iv) there is a unique sequence $\{a_{n,m}\}_{m=0}^{\infty} \in l^1$ so that

$$(\chi_n \circ A)(z) = a_{n,0} \cdot \lambda(z) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{n,m} \cdot z_m \quad \text{if } z \in c. \quad (6)$$

Indeed, $a_{n,0} = (\chi_n \circ A)(e) - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{n,m}$ and $\|\chi_n \circ A\| = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |a_{n,m}|$. Since $\{(\chi_n \circ A)(z)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathfrak{c}$ if $z \in \mathfrak{c}$ an application of the uniform boundedness principle gives

$$\sigma \triangleq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |a_{n,m}| < \infty. \quad (7)$$

By (6) we see that $\|A\| \leq \sigma$ and we can assume that $\sigma > 0$. If $0 < \varepsilon < \sigma$ let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |a_{n,m}| > \sigma - \varepsilon/2$. Then choose $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{m_0} |a_{n,m}| > \sigma - \varepsilon/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{m>m_0} |a_{n,m}| < \varepsilon/2.$$

As in Theorem 1(v), let

$$z = \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} \overline{u(a_{n,m})} \cdot e_m + \overline{u(a_{n,0})} \cdot \left(e - \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} e_m \right).$$

Then $\|z\|_{\mathfrak{c}} = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\| &\geq |(\chi_n \circ A)(z)| = \\ &= \left| \sum_{m=0}^{m_0} |a_{n,m}| + \overline{u(a_{n,0})} \sum_{m>m_0} a_{n,m} \right| \geq \\ &\geq \sum_{m=0}^{m_0} |a_{n,m}| - \left| \sum_{m>m_0} a_{n,m} \right| \geq \\ &\geq \sigma - \varepsilon/2 - \sum_{m>m_0} |a_{n,m}| \geq \\ &\geq \sigma - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary, (3) follows. As $(\chi_n \circ A)(e) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{n,m}$, the limit in (4) exists. The existence of the limits in (5) is immediate as $a_{0,m} = \lambda(A(e_m))$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and the conditions are necessary.

On the other hand, let $\{a_{n,m}\}_{n,m=0}^{\infty}$ be a given sequence of complex scalars so that (7), (4) and (5) hold. We shall show that (2) defines an operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{c})$. For, by (7) giving $z \in \mathfrak{c}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{n,m} \cdot z_m$ converges. Further,

$$a_{n,0} \cdot \lambda(z) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{n,m} \cdot z_m = \lambda(z) \cdot \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{n,m} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{n,m} \cdot (z_m - \lambda(z)). \quad (8)$$

By (5) and (7) we see that if $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\sum_{m=1}^M |a_{0,m}| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^M |a_{n,m}| \leq \sigma,$$

i.e. $\{a_{0,m}\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \in l^1$. Therefore, by (8), (4) and (7), we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ a_{n,0} \cdot \lambda(z) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{n,m} \cdot z_m \right\} = a_{0,0} \cdot \lambda(z) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{0,m} \cdot (z_m - \lambda(z)), \quad (9)$$

i.e. A is well defined. That A is bounded is immediate by (7).

Corollary 3 *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(c)$. The unique bi-index sequence $\{a_{n,m}\}_{n,m=0}^{\infty}$ that determinates A is defined as follows:*

$$a_{n,m} = \begin{cases} (\chi_n \circ A)(e_m) & \text{if } n, m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ (\chi_n \circ A)(e) - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\chi_n \circ A)(e_m) & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}, m = 0, \\ \lambda(A(e_m)) & \text{if } n = 0, m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \lambda(A(e)) & \text{if } n = m = 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 4 *A bi-index sequence $\{a_{n,m}\}_{n,m=0}^{\infty}$ is called **c-conservative** if it satisfies the conditions of Corollary 2. Further, $\{a_{n,m}\}_{n,m=0}^{\infty}$ is said **c-regular** if it is conservative and its induced bounded operator A on c preserves limits, i.e. $\lambda(A(z)) = \lambda(z)$ for all $z \in c$. From (9) it is easily seeing that $\{a_{n,m}\}_{n,m=0}^{\infty}$ is c-regular if and only if $a_{0,0} = 1$ and $a_{0,m} = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

The study of the properties of γ listed in this paper are motivated on recent works about the structure and behaviour of derivations on certain Banach algebras (cf [1], [2]). In particular, intrinsic connections between derivations on non-amenable nuclear Banach algebras whose underlying space has a shrinking basis and the corresponding multiplier Banach sequence space were recently established (cf. [3]). There is a huge literature on the structure of operators on classic Banach sequence spaces, but we believe that a careful look of γ will allow a more deeper understand of its Banach algebra of bounded operators. With this aim we shall try to write this article in order that it be self-contained as well as possible.

In Section 2 we introduce the Banach space of complex convergent series and we characterize in Theorem 7 its bounded operators. The so called γ -regular and γ -conservative operators are determined. In Section 3 we analyze the Radon-Nikodym property on γ and then we deduce that it is not reflexive and not uniformly convex Banach space. Finally, in Section 4 it is characterized the class of compact operators on γ .

2 Concerning to the space γ of convergent series

Let γ be the set of complex convergent series endowed with its natural vector space structure. If $z \in \gamma$ set

$$\|z\|_\gamma = \sup_{m \geq 1} \left| \sum_{n=1}^m z_n \right|.$$

We shall write $S_m(z) = \sum_{n=1}^m z_n$ and $S(z) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty z_n$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \gamma$. Clearly $(\gamma, \|\cdot\|_\gamma)$ is a complex normed space and $\{S\} \cup \{S_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \overline{B}_{\gamma^*}(0, 1)$.

Proposition 5 $(\gamma, \|\cdot\|_\gamma)$ is a complex Banach space.

Proof. Let $\{z^k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a Cauchy sequence in γ , with $z^k = (z_n^k)_{n=1}^\infty$ if $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $|z_1^k - z_1^{k+h}| \leq \|z^k - z^{k+h}\|_\gamma$ if $k, h \in \mathbb{N}$ then $(z_1^k)_{k=1}^\infty$ becomes a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{C} . Thus it has a limit, say $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_1^k \triangleq z_1$. Further, let assume that the limits $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_j^k \triangleq z_j$ exist if $1 \leq j < J$. Since

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^J (z_n^k - z_n^{k+h}) \right| \geq |z_J^k - z_J^{k+h}| - \left| \sum_{n=1}^{J-1} (z_n^k - z_n^{k+h}) \right|$$

we see that $|z_J^k - z_J^{k+h}| \leq 2 \|z^k - z^{k+h}\|_\gamma$ if $k, h \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. $(z_j^k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence. Hence we can write $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_j^k \triangleq z_j$ and inductively we constructed a sequence $z \triangleq (z_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. If $\varepsilon > 0$ let $k(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ be so that $\|z^k - z^{k+h}\|_\gamma \leq \varepsilon/4$ if $k \geq k(\varepsilon)$ and $h \in \mathbb{N}$. Whence, if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^m (z_n^k - z_n^{k+h}) \right| \leq \|z^k - z^{k+h}\|_\gamma \leq \varepsilon/4$$

and letting $h \rightarrow \infty$ we deduce that $|\sum_{n=1}^m (z_n^k - z_n)| \leq \varepsilon$. Since $z^{k(\varepsilon)} \in \gamma$ there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $|\sum_{n=k}^{k+h} z_n^{k(\varepsilon)}| \leq \varepsilon/2$ if $k \geq k_0$ and $h \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, if $k \geq k_0$ and $h \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$\left| \sum_{n=k}^{k+h} z_n \right| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{k+h} (z_n - z_n^{k(\varepsilon)}) - \sum_{n=1}^{k-1} (z_n - z_n^{k(\varepsilon)}) + \sum_{n=k}^{k+h} z_n^{k(\varepsilon)} \right| \leq \varepsilon$$

and so $z \in \gamma$. By the previous reasoning we get $\|z - z^k\|_\gamma \leq \varepsilon$ if $k \geq k(\varepsilon)$.

Theorem 6 *A linear form t on γ is bounded if and only if there is a unique sequence $a = (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ so that*

$$t(z) = \lambda(a) \cdot S(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n - a_{n+1}) \cdot S_n(z) \text{ if } z \in \gamma \quad (10)$$

and $(a_n - a_{n+1})_{n=1}^\infty \in l^1$. Indeed,

$$\|t\| = |\lambda(a)| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n - a_{n+1}|. \quad (11)$$

Proof. The map $S_0 : \gamma \rightarrow c$ so that $S_0(z) = \{S_n(z)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ if $z \in \gamma$ is a well defined linear isomorphism of γ onto c whose inverse for $w \in c$ is given by $S_0^{-1}(w) = (w_1, w_2 - w_1, w_3 - w_2, \dots)$. Thus, if $t \in \gamma^*$ and $\tilde{t} \triangleq t \circ S_0^{-1}$ then $\tilde{t} \in c^*$. By Th.1(iv) we know that $\{\tilde{t}(e_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in l^1$. Hence $\{t(e_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \in c$ and if $z = S_0^{-1}(w)$ for $w \in c$ and $z \in \gamma$ then

$$\begin{aligned} t(z) &= \tilde{t}(w) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t(e_n) \cdot \lambda(w) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t(e_n - e_{n+1}) \cdot w_n \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t(e_n) \cdot S(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t(e_n - e_{n+1}) \cdot S_n(z), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $a \triangleq \{t(e_n - e_{n+1})\}_{n=1}^\infty$. The uniqueness of this sequence follows from Theorem 1. On the other hand, clearly (10) defines a linear form $t \in \gamma^*$ if the sequence $a = (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is chosen so that $(a_n - a_{n+1})_{n=1}^\infty \in l^1$. Further, since S_0 is a linear isometric isomorphism of γ onto c then (11) holds.

Theorem 7 *There is an 1-1 correspondence between $\mathcal{B}(\gamma)$ and the set of infinite complex matrices $\{a_{m,p}\}_{m,p=1}^\infty$ so that*

$$(i) \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \left| \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} a_{m,p} \right| + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} |a_{m,p} - a_{m,p+1}| \right\} < \infty.$$

(ii) Letting $a_m \triangleq \{a_{m,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ then

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{m=1}^n (a_{m,p} - a_{m,p+1}) \right| + \left| \sum_{m=1}^n \lambda(a_m) \right| \right\} < \infty. \quad (12)$$

(iii) $\{a_{m,1}\}_{m=1}^\infty \in \gamma$.

(iv) $\{\{a_{m,p} - a_{m,p+1}\}_{m=1}^\infty : p \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \gamma$.

Proof. Take $\pi_n : \gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ so that $\pi_n(z) = z_n$ if $z \in \gamma$. Then $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\pi_n\|_{\gamma^*} \leq 2$. So, given $B \in \mathcal{B}(\gamma)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by Theorem 6 there is a uniquely determined sequence $a_m \triangleq \{a_{m,n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ so that $\{a_{m,n} - a_{m,n+1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^1$,

$$(\pi_m \circ B)(z) = \lambda(a_m) \cdot S(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_{m,n} - a_{m,n+1}) \cdot S_n(z) \text{ if } z \in \gamma$$

and

$$\|\pi_m \circ B\|_{\gamma^*} = |\lambda(a_m)| + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} |a_{m,p} - a_{m,p+1}|.$$

Now (i) follows by the uniform boundedness principle. If $A \triangleq S_0 \circ B \circ S_0^{-1}$ then $A \in \mathcal{B}(c)$ and

$$(\chi_n \circ A)(w) = \lambda(w) \cdot \sum_{m=1}^n \lambda(a_m) + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} w_p \sum_{m=1}^n (a_{m,p} - a_{m,p+1})$$

if $w \in c$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall write

$$\theta_{n,p} = \begin{cases} \sum_{m=1}^n \lambda(a_m) & \text{if } p = 0, \\ \sum_{m=1}^n (a_{m,p} - a_{m,p+1}) & \text{if } p \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Theorem 1(v), we know that $\|\chi_n \circ A\|_{c^*} = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} |\theta_{n,p}|$ and, by the uniform boundedness principle, we get (12). Moreover, by Corollary 2 the following limits exist

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{0,0} &\triangleq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \theta_{n,p} & (14) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^n \left[\lambda(a_m) + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (a_{m,p} - a_{m,p+1}) \right] = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m,1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\theta_{0,p} \triangleq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{n,p} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^n (a_{m,p} - a_{m,p+1}), \text{ with } p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (15)$$

i.e. (iii) and (iv) hold.

Let $\{a_{m,p}\}_{m,p=1}^{\infty}$ be a given sequence so that (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) hold. If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ set

$$B_m(z) = \lambda(a_m) \cdot S(z) + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (a_{m,p} - a_{m,p+1}) \cdot S_p(z) \text{ for } z \in \gamma. \quad (16)$$

By (i) and Theorem 6 we know that $B_m \in \gamma^*$. Using the above notation, if $z \in \gamma$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by (16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^n B_m(z) &= S(z) \sum_{m=1}^n \lambda(a_m) + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^n (a_{m,p} - a_{m,p+1}) \cdot S_p(z) \\ &= \theta_{n,0} \cdot \lambda(S_0(z)) + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \theta_{n,p} \cdot \chi_p(S_0(z)) \\ &= \lambda(S_0(z)) \cdot \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \theta_{n,p} + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \theta_{n,p} \cdot (\chi_p(S_0(z)) - \lambda(S_0(z))). \end{aligned}$$

By (i), (iii) and (iv) the limits in (14) and (15) exist. Further, by (ii) we see that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} |\theta_{n,p}| < \infty$. Hence $\{\theta_{0,p}\}_{p=1}^{\infty} \in l^1$ and then

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m(z) = \lambda(S_0(z)) \cdot \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m,1} + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \theta_{0,p} (\chi_p(S_0(z)) - \lambda(S_0(z))).$$

Whence $B(z) \triangleq \{B_m(z)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ if $z \in \gamma$ defines a linear mapping on γ that is now clearly bounded.

Remark 8 $\{a_{m,p}\}_{m,p=1}^{\infty}$ is γ -**conservative** if it verifies the conditions of Theorem 7. Further, it called γ -**regular** if it is γ -conservative and its induced bounded operator B on γ preserves sums, i.e. $\lambda(S_0(B(z))) = \lambda(S_0(z))$ for all $z \in \gamma$. It is now readily seeing that $\{a_{m,p}\}_{m,p=1}^{\infty}$ is γ -regular if and only if $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m,p} = 1$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 9 If $\{a_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \in \gamma$ set $a_{m,p} = a_m$, $m, p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$B(z) \triangleq \{a_m \cdot S(z)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}, \quad z \in \gamma,$$

defines a bounded linear functional on γ .

Example 10 Let $a_{m,p} = m^{-s} \cdot p^{-t}$, where $m, p \in \mathbb{N}$, $s > 1, t > 0$. Then we get

$$B(z) = \left\{ m^{-s} \left(S(z) + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left((p+1)^{-t} - p^{-t} \right) S_p(z) \right) \right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}, \quad z \in \gamma.$$

Example 11 Let $a_{m,p} = p / (1 + pm^s)$, where $m, p \in \mathbb{N}$, $s > 1$. In this case

$$B(z) = \left\{ \frac{S(z)}{m^s} - \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_p(z)}{(1 + pm^s)(1 + (p+1)m^s)} \right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}, \quad z \in \gamma.$$

3 Radon-Nikodym type properties of γ

Proposition 12 *The Banach space γ does not have the Radon-Nikodym property.*

Proof. We shall use a modified crude argument of J. Diestel & J. J. Uhl (cf. [6], p. 60). Let Λ be the σ -field of Lebesgue measurable subsets of $[0, 1]$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E \in \Lambda$ we set

$$H_n(E) = \begin{cases} \int_E \sin(2\pi t) dm(t) & \text{if } n = 1, \\ -2 \int_E \sin(2^{n-2}\pi t) \cos(3 \cdot 2^{n-2}\pi t) dm(t) & \text{if } n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

where m denotes the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$. Thus H is a well γ -valued function of Λ . For, if $E \in \Lambda$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^m H_n(E) = \int_E \sin(2^m \pi t) dm(t). \quad (17)$$

According to the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma, we conclude that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n(E) = 0$. Indeed, by (17) we have that $\|H(E)\|_{\gamma} \leq m(E)$ for all $E \in \Lambda$. Now, it is clear that H is countable additive, m -continuous and of bounded variation. Suppose there exist a Bochner integrable $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \gamma$ so that $H(E) = \int_E h(t) dm(t)$ for all $E \in \Lambda$. Then, if $h_n = \pi_n \circ h$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E \in \Lambda$ we obtain

$$\pi_n(H(E)) = \int_E \pi_n(h(t)) dm(t) = \int_E h_n(t) dm(t).$$

Hence it can be easily deduced that for almost all $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$h_n(t) = \begin{cases} \sin(2\pi t) & \text{if } n = 1, \\ -2 \sin(2^{n-2}\pi t) \cos(3 \cdot 2^{n-2}\pi t) & \text{if } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Then if $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is

$$\sum_{n=1}^m h_n(t) = \sin(2^m \pi t) \text{ a.e..} \quad (18)$$

We already know that $\text{Im}(H) \subseteq \ker(S)$. Since $S \in \gamma^*$ and h is Bochner integrable then $S \circ h \in L^1[0, 1]$ and $\int_E S(h(t)) dm(t) = 0$ for all $E \in \Lambda$ (cf. [8]). So by (18) we have $S(h(t)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sin(2^m \pi t) = 0$ almost everywhere on $[0, 1]$. However, given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set

$$E_n \triangleq \left\{ t \in [0, 1] : |\sin(2^n \pi t)| \geq 1/\sqrt{2} \right\}.$$

If $t \in E_n$ there is an integer $1 \leq k \leq 2^{n-1}$ so that

$$\frac{2(k-1)+1/4}{2^n} \leq t \leq \frac{2(k-1)+3/4}{2^n} \text{ or } \frac{2(k-1)+5/4}{2^n} \leq t \leq \frac{2(k-1)+7/4}{2^n}.$$

Consequently $m(E_n) = 1/2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$m(\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(\cup_{l=n}^{\infty} E_l) \geq 1/2.$$

But certainly $S(h(t)) \neq 0$ on $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n$. Therefore h is not almost everywhere γ -valued and thus G has no Radon-Nikodym derivative with respect to m .

Corollary 13 γ is not reflexive nor uniformly convex.

Proof. Since the Radon-Nikodym property does not hold on γ this claim follows from R. S. Phillips theorem (cf. [11]). On the other hand, it is well known that any uniformly convex Banach space is reflexive (cf. [4]).

4 Compact operators on γ

The notion of *Hausdorff measure of non compactness* provides a way to characterize compact operators acting on certain Banach spaces. Precisely, given a bounded subset Q of a normed space X set

$$q(Q) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : Q \text{ has a finite } \varepsilon\text{-net in } X \}.$$

The function q is called the Hausdorff measure of non compactness (cf. [7]). If X, Y are Banach spaces and $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ we write $\|T\|_q \triangleq q(TB_X[0, 1])$, where $B_X[0, 1]$ is the closed unit ball of X centered at zero. Consequently, T becomes compact if and only if $\|T\|_q = 0$. With the notation of Corollary 2 the following result of B. de Malafosse, E. Malkowsky & V. Rakočević holds:

Theorem 14 (cf. [10]) If $A \in \mathcal{B}(c)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left| a_{n,0} - a_{0,0} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{0,m} \right| + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_{n,m} - a_{0,m}| \right) \\ & \leq \|A\|_q \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left| a_{n,0} - a_{0,0} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{0,m} \right| + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_{n,m} - a_{0,m}| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 15 Let $B \in \mathcal{B}(\gamma)$ be the unique bounded operator induced by a bi-index sequence $\{a_{m,p}\}_{m,p=1}^{\infty}$ that verifies the conditions of Theorem 7. Then B is compact if and only if

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \left| \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} a_{m,p} \right| + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} (a_{m,p} - a_{m,p+1}) \right| \right\} = 0.$$

Proof. We use the notation of Theorem 7. By Theorem 14 we see that $B \in C(\gamma)$ if and only if

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left| \theta_{n,0} - \theta_{0,0} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \theta_{0,m} \right| + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\theta_{n,m} - \theta_{0,m}| \right) = 0.$$

By (13), (14) and (15) if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain

$$\theta_{n,0} - \theta_{0,0} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \theta_{0,m} = \sum_{m=1}^n \lambda(a_m) - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m,1} + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (a_{m,p} - a_{m,p+1}). \quad (19)$$

Using (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 7, it is seeing recursively that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m,p}$ converge for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore in (19) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{n,0} - \theta_{0,0} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \theta_{0,m} &= \sum_{m=1}^n \lambda(a_m) - \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m,p} \\ &= - \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} a_{m,p}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Analogously,

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} |\theta_{n,p} - \theta_{0,p}| = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} (a_{m,p} - a_{m,p+1}) \right| \quad (21)$$

and the claim follows from (20) and (21).

References

- [1] A. L. Barrenechea, C. C. Peña, *Some remarks about bounded derivations on the Hilbert algebra of square summable matrices*, *Matematicki Vesnik*, **57**(4)(2005), 78-95.
- [2] A. L. Barrenechea, C. C. Peña, *On innerness of derivations on $S(H)$* , *Lobachevskii J. of Maths.*, **1**(2005), 21-32.
- [3] A. L. Barrenechea, C. C. Peña, *On the structure of derivations on certain non-amenable nuclear Banach algebras*, Preprint, 2007.
- [4] J. A. Clarkson, *Uniformly convex spaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **40**(1936), 396-414.
- [5] R. G. Cooke *Infinite matrices and sequence spaces*, Macmillan and Co., London, 1949.
- [6] J. Diestel, J. J. Uhl, *Vector measures*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, No. 5. AMS, Providence, R.I, 1977.

- [7] I. T. Gohberg, L. S. Goldenstein, A. S. Markus *Investigations of some properties of bounded linear operators with their q -norms*, Učenie Zapiski, Kishinevskii Gosuniversitet **29**(1957), 29-36.
- [8] E. Hille, R. S. Phillips *Functional analysis and semigroups*, AMS Colloq. Publ., vol. 31, rev. ed., Providence, R.I, 1957.
- [9] I. J. Maddox, *Infinite matrices of operators*, Lect. Notes in Maths., 786, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-N.Y., 1980.
- [10] B. de Malafosse, E. Malkowsky, V. Rakočević, *Measure of non compactness of operators and matrices on the spaces c and c_0* , International J. of Maths. and Math. Sciences, **29**(2006), 1-5.
- [11] R. S. Phillips, *On linear transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **48**(1940), 516-541.
- [12] I. Schur, *Über lineare Transformationen in der Theorie der unendlichen Reihen*, J. f. reine u. angew. Math., **151**(1921), 79-111.

Dpto. de Matemáticas - NuCOMPA
UNCPBA - Argentina.
e-mails:
ccpenia@exa.unicen.edu.ar
analucia@exa.unicen.edu.ar