

**Sciendo Vol. 33(2),2025, 125–142** 

# An alternate measure of the cumulative residual Sharma-Taneja-Mittal entropy

### Răzvan-Cornel SFETCU, Elena-Grațiela ROBE-VOINEA and Florentin ŞERBAN

#### Abstract

We define a new alternate measure of the cumulative residual Sharma-Taneja-Mittal entropy. For this measure, there are given upper and lower bounds, is introduced a consistent test based on the uniform distribution and some concrete numerical examples are formulated.

### 1 Introduction

In order to analyse some physical phenomena, Tsallis introduced Tsallis entropy in [57], which is a generalization of Shannon entropy (see [47]). The idea was to work with another formula instead of classical logarithm, which is used in Shannon entropy. Tsallis entropy is applied especially in physics, the reader can find concrete applications in: superstatistics (see [7]), spectral statistics (see [58]), earthquakes (see [3], [9], [12]), plasma (see [23]), non-coding human DNA (see [28]), income distribution (see [50]), statistical mechanics (see [31], [35], [43], [57]), internet (see [2]), stock exchanges (see [17], [18]).

There are also other generalizations of Shannon entropy: Rényi entropy, Varma entropy, Abe entropy, Kaniadakis entropy, cumulative entropy, weighted entropy, relative entropy, with applications in: finance (see [30], [55], [56], [61]), Markov chains (see [4], [5], [6]), combinatorics (see [36], [37]), Lie symmetries (see [14], [32]), model selection (see [52], [53]), survival analysis

Key Words: Tsallis entropy, cumulative residual Tsallis entropy, Sharma-Taneja-Mittal entropy, cumulative residual Sharma-Taneja-Mittal entropy, statistic test.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 94A15, 94A17; Secondary 62G05, 62G10, 62G30.

Received: 11.06.2024 Accepted: 07.10.2024

Accepted: 07.10.2024

(see [42], [46], [51]), nonlinear equations (see [13], [54]), statistical mechanics (see [44], [45], [49]), machine learning (see [16], [59]).

Sharma and Taneja [48] and Mittal [24] introduced, in the framework of the information theory, Sharma-Taneja-Mittal entropy, a generalized entropy with two parameters. Afterwards, Kaniadakis et al. [20] reconsidered this entropy from a physical point of view. It was proved that many aspects of the statistical mechanics based on Boltzmann-Gibbs entropy remain valid in the case of statistical mechanics based on Sharma-Taneja-Mittal entropy. Scarfone and Wada [41] studied the thermo-statistics properties of this theory in the microcanonical picture.

We remark that Sharma-Taneja-Mittal entropy includes some of the oneparameter entropies mentioned above, namely Abe entropy [1], Kaniadakis entropy [19] and Tsallis entropy [57]. Consequently, we are able to consider all these one-parameter entropies in an unified scheme.

Sharma-Taneja-Mittal entropy is useful in: analysis of record values (see [29]), investigations of diffusion processes (see [10]), modeling holographic dark energy (see [40], [63]), investigation of the different phenomenon of black holes (see [11], [38]), modeling uncertainty in the theory of human cognition (see [8]) and estimating the performance of clustering models in data analysis (see [21]).

Tsallis entropy plays an important role in the measurement uncertainty of random variables and is the basis of the nonextensive statistical mechanics, which generalizes the Boltzmann-Gibbs theory. Sati and Gupta [39] introduced the cumulative residual Tsallis entropy (CRTE) and studied it from the point of view of reliability modeling. Rajesh and Sunoj [33] defined an alternate measure of CRTE and showed that it has some additional features and simple relationships with other important information and reliability measures.

By considering Sharma-Taneja-Mittal entropy instead of Tsallis entropy in the definition of CRTE from [48], we obtain the cumulative residual Sharma-Taneja-Mittal entropy (CRSTME). In this paper, we work with an alternate measure of CRSTME, defined in a similar way like the alternate measure of CRTE from [33]. The difference is that we have two parameters  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ , instead of one parameter  $\theta$ .

The paper is organized as follows. After this *Introduction*, in Section 2, named *Preliminaries*, we present the main notions and notations used throughout the article, including the definition of the alternate measure of the cumulative residual Sharma-Taneja-Mittal entropy, denoted via  $CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X)$ , which will be used in the next sections. In Section 3, named *Bounds for*  $CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X)$ , we give an upper bound for  $CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X)$  (Theorem 3.4) and a lower bound for  $CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X)$  (Theorem 3.7). In Section 4, named *Test based on uniform distribution*, we introduce a consistent test with the help

of the uniform distribution. In section 5, named *Concrete examples*, there are given some examples considering fixed values for the parameter  $\theta_1$ . Finally, we formulate *Conclusions* concerning the results obtained in the paper.

# 2 Preliminaries

We use the notations  $(\frac{p}{n})$  for convergence in probability and  $(\frac{a.s.}{n})$  for almost surely convergence (as  $n \to \infty$ ).

Let X be a random variable having absolutely continuous cumulative distribution function  $F_X$ , survival function  $\overline{F}_X \stackrel{def}{=} 1 - F_X$  and probability density function  $f_X$ .

Shannon entropy of X is given by

$$H_X = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) \log \left( f_X(x) \right) dx,$$

where "log" is the natural logarithm function.

Rao et al. [34] and Wang et al. [60] defined a non-negative measure of uncertainty, namely the cumulative residual entropy (CRE). This measure is obtained by replacing the probability density function  $f_X$  in the expression of Shannon entropy by the survival function  $\overline{F}_{|X|}$ . More exactly, CRE is defined by

$$CRE(X) = CRE(F) = -\int_0^\infty \overline{F}_{|X|}(x) \log\left(\overline{F}_{|X|}(x)\right) dx,$$

where  $\overline{F}_{|X|}(x) = P(|X| > x)$  for any  $x \ge 0$ .

Using CRE, Noughabi [27] developed a test for uniformity and compared the percentage points and power of seven alternative distributions. In order to test the uniformity, Mohamed et al. [25] used the fractional and weighted CRE measures.

Let  $\theta \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{1\}$ . Tsallis entropy of X is given via

$$H_X^T = \frac{1}{\theta - 1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ f_X(x) - (f_X(x))^{\theta} \right] dx = \frac{1}{\theta - 1} \left( 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f_X(x))^{\theta} dx \right).$$

Sati and Gupta [39] introduced the cumulative residual Tsallis entropy (CRTE), given via

$$CT^*_{\theta}(X) = CT^*_{\theta}(F) = \frac{1}{\theta - 1} \int_0^\infty \left[ f_{|X|}(x) - \left(\overline{F}_{|X|}(x)\right)^{\theta} \right] dx = \frac{1}{\theta - 1} \left( 1 - \int_0^\infty \left(\overline{F}_{|X|}(x)\right)^{\theta} dx \right).$$

Afterwards, Rajesh and Sunoj [33] introduced an alternate measure of CRTE, as

$$CT_{\theta}(X) = CT_{\theta}(F) = \frac{1}{\theta - 1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ \overline{F}_{|X|}(x) - \left(\overline{F}_{|X|}(x)\right)^{\theta} \right] dx.$$

If  $\theta \to 1$ , then  $CT_{\theta}(X) \to CRE(X)$ , but  $CT_{\theta}^{*}(X) \not\to CRE(X)$ .

According to Rajesh and Sunoj [33],  $CT_{\theta}(X)$  has more interesting mathematical features than CRE(X). More exactly, it can be easily estimated from sample data and these estimates converge asymptotically to the true values, for the standard uniform distribution, denoted by U(0,1) (i.e.  $X \sim U(0,1)$ ), the value of  $CT_{\theta}(X)$  is  $\frac{1}{2(1+\theta)}$  and  $CT_{\theta}(X)$  handles the information in residual

life.

Let  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $\theta_2 > \theta_1$ .

Sharma-Taneja-Mittal entropy of X is given via

$$H_X^{STM} = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( (f_X(x))^{\theta_1} - (f_X(x))^{\theta_2} \right) dx.$$

Like in [39] we define the cumulative residual Sharma-Taneja-Mitall entropy (CRSTME) via

$$\begin{split} & CSTM^*_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X) = CSTM^*_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(F) = \\ & \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \int_0^\infty \left[ \left( f_{|X|}(x) \right)^{\theta_1} - \left( \overline{F}_{|X|}(x) \right)^{\theta_2} \right] dx \end{split}$$

and, as in [33], we define an alternate measure of the cumulative residual Sharma-Taneja-Mittal entropy by

$$CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X) = CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(F) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \int_0^\infty \left[ \left(\overline{F}_{|X|}(x)\right)^{\theta_1} - \left(\overline{F}_{|X|}(x)\right)^{\theta_2} \right] dx.$$

**Remark 2.1.** We can easily see that  $CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X) \ge 0$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** The following relationship is hold:

$$CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X) = \frac{\theta_2 - 1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} CT_{\theta_2}(X) - \frac{\theta_1 - 1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} CT_{\theta_1}(X).$$

*Proof.* We have

$$CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \left(\overline{F}_{|X|}(x)\right)^{\theta_1} - \overline{F}_{|X|}(x) + \overline{F}_{|X|}(x) - \left(\overline{F}_{|X|}(x)\right)^{\theta_2} \right) \right) dx \right) =$$

$$-\frac{1}{\theta_2-\theta_1}\int_0^\infty (\theta_1-1)\frac{1}{\theta_1-1}\left[\overline{F}_{|X|}(x)-\left(\overline{F}_{|X|}(x)\right)^{\theta_1}\right]dx+$$

$$\frac{1}{\theta_2-\theta_1}\int_0^\infty (\theta_2-1)\frac{1}{\theta_2-1}\left[\overline{F}_{|X|}(x)-\left(\overline{F}_{|X|}(x)\right)^{\theta_2}\right]dx=$$

$$\frac{\theta_2-1}{\theta_2-\theta_1}CT_{\theta_2}(X)-\frac{\theta_1-1}{\theta_2-\theta_1}CT_{\theta_1}(X).$$

# **3** Bounds for $CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X)$

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that  $\theta_2 > \theta_1 > 1$ . If  $X \in L^2$  (i.e.  $\mathbb{E}(X^2) < \infty$ ), then  $CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X) < \infty$ .

*Proof.* From Proposition 2.2 we have

$$CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X) \le \frac{\theta_2 - 1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} CT_{\theta_2}(X).$$

We apply Theorem 1 from [26] and obtain the conclusion.

**Remark 3.2.** Assume that  $\theta_2 > \theta_1 > 1$ . Then the existence of Var(X) assures that  $CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X) < \infty$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** We consider  $g_{\theta_1,\theta_2}: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$g_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(t) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left( t^{\theta_1} - t^{\theta_2} \right).$$

Then, for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$0 \le g_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(t) \le \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left[ \left( \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \right)^{\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}} - \left( \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \right)^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}} \right].$$

*Proof.* Let  $t \in [0, 1]$ . We have

$$g_{\theta_{1},\theta_{2}}'(t) = \frac{1}{\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}} \left[ \theta_{1} t^{\theta_{1} - 1} - \theta_{2} t^{\theta_{2} - 1} \right]$$

 $\operatorname{and}$ 

$$g'_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(t) = 0 \iff t = \left(\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}}.$$

It follows that

$$0 \le g_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(t) \le g_{\theta_1,\theta_2}\left(\left(\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}}\right) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}\left[\left(\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right)^{\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}} - \left(\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right)^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}}\right].$$

**Theorem 3.4.** Assume that X has support [0, 1]. Then

$$CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X) \le \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left[ \left( \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \right)^{\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}} - \left( \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \right)^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}} \right].$$

.

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.3 we get

$$CSTM_{\theta_{1},\theta_{2}}(X) = \frac{1}{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} \left[ \left(\overline{F}_{|X|}(x)\right)^{\theta_{1}} - \left(\overline{F}_{|X|}(x)\right)^{\theta_{2}} \right] dx \leq \int_{0}^{1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}} \left[ \left(\frac{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{2}}\right)^{\frac{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}}} - \left(\frac{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{2}}\right)^{\frac{\theta_{2}}{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}}} \right] \right\} dx = \frac{1}{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}} \left[ \left(\frac{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{2}}\right)^{\frac{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}}} - \left(\frac{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{2}}\right)^{\frac{\theta_{2}}{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}}} \right].$$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $(X_n)_n$  be a sequence of random variables with absolutely continuous cumulative distribution functions, which converges in distribution to the random variable X and such that, for any  $n, X_n \in L^2$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} CSTM_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(X_n) = CSTM_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(X).$$

*Proof.* From Proposition 2.2 we have, for any n,

$$CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X_n) = \frac{\theta_2 - 1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} CT_{\theta_2}(X_n) - \frac{\theta_1 - 1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} CT_{\theta_1}(X_n).$$

Theorem 2 from [26] assures us that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} CT_{\theta_1}(X_n) = CT_{\theta_1}(X) \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} CT_{\theta_2}(X_n) = CT_{\theta_2}(X).$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} CSTM_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(X_n) = CSTM_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(X).$$

Lemma 3.6. We consider

$$C(\theta_1, \theta_2) = e^{\int_0^1 \log\left(\frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left(t^{\theta_1} - t^{\theta_2}\right)\right) dt}.$$

Then

$$0 < C(\theta_1, \theta_2) \le \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left[ \left( \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \right)^{\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}} - \left( \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \right)^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}} \right] < \infty.$$

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $0 < C(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ . From Lemma 3.3 we get

$$\int_{0}^{1} \log \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}} \left[ \left( \frac{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{2}} \right)^{\frac{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}}} - \left( \frac{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{2}} \right)^{\frac{\theta_{2}}{\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}}} \right] \right\} dt = \frac{1}{\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}} \left[ \left( \frac{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{2}} \right)^{\frac{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}}} - \left( \frac{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{2}} \right)^{\frac{\theta_{2}}{\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}}} \right] < \infty.$$

**Theorem 3.7.** Assume that the random variable X is nonnegative. Then

$$CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X) \ge C(\theta_1,\theta_2)e^{H^S(X)},$$

where

$$H^{S}(X) = -\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{X}(x) \log \left(f_{X}(x)\right) dx.$$

*Proof.* By the log-sum inequality, we have

$$-\log\left(CSTM_{\theta_{1},\theta_{2}}(X)\right) = \\ \log\left(\frac{1}{\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}}\left(\left(\overline{F}_{X}\left(x\right)\right)^{\theta_{1}}-\left(\overline{F}_{X}\left(x\right)\right)^{\theta_{2}}\right)dx}\right) \leq$$

$$\int_0^\infty f_X(x) \log \left( \frac{f_X(x)}{\frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left( \left(\overline{F}_X(x)\right)^{\theta_1} - \left(\overline{F}_X(x)\right)^{\theta_2} \right)} \right) dx = \int_0^\infty f_X(x) \log \left( \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left( \left(\overline{F}_X(x)\right)^{\theta_1} - \left(\overline{F}_X(x)\right)^{\theta_2} \right) \right] dx = \\ -H^S(X) - \int_0^\infty \log \left[ \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left( \left(\overline{F}_X(x)\right)^{\theta_1} - \left(\overline{F}_X(x)\right)^{\theta_2} \right) \right] dF_X(x) = \\ -H^S(X) - \int_0^1 \log \left( \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left( t^{\theta_1} - t^{\theta_2} \right) \right) dt.$$

It follows that

$$\log\left(CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X)\right) \ge H^S(X) + \int_0^1 \log\left(\frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left(t^{\theta_1} - t^{\theta_2}\right)\right) dt.$$

Hence

$$CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X) \ge e^{\left[H^S(X) + \int_0^1 \log\left(\frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left(t^{\theta_1} - t^{\theta_2}\right)\right) dt\right]} = C(\theta_1, \theta_2) e^{H^S(X)}.$$

_	_	_	-

# 4 Test based on uniform distribution

**Proposition 4.1.** If  $X \sim U(0,1)$  then

$$CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X) = \frac{1}{(1+\theta_1)(1+\theta_2)}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$CSTM_{\theta_{1},\theta_{2}}(X) = \frac{1}{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ \left(\overline{F}_{|X|}(x)\right)^{\theta_{1}} - \left(\overline{F}_{|X|}(x)\right)^{\theta_{2}} \right] dx = \frac{1}{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} (x^{\theta_{1}} - x^{\theta_{2}}) dx = \frac{1}{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}} \left( \frac{1}{\theta_{1}+1} - \frac{1}{\theta_{2}+1} \right) = \frac{1}{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}} \cdot \frac{\theta_{2}-\theta_{1}}{(1+\theta_{1})(1+\theta_{2})} = \frac{1}{(1+\theta_{1})(1+\theta_{2})}.$$

We consider  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  a random sample defined on [0, 1] and with an absolutely continuous cumulative distribution function denoted by F. Let  $X_{(1)} \leq X_{(2)} \leq \ldots \leq X_{(n)}$  be the corresponding order statistics.

We take the following estimator of  $CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(F)$ , namely

$$CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(F_n) = \int_0^\infty g_{\theta_1,\theta_2}\left(\overline{F}_n(x)\right) dx,$$

where  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in (0, \infty), \ \theta_2 > \theta_1, \ g_{\theta_1, \theta_2} : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}, \ g_{\theta_1, \theta_2}(t) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left( t^{\theta_1} - t^{\theta_2} \right), F_n \text{ is the empirical cumulative distribution function, given via } (1_A \text{ is the characteristic function of the set } A)$ 

$$F_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{i}{n} \mathbb{1}_{[X_{(i)}, X_{(i+1)})}(x) + \mathbb{1}_{[X_{(n)}, \infty)}(x) \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}$$

and  $\overline{F}_n(x) = 1 - F_n(x)$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

In order to obtain a consistent test of the hypothesis of uniformity, we consider the statistic test

$$T_n(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{i}{n} \right)^{\theta_1} - \left( 1 - \frac{i}{n} \right)^{\theta_2} \right] \left( X_{(i+1)} - X_{(i)} \right),$$

with the hypotheses:

 $H_0: F \in U(0, 1).$  $H_1: F \notin U(0, 1).$ 

**Theorem 4.2.** a) The test based on the sample estimate  $T_n(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  is consistent.

b) In the hypothesis  $H_0$  we have  $CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(F_n) \xrightarrow{p}{n} \frac{1}{(1+\theta_1)(1+\theta_2)}$ .

*Proof.* a) From the Glivenko-Cantelli Theorem (see [15]) we have

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(x) - F(x)| \xrightarrow[n]{a.s.}{n} 0.$$

Moreover, it is easy to show that

$$CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(F_n) \xrightarrow[n]{a.s.} CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(F).$$

Hence

$$CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(F_n) \xrightarrow{p}_n CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(F),$$

which gives the conclusion.

b) From a) we have

$$CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(F_n) \xrightarrow{p} CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(F).$$

But, if  $F \in U(0, 1)$ , then

$$CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(F) = \frac{1}{(1+\theta_1)(1+\theta_2)}.$$

Hence

$$CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(F_n) \xrightarrow{p} \frac{1}{(1+\theta_1)(1+\theta_2)}.$$

•

Theorem 4.3. We have

$$0 \le T_n(\theta_1, \theta_2) \le \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left[ \left( \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \right)^{\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}} - \left( \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \right)^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}} \right].$$

Proof. Because  $\theta_2 > \theta_1$  we get  $T_n(\theta_1, \theta_2) \ge 0$ . By Lemma 3.3 we obtain that

$$T_n(\theta_1, \theta_2) \le \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left[ \left( \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \right)^{\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}} - \left( \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \right)^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}} \right] \left( X_{(n)} - X_{(1)} \right)$$

Because we have a selection on [0, 1] it follows that  $X_{(n)} - X_{(1)} \leq 1$ , hence

$$T_n(\theta_1, \theta_2) \leq \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left[ \left( \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \right)^{\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}} - \left( \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2} \right)^{\frac{\theta_2}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}} \right].$$

**Theorem 4.4.** Under the hypothesis  $H_0$ , the mean and the variance of  $T_n(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  are given by: n-1  $\Gamma$  ( )  $\theta_1$  ( θaΠ

a) 
$$E(T_n(\theta_1, \theta_2)) = \frac{1}{n+1} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{i}{n} \right)^{\theta_1} - \left( 1 - \frac{i}{n} \right)^{\theta_2} \right].$$
  
b)  $Var(T_n(\theta_1, \theta_2)) = \frac{n}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}$   
 $\frac{1}{(\theta_2 - \theta_1)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{i}{n} \right)^{\theta_1} - \left( 1 - \frac{i}{n} \right)^{\theta_2} \right]^2.$ 

*Proof.* The random variable  $X_{(i+1)} - X_{(i)}$  has a beta distribution with parameter-vector (1, n) for any  $i = \overline{1, n-1}$  (see [15]). Taking into account the expression of  $T_n(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  the conclusion follows immediately.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.5.** Under the hypothesis  $H_0$  we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E\left(T_n(\theta_1, \theta_2)\right) = \frac{1}{(1+\theta_1)(1+\theta_2)}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Var\left(T_n(\theta_1, \theta_2)\right) = 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E\left(T_n(\theta_1, \theta_2)\right) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \int_0^1 \left(x^{\theta_1} - x^{\theta_2}\right) dx = \frac{1}{(1 + \theta_1)(1 + \theta_2)}$$

 $\operatorname{and}$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Var\left(T_n(\theta_1, \theta_2)\right) = \\\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{(n+1)(n+2)} \cdot \frac{1}{(\theta_2 - \theta_1)^2} \int_0^1 \left(x^{\theta_1} - x^{\theta_2}\right)^2 dx = 0.$$

# 5 Concrete examples

1. Assume that  $X \sim U(0, 1)$ . We have

$$CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \int_0^1 \left[ (1-x)^{\theta_1} - (1-x)^{\theta_2} \right] dx = \frac{1}{(\theta_1 + 1)(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} - \frac{1}{(\theta_2 + 1)(\theta_2 - \theta_1)} = \frac{1}{(1+\theta_1)(1+\theta_2)},$$
$$CSTM_{1,\theta_2}(X) = \frac{1}{2(1+\theta_2)} \text{ (black line)}$$

 $\operatorname{and}$ 

$$CSTM_{1.01,\theta_2}(X) = \frac{1}{2.01(1+\theta_2)}$$
 (red line).

2. Assume that  $X \sim Exp(1)$ . We have

$$CSTM_{\theta_1,\theta_2}(X) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \int_0^\infty \left( e^{-\theta_1 x} - e^{-\theta_2 x} \right) dx = \frac{1}{\theta_1 (\theta_2 - \theta_1)} - \frac{1}{\theta_2 (\theta_2 - \theta_1)} = \frac{1}{\theta_1 \theta_2},$$
$$CSTM_{1,\theta_2}(X) = \frac{1}{\theta_2} \text{ (green line)}$$

and

$$CSTM_{1.01,\theta_2}(X) = \frac{1}{1.01 \cdot \theta_2}$$
 (blue line).



3. Using R we generated the following 50 statistical dates which are uniformly distributed on [0, 1]:

 $\begin{array}{l} [1] \ 0.02461368 \ 0.04205953 \ 0.04555650 \ 0.10292468 \ 0.13880606 \ 0.14280002 \\ [7] \ 0.14711365 \ 0.15244475 \ 0.21640794 \ 0.23162579 \ 0.23303410 \ 0.24608773 \\ [13] \ 0.26597264 \ 0.28757752 \ 0.28915974 \ 0.31818101 \ 0.32792072 \ 0.36884545 \\ [19] \ 0.40897692 \ 0.41372433 \ 0.41454634 \ 0.45333416 \ 0.45661474 \ 0.46596245 \\ [25] \ 0.47779597 \ 0.52810549 \ 0.54406602 \ 0.55143501 \ 0.57263340 \ 0.59414202 \\ [31] \ 0.64050681 \ 0.65570580 \ 0.67757064 \ 0.69070528 \ 0.69280341 \ 0.70853047 \\ [37] \ 0.75845954 \ 0.78830514 \ 0.79546742 \ 0.85782772 \ 0.88301740 \ 0.88953932 \\ [43] \ 0.89241904 \ 0.89982497 \ 0.90229905 \ 0.94046728 \ 0.95450365 \ 0.95683335 \\ [49] \ 0.96302423 \ 0.99426978 \end{array}$ 

For  $\theta_1 = 1.1$  and  $\theta_2 = 1.2$ , we obtain  $T_{50}(1.1, 1.2) = 0.001368169$ . Considering the power estimates of the tests at the level  $\alpha = 0.05$ , we get that the hypothesis  $H_0$  is accepted.

4. Also using R we generated the following 50 statistical dates which are not uniformly distributed on [0, 1]:

ering the power estimates of the tests at the level  $\alpha = 0.05$ , we get that the hypothesis  $H_1$  is accepted.

# Conclusions

We introduced an alternate measure of the cumulative residual Sharma-Taneja-Mittal residual entropy (which depends on two parameters), generalizing the alternate measure of the cumulative residual Tsallis entropy from [33] (which depends on one parameter). For this new measure we found bounds, defined a consistent test based on the uniform distribution and gave some concrete examples by taking numerical cases for one parameter. For future works, we plan to link this measure with the theory of fractals (see [22], [62], [64]).

### Acknowledgements

The authors are very much indebted to the anonymous referees and to the editors for their most valuable comments and suggestions which improved the quality of the paper.

### References

- [1] S. Abe, A note on the q-deformation-theoretic aspect of the generalized entropies in nonextensive physics, Physics Letters A 224 (1997), 326-330.
- [2] S. Abe, N. Suzuki, Itineration of the Internet over nonequilibrium stationary states in Tsallis statistics, Physical Review E 67 (2003), 016106.
- [3] S. Abe, N. Suzuki, Law for the distance between successive earthquakes, J. Geophys. Res. 108 (2003), 2113.

- [4] V.S. Barbu, A. Karagrigoriou, V. Preda, Entropy, divergence rates and weighted divergence rates for Markov chains. I: The alpha-gamma and betagamma case, Proc. Rom. Acad. Ser. A Math. Phys. Tech. Sci. Inf. Sci. 18 (2017), 293–301.
- [5] V.S. Barbu, A. Karagrigoriou, V. Preda, Entropy and divergence rates for Markov chains. II: The weighted case, Proc. Rom. Acad. Ser. A Math. Phys. Tech. Sci. Inf. Sci. 19 (2018), 3–10.
- [6] V.S. Barbu, A. Karagrigoriou, V. Preda, Entropy and divergence rates for Markov chains. III: The Cressie and Read case and applications, Proc. Rom. Acad. Ser. A Math. Phys. Tech. Sci. Inf. Sci. 19 (2018), 413–421.
- [7] C. Beck, E.G.D. Cohen, Superstatistics, Physica A 322 (2003), 267–275.
- [8] V. Crupi, J.D. Nelson, B. Meder, G. Cevolani, K. Tentori, Generalized information theory meets human cognition: Introducing a unified framework to model uncertainty and information search, Cognitive Science, 42 (2018), 1410–1456.
- [9] A.H. Darooneh, C. Dadashinia, Analysis of the spatial and temporal distributions between successive earthquakes: Nonextensive statistical mechanics viewpoint, 387 (2008), 3647–3654.
- [10] T.D. Frank, A. Daffertshofer, Exact time-dependent solutions of the Rényi Fokker-Planck equation and the Fokker-Planck equations related to the entropies proposed by Sharma and Mittal, Physica A, 285 (2000), 351–366.
- [11] S. Ghaffari, A.H. Ziaie, H. Moradpour, F. Asghariyan, F. Feleppa, M. Tavayef, Black hole thermodynamics in Sharma-Mittal generalized entropy formalism, General Relativity and Gravitation, 51 (2019), 93.
- [12] T. Hasumi, Hypocenter interval statistics between successive earthquakes in the twodimensional Burridge-Knopoff model, Physica A 388 (2009), 477– 482.
- [13] E. Haven, The Blackwell and Dubins theorem and Rényi's amount of information measure: Some applications, Acta Appl. Math. 109 (2010), 743-757.
- [14] I.-E. Hirică, C.-L. Pripoae, G.-T. Pripoae, V. Preda, Lie symmetries of the nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation based on weighted Kaniadakis entropy, Mathematics 10 (2022), 2776.
- [15] G.T. Howard, A generalization of the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem, Ann. Math. Stat. 30 (1959), 828–830.

- [16] I. Iatan, M. Dragan, S. Dedu, V. Preda, Using probabilistic models for data compression, Mathematics 10 (2022), 3847.
- [17] Z.Q. Jiang, W. Chen, W.X. Zhou, Scaling in the distribution of intertrade durations of Chinese stocks, Physica A 387 (2008), 5818–5825.
- [18] T. Kaizoji, An interacting-agent model of financial markets from the viewpoint of nonextensive statistical mechanics, Physica A 370 (2006), 109–113.
- [19] G. Kaniadakis, Non-linear kinetics underlying generalized statistics, Physica A 296 (2001), 405–425.
- [20] G. Kaniadakis, M. Lissia, A.M. Scarfone, Deformed logarithms and entropies Physica A 340 (2004), 41–49.
- [21] S. Koltcov, V. Ignatenko, O. Koltsova, Estimating topic modeling performance with Sharma-Mittal entropy, Entropy 21 (2019), 660.
- [22] Y. Li, Q. Ding, Fusion entropy and its spatial post-multiscale version: Methodology and application, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 186 (2024), 115345.
- [23] J. Lima, R.Jr. Silva, J. Santos, Plasma oscillations and nonextensive statistics, Phys. Rev. E 61 (2000), 3260.
- [24] D.P. Mittal, On some functional equations concerning entropy, directed divergence and inaccuracy, Metrika 22 (1975), 35-46.
- [25] M.S. Mohamed, H.M. Barakat, S.A. Alyami, M.A. Abd Elgawad, Fractional entropy-based test of uniformity with power comparisons, J. Math. 2021 (2021), 5331260.
- [26] M.S. Mohamed, H.M. Barakat, S.A. Alyami, M.A. Abd Elgawad, Cumulative residual Tsallis entropy-based test of uniformity and some new findings, Mathematics 10 (2022), 771.
- [27] H.A. Noughabi, Cumulative residual entropy applied to testing uniformity, Commun. Stat. Theory Methods 50 (2020), 1811339.
- [28] N. Oikonomou, A. Provata, U. Tirnakli, Nonextensive statistical approach to non-coding human DNA, Physica A 387 (2008), 2653–2659.
- [29] J. Paul, P.Y. Thomas, Sharma-Mittal entropy properties on record values, Statistica, 76 (2016), 273–287.

- [30] V. Preda, S. Dedu, I. Iatan, I. Dănilă Cernat, M. Sheraz, Tsallis entropy for loss models and survival models involving truncated and censored random variables, Entropy 24 (2022), 1654.
- [31] V. Preda, S. Dedu, M. Sheraz, New measure selection for Hunt-Devolder semi-Markov regime switching interest rate models, Physica A 407 (2014), 350-359.
- [32] C.-L. Pripoae, I.-E. Hirică, G.-T. Pripoae, V. Preda, Lie symmetries of the nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation based on weighted Tsallis entropy, Carpathian J. Math. 38 (2022), 597-617.
- [33] G. Rajesh, S.M. Sunoj, Some properties of cumulative Tsallis entropy of order α, Stat. Pap. 60 (2019), 933–943.
- [34] M. Rao, Y. Chen, B.C. Vemuri, F. Wang, Cumulative residual entropy: A new measure of information, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory 50 (2004), 1220– 1228.
- [35] A.E. Rastegin, Bounds of the Pinsker and Fannes types on the Tsallis relative entropy, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 16 (2013), 213-228.
- [36] I. Raşa, Convexity properties of some entropies, Result. Math. 73 (2018), 105.
- [37] I. Raşa, Convexity properties of some entropies. II, Result. Math. 74 (2019), 154.
- [38] J. Sadeghi, M. Rostami, M.R. Alipour, Investigation of phase transition of BTZ black hole with Sharma-Mittal entropy approaches, International Journal of Modern Physics A, 34 (2019), 1950182.
- [39] M.M. Sati, N. Gupta, Some characterization results on dynamic cumulative residual Tsallis entropy, J. Probab. Stat. 2015 (2015), 694203.
- [40] A. Sayahian Jahromi, S.A. Moosavi, H. Moradpour, J.P. Morais Graça, I.P. Lobo, I.G. Salako, A. Jawad, *Generalized entropy formalism and a new holographic dark energy model*, Physics Letters B, 780 (2018), 21–24.
- [41] A.M. Scarfone, T. Wada, Thermodynamic equilibrium and its stability for microcanonical systems described by the Sharma-Taneja-Mittal entropy, Phys. Rev. E 72 (2005), 026123.
- [42] R.-C. Sfetcu, S.-C. Sfetcu, V. Preda, Ordering Awad-Varma entropy and applications to some stochastic models, Mathematics 9 (2021), 280.

- [43] R.-C. Sfetcu, S.-C. Sfetcu, V. Preda, On Tsallis and Kaniadakis divergences, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 25 (2022), 7.
- [44] R.-C. Sfetcu, S.-C. Sfetcu, V. Preda, Some properties of weighted Tsallis and Kaniadakis divergences, Entropy 24 (2022), 1616.
- [45] R.-C. Sfetcu, S.-C. Sfetcu, V. Preda, Some generalizations concerning inaccuracy measures, Result. Math. 78 (2023), 195.
- [46] S.-C. Sfetcu, Varma quantile entropy order, Analele Ştiinţifice Univ. Ovidius Constanţa 29 (2021), 249–264.
- [47] C. Shannon, A mathematical theory of communication, Bell Syst. Tech. J. 27 (1948), 379–423.
- [48] B.D. Sharma, I.J. Taneja, Entropy of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and other generalized measures in information theory, Metrika 22 (1975), 205–216.
- [49] T. Simon, G. Dulac, On cumulative Tsallis entropies, Acta Appl. Math. 188 (2023), 9.
- [50] A.D. Soares, N.J.Jr. Moura, M.B. Ribeiro, *Tsallis statistics in the income distribution of Brazil*, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 88 (2016), 158–171.
- [51] F. Suter, I. Cernat, M. Dragan, Some information measures properties of the GOS-concomitants from the FGM family, Entropy 24 (2022), 1361.
- [52] A. Toma, Model selection criteria using divergences, Entropy 16 (2014), 2686-2698.
- [53] A. Toma, A. Karagrigoriou, P. Trentou, Robust model selection criteria based on pseudodistances, Entropy 22 (2020), 304.
- [54] G. Toscani, Rényi entropies and nonlinear diffusion equations, Acta Appl. Math. 132 (2014), 595–604.
- [55] B. Trivellato, The minimal k-entropy martingale measure, Int. J. Theor. Appl. Financ. 15 (2012), 1250038.
- [56] B. Trivellato, Deformed exponentials and applications to finance, Entropy 15 (2013), 3471–3489.
- [57] C. Tsallis, Possible generalization of Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics, J. Stat. Phys. 52 (1988), 479–487.
- [58] G.A. Tsekouras, C. Tsallis, Generalized entropy arising from a distribution of q indices, Phys. Rev. E 71 (2005), 046144.

- [59] X. Wang, Y. Li, Q. Qiao, A. Tavares, Y. Liang, Water quality prediction based on machine learning and comprehensive weighting methods, Entropy 25 (2023), 1186.
- [60] F. Wang, B.C. Vemuri, Non-rigid multi-model image registration using cross-cumulative residual entropy, Int. J. Comp. Vision 74 (2007), 201– 215.
- [61] M. Xu, P. Shang, S. Zhang, Multiscale Rényi cumulative residual distribution entropy: reliability analysis of financial time series, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 143 (2021), 110410.
- [62] H. Yoshioka, Y. Yoshioka, Generalized divergences for statistical evaluation of uncertainty in long-memory processes, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 182 (2024), 114627.
- [63] M. Younas, A. Jawad, S. Qummer, H. Moradpour, S. Rani, Cosmological implications of the generalized entropy based holographic dark energy models in dynamical Chern-Simons modified gravity, Advances in High Energy Physics, 2019 (2019), 1287932.
- [64] T. Zhan, J. Zhou, Z. Li, Y. Deng, Generalized information entropy and generalized information dimension, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 184 (2024), 114976.

Răzvan-Cornel SFETCU, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bucharest, Str. Academiei, 14, 010014, Bucharest, Romania. Email: razvancornelsfetcu@gmail.com Elena-Grațiela ROBE-VOINEA, Faculty of Marine Engineering, Romanian Naval Academy "Mircea cel Bătrân", Str. Fulgerului, 1, 900218, Constanța, Romania. Email: elena.robe@anmb.ro

Florentin ŞERBAN, Doctoral School of Mathematics, University of Bucharest, Str. Academiei, 14, 010014, Bucharest, Romania Bucharest Academy of Economic Studies, Str. Piaţa Romană, 6, 010374, Bucharest, Romania. Email: florentin.serban@csie.ase.ro