



On the Hilbert depth of the Hilbert function of a finitely generated graded module

Silviu Bălănescu and Mircea Cimpoeaș

Abstract

Let K be a field, A a standard graded K -algebra and M a finitely generated graded A -module. Inspired by our previous works, see [2] and [3], we study the invariant called *Hilbert depth* of h_M , that is

$$\text{hdepth}(h_M) = \max\{d : \sum_{j \leq k} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{d-j}{k-j} h_M(j) \geq 0 \text{ for all } k \leq d\},$$

where $h_M(-)$ is the Hilbert function of M , and we prove basic results regard it. Using the theory of hypergeometric functions, we prove that $\text{hdepth}(h_S) = n$, where $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

We show that $\text{hdepth}(h_{S/J}) = n$, if $J = (f_1, \dots, f_d) \subset S$ is a complete intersection monomial ideal with $\deg(f_i) \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. Also, we show that $\text{hdepth}(h_{\overline{M}}) \geq \text{hdepth}(h_M)$ for any finitely generated graded S -module M , where $\overline{M} = M \otimes_S S[x_{n+1}]$.

Introduction

Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the ring of polynomials in n variables over a field K . The Hilbert depth of a finitely graded S -module M is the maximal depth of a finitely graded S -module N with the same Hilbert series as M ; see [7] for further details.

Key Words: Graded module, Hypergeometric function, Hilbert depth, Monomial ideal
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13C15, 13P10, 13F20; Secondary 05A18, 06A07.

Received: 25.04.2024

Accepted: 04.09.2024

In [2] we proved a new formula for the Hilbert depth of a quotient J/I of two squarefree monomial ideals $I \subset J \subset S$. This allowed us, in [3], to extend the definition of Hilbert depth to any (numerical) function $h : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with the property that $h(j) = 0$ for $j \ll 0$.

More precisely, we set

$$\text{hdepth}(h) := \max\{d : \sum_{j \leq k} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{d-j}{k-j} h(j) \geq 0 \text{ for all } k \leq d\}.$$

Let A be a standard graded K -algebra and M a finitely generated graded A -module. Since $h_M(-)$, the Hilbert function of M , has the property $h_M(j) = 0$ for $j \ll 0$, it makes sense to consider its Hilbert depth, as was defined above; see also Definition 1.1.

In some regards, this new invariant seems unnatural, as it is associated to the Hilbert series of M , seen as a numerical function, and not to M itself. However, we believe that the study of this invariant could be interesting as it reflects the growing pattern of the Hilbert series of M . We mention that in [3], we studied the Hilbert depth for polynomial functions with integer coefficients and other numerical functions.

Another fact that determined us to study this invariant, is the following: Let $I \subset J \subset S$ be squarefree monomial ideals. Although $\text{hdepth}(J/I)$ and $\text{hdepth}(h_{J/I})$ are not the same, there is the following subtle connection between these invariants: If

$$M(J/I) = (J + (x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2))/(I + (x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2)),$$

then $\text{hdepth}(J/I) = \text{hdepth}(h_{M(J/I)})$; see Proposition 1.4. Note that $M(J/I)$ is an Artinian S -module.

In Proposition 1.5 we prove that

$$k_0 \leq \text{hdepth}(h_M) \leq k_0 + \frac{h_1}{h_0},$$

where $k_0 = k_0(M) = \min\{k : M_k \neq 0\}$, $h_0 = h_M(k_0)$ and $h_1 = h_M(k_0 + 1)$.

In Proposition 1.6 we prove that if M is of finite length, then

$$\text{hdepth}(h_M) \leq k_f(M) := \max\{k : M_k \neq 0\}.$$

In particular, if $M = S/J$ where J is a graded Artinian ideal, we note in Corollary 1.7 that

$$\text{hdepth}(h_{S/J}) \leq \text{reg}(S/J).$$

In Proposition 1.9 we show that if $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of (nonzero) finitely generated graded A -modules, then

$$\text{hdepth}(h_M) \geq \min\{\text{hdepth}(h_U), \text{hdepth}(h_N)\}.$$

In Proposition 1.11 we prove that

$$\text{hdepth}(h_{M(m)}) = \text{hdepth}(h_M) - m,$$

where $M(m)$ is the m -th shift module of M .

Using the sign of the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(-k, n, -n; -1)$, see Lemma 2.1, we prove in Theorem 2.2 that $\text{hdepth}(h_S) = n$. Consequently, in Corollary 2.3 we prove that if

$$F = S(a)^{n_1} \oplus S(a-1)^{n_2} \oplus S(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus S(a_r),$$

where n_1, n_2, a, a_j are integers such that $n_1 > n_2 \geq 0$ and $a \geq a_j + 2$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r$, then

$$\text{hdepth}(h_F) = n - a.$$

In Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 we prove that if $J = (f_1, \dots, f_r) \subset S$ is a graded complete intersection with $\deg(f_i) \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, where $0 \leq r \leq n$, then

$$\text{hdepth}(h_{S/J}) = n.$$

In particular, for $r = 0$ we obtain a new proof of the fact that $\text{hdepth}(h_S) = n$.

Finally, in Theorem 4.3 we show that $\text{hdepth}(h_{\overline{M}}) \geq \text{hdepth}(h_M)$, where $\overline{S} = S[x_{n+1}]$, M is a finitely generated S -module and $\overline{M} = M \otimes_S \overline{S}$.

1 Basic properties

Let K be a field and let

$$A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n,$$

be a standard graded K -algebra, i.e. A is finitely generated, $A_0 = K$ and A_1 generates A .

Let

$$M = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_k,$$

be a nonzero graded finitely generated A -module.

Since M is finitely generated, $\dim_K(M_k) < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $M_k = 0$ for $k \ll 0$. In particular, there exists $k_0(M) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$k_0(M) := \min\{k : M_k \neq 0\}.$$

We consider the *Hilbert function* of M , that is

$$h_M(-) : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad h_M(k) := \dim_K M_k, \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We recall the definition of the Hilbert depth of h_M from [3].

Let d be an integer and let

$$\beta_k^d(h_M) := \begin{cases} \sum_{j=k_0(M)}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{d-j}{k-j} h_M(j), & k_0(M) \leq k \leq d \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (1)$$

From (1) we deduce that

$$h_M(k) := \sum_{j=k_0(M)}^k \binom{d-j}{k-j} \beta_j^d(h_M) \text{ for all } k_0(M) \leq k \leq d. \quad (2)$$

With the above notation, we have:

Definition 1.1. *The Hilbert depth of h_M is*

$$\text{hdepth}(h_M) := \max\{d \in \mathbb{Z} : \beta_k^d(h_M) \geq 0 \text{ for all } k \leq d\}.$$

Note that (1), (2) and Definition 1.1 hold for any function $h : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $h(j) = 0$ for $j \ll 0$.

Remark 1.2. If $\beta_k^d(h_M) \geq 0$ for all $k_0(M) \leq k \leq d$, then, from [3, Corollary 1.4], it follows that $\beta_k^{d'}(h_M) \geq 0$ for all $d \leq d'$ and $k_0(M) \leq k \leq d'$. Also, it is clear that $k_0(M) = k_0(h_M)$.

Let $0 \subset I \subsetneq J \subset S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be two squarefree monomial ideals. We recall the method of computing Hilbert depth of J/I given in [2]. For $0 \leq k \leq n$, we let

$$\alpha_k(J/I) = |\{u \in S \text{ is a squarefree monomial with } u \in J \setminus I\}|.$$

For all $0 \leq d \leq n$ and $0 \leq k \leq d$, we consider the integers:

$$\beta_k^d(J/I) := \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{d-j}{k-j} \alpha_j(J/I). \quad (3)$$

We recall the following result:

Theorem 1.3. ([2, Theorem 2.4]) *With the above notations, the Hilbert depth of J/I is*

$$\text{hdepth}(J/I) := \max\{d : \beta_k^d(J/I) \geq 0 \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq d\}.$$

We consider the S -module

$$M(J/I) := (J + (x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2)) / (I + (x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2)).$$

It is easy to see that

$$M(J/I) = \bigoplus_{\substack{u \in S \text{ squarefree monomial} \\ u \in J \setminus I}} Ku. \quad (4)$$

From (4) and the definition of $\alpha_k(J/I)$'s it follows that

$$\alpha_k(J/I) = h_{M(J/I)}(k) \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq n. \quad (5)$$

From Theorem 1.3, Definition 1.1 and (5) we get the following result:

Proposition 1.4. *With the above notations, we have*

$$\text{hdepth}(J/I) = \text{hdepth}(h_{M(J/I)}).$$

In the following, all modules are assumed finitely generated over a standard graded K -algebra A , unless it is stated otherwise:

Proposition 1.5. *Let M be a nonzero graded A -module, $k_0 = k_0(M)$, $h_0 = h_M(k_0)$, $h_1 := h_M(k_0 + 1)$. Then:*

$$k_0 \leq \text{hdepth}(h_M) \leq k_0 + \frac{h_1}{h_0}.$$

Proof. It follows from [3, Proposition 1.5]. □

Let M be a nonzero graded A -module of finite length, i.e. $\dim_K(M) < \infty$. It follows that there exists $k_f(M) \geq k_0(M)$ such that

$$k_f(M) := \max\{k : M_k \neq 0\}.$$

Note that $k_f(M) \leq k_f(h_M)$. Hence, from [3, Proposition 1.5] we conclude that:

Proposition 1.6. *If M is a nonzero graded A -module of finite length, then*

$$\text{hdepth}(h_M) \leq k_f(M).$$

Corollary 1.7. *Let $I \subset S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an Artinian homogeneous ideal. Then*

$$\text{hdepth}(h_{S/I}) \leq \text{reg}(S/I).$$

Proof. According to [6, Theorem 18.4], we have that

$$\operatorname{reg}(S/I) = \max\{k : (S/I)_k \neq 0\}.$$

The conclusion follows from Proposition 1.6. \square

Remark 1.8. Assume K is a field of characteristic zero and let $I \subset S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a homogeneous ideal. Let $J := \operatorname{Gin}(I)$ be the generic initial ideal of I with respect to the reverse lexicographic order. It is well known that S/I and S/J have the same Hilbert function. Moreover, according to Bayer and Stillman [1], we have

$$\operatorname{reg}(S/I) = \operatorname{reg}(S/J).$$

On the other hand, according to Galligo [5], $J := \operatorname{Gin}(I)$ is strongly stable, hence from the well known result of Eliahou and Kervaire [4], it follows that

$$\operatorname{reg}(S/I) = \operatorname{reg}(S/J) = \max\{\deg(u) : u \in G(J)\} - 1,$$

where $G(J)$ is the minimal set of monomial generators of J .

In conclusion, $\operatorname{hdepth}(h_{S/I}) \leq \operatorname{reg}(S/I)$ if and only if $\operatorname{hdepth}(h_{S/J}) \leq \operatorname{reg}(S/J)$.

The result from Corollary 1.7 cannot be extended in general. For instance, the ideal $J = (x_1^3) \subset S = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ is strongly stable and its regularity is $\operatorname{reg}(S/J) = 3 - 1 = 2$, while $\operatorname{hdepth}(h_{S/J}) = 3$.

Proposition 1.9. *Let $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of (nonzero) graded A -modules. Then:*

$$\operatorname{hdepth}(h_M) \geq \min\{\operatorname{hdepth}(h_U), \operatorname{hdepth}(h_N)\}.$$

Proof. It follows from the fact that $h_M(k) = h_U(k) + h_N(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and [3, Proposition 1.10]. \square

Proposition 1.10. *Let M be a graded A -module and let $r > 0$ be an integer. Then*

$$\operatorname{hdepth}(h_{M^{\oplus r}}) = \operatorname{hdepth}(h_M).$$

Proof. Since $h_{M^{\oplus r}}(k) = r \cdot h_M(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the conclusion follows from [3, Proposition 1.11]. \square

If M is a graded A -module and m is an integer, then

$$M(m) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M(m)_k = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{m+k}$$

is the m -th shift module of M .

Proposition 1.11. *Let M be a nonzero graded A -module and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then:*

- (1) $k_0(M(m)) = k_0(M) - m$.
- (2) If $\dim_K(M) < \infty$ then $k_f(M(m)) = k_f(M) - m$.
- (3) $\text{hdepth}(h_{M(m)}) = \text{hdepth}(h_M) - m$.

Proof. (1) and (3) Since $h_{M(m)}(k) = h_M(k + m)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the conclusion follows from [3, Proposition 1.12].

(2) It is obvious. \square

Remark 1.12. Let $h : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $h(j) = 0$ for $j \ll 0$. Let $k_0 = \min\{j : h(j) > 0\}$ and $c = \left\lfloor \frac{h(k_0+1)}{h(k_0)} \right\rfloor$. Let $S := K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. We claim that there exists an Artinian S -module M such that

$$h_M(j) = \begin{cases} h(j), & k_0 \leq j \leq k_0 + c \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (6)$$

Indeed, we can take

$$M := (S/\mathfrak{m})(-k_0)^{h(k_0)} \oplus (S/\mathfrak{m})(-k_0-1)^{h(k_0+1)} \oplus \dots \oplus (S/\mathfrak{m})(-k_0-c)^{h(k_0+c)}.$$

From (6) it is easy to deduce that

$$\text{hdepth}(h) = \text{hdepth}(h_M).$$

Note that M is in fact a graded K -vector space of finite dimension.

2 Hilbert depth of the Hilbert series of a free S -module

Let $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and j a nonnegative integer. We denote $(a)_j = a(a+1) \cdots (a+j-1)$, the *Pochhammer symbol*. The *hypergeometric function* is

$${}_2F_1(a, b, c; z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(a)_j (b)_j}{(c)_j} \cdot \frac{z^j}{j!}.$$

First, we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Then:*

- (1) ${}_2F_1(0, n, -n; -1) = 1$ and ${}_2F_1(-1, n, -n; -1) = 0$.
- (2) $(-1)^k {}_2F_1(-k, n, -n; -1) > 0$ for any $2 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof. (1) It is obvious from the definition of the hypergeometric function.

(2) Since ${}_2F_1(-k, n, -n; -1) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \frac{(n)_j}{(n-j+1)_j}$, in order to prove (2), it is enough to show that for any $n \geq k \geq 2$ we have that:

$$E(n, k) := \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} (n)_j (n-k+1)_{k-j} > 0. \quad (7)$$

We consider the functions

$$f_{1,k}, f_{2,k} : (0, 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_{1,k}(x) = \frac{1}{(2-x)^n}, \quad f_{2,k}(x) = \frac{1}{x^{n-k+1}}.$$

By straightforward computation, for all $0 \leq j \leq k$ we have that

$$f_{1,k}^{(j)}(x) = \frac{(n)_j}{(2-x)^{n+j}} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{2,k}^{(k-j)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^{k-j} (n-k+1)_{k-j}}{x^{n-j+1}}, \quad (8)$$

where $f^{(j)}$ denotes the j -th derivative of the function f . Let

$$f_k : (0, 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_k(x) := f_{1,k}(x) f_{2,k}(x), \quad x \in (0, 2). \quad (9)$$

From (7), (8), (9) and the chain rule of derivatives, it follows that

$$E(n, k) = f_k^{(k)}(1). \quad (10)$$

We consider the function

$$g_k : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad g_k(x) = f_k(1-x) = \frac{1}{(1+x)^n (1-x)^{n-k+1}} = \frac{(1-x)^{k-1}}{(1-x^2)^n}.$$

Since $g_k^{(k)}(x) = (-1)^k f_k^{(k)}(1-x)$, from (7) and (10), in order to complete the proof, it is enough to prove that

$$(-1)^k g_k^{(k)}(0) > 0 \quad \text{for all } k \geq 2. \quad (11)$$

If $k \geq 2$ and $j \geq 1$, then, using the identity $g_k(x) = (1-x)g_{k-1}(x)$, we deduce that

$$g_k^{(j)}(x) = (1-x)g_{k-1}^{(j)}(x) - jg_{k-1}^{(j-1)}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in (-1, 1). \quad (12)$$

For $k \geq 1$ and $j \geq 0$ we denote $c_k^{(j)} := g_k^{(j)}(0)$. Since

$$g_1(x) = \frac{1}{(1-x^2)^n} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+\ell-1}{\ell} x^{2\ell},$$

it follows that

$$c_1^{(j)} = \begin{cases} 0, & j = 2\ell + 1 \\ \binom{n+\ell-1}{\ell} (2\ell)!, & j = 2\ell \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Also, it is clear that

$$c_k^{(0)} = 1 \text{ for all } k \geq 1. \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, from (12) it follows that

$$c_k^{(j)} = c_{k-1}^{(j)} - j c_{k-1}^{(j-1)} \text{ for all } k \geq 2, j \geq 1. \quad (15)$$

From (13), (14) and (15), using induction on $k \geq 2$, we can easily deduce that

$$(-1)^j c_k^{(j)} > 0 \text{ for all } k \geq 2, j \geq 0.$$

In particular, it follows that

$$(-1)^k c_k^{(k)} = (-1)^k g_k^{(k)}(0) > 0 \text{ for all } k \geq 2,$$

hence the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $S := K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then $\text{hdepth}(h_S) = n$.*

Proof. The Hilbert function of S is $h_S(k) = \binom{n-1+k}{k}$ for all $k \geq k_0(S) = 0$. Therefore, from (1), we have

$$\beta_k^d(h_S) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{d-j}{k-j} \binom{n-1+j}{j} \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq d. \quad (16)$$

Since $k_0(S) = 0$, $h_S(0) = 1$ and $h_S(1) = n$, from Proposition 1.5 we get that $\text{hdepth}(h_S) \leq n$. From (16) we have that

$$\beta_k^n(h_S) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{n-j}{k-j} \binom{n-1+j}{j} \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq n. \quad (17)$$

From (17) it follows that

$$\beta_k^n(h_S) = (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} {}_2F_1(-k, n, -n; -1) \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq n, \quad (18)$$

From (18) and Lemma 2.1 it follows that $\text{hdepth}(h_S) \geq n$, as required. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let $F = S(a)^{n_1} \oplus S(a-1)^{n_2} \oplus S(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus S(a_r)$ where n_1, n_2, a, a_j are some integers such that $n_1 > n_2 \geq 0$ and $a \geq a_j + 2$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r$. Then $\text{hdepth}(h_F) = n - a$.*

Proof. From Theorem 2.2, Proposition 1.10 and Proposition 1.11 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hdepth}(h_{S(a)^{n_1}}) &= n - a, \\ \text{hdepth}(h_{S(a-1)^{n_2}}) &= n - a + 1, \text{ if } n_2 > 0 \text{ and} \\ \text{hdepth}(h_{S(a_j)}) &= n - a_j \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq r. \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 1.9, we deduce that

$$\text{hdepth}(h_F) \geq \min\{n - a, n - a + 1, n - a_j, 1 \leq j \leq r\} = n - a. \quad (19)$$

On the other hand, from hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_F(-a) &= \dim_K S(a)_{-a}^{n_1} = n_1 \dim_K S_0 = n_1 \text{ and} \\ h_F(-a + 1) &= \dim_K S(a)_{-a+1}^{n_1} + \dim_K S(a-1)_{-a+1}^{n_2} = n \cdot n_1 + n_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $n_1 > n_2$, from Proposition 1.5 it follows that $\text{hdepth}(h_F) \leq n - a$. Hence, the conclusion follows from (19). \square

3 Hilbert depth of the Hilbert series of a complete intersection

Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $J = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \subset S$ be a graded complete intersection ideal with $d_i = \deg(f_i) \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. The Hilbert series of S/J is

$$H_{S/J}(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} h_{S/J}(k) t^k = (1+t+\dots+t^{d_1-1})(1+t+\dots+t^{d_2-1}) \dots (1+t+\dots+t^{d_n-1}).$$

Theorem 3.1. *With the above notations, we have that*

$$\text{hdepth}(h_{S/J}) = n.$$

Proof. First, note that $\text{hdepth}(h_{S/J}) \leq n$ by Proposition 1.5, since $h_{S/J}(0) = 1$ and $h_{S/J}(1) = n$.

We use induction on $n \geq 1$ and $d := d_1 + \dots + d_n \geq 2n$. If $n = 1$ then there is nothing to prove. If $d = 2n$, that is $d_i = 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, then:

$$\beta_k^n(h_{S/J}) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{n-j}{k-j} \binom{n}{j} = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{j}.$$

Therefore, $\beta_0^n(h_{S/J}) = 1$ and $\beta_k^n(h_{S/J}) = 0$ for $2 \leq k \leq n$. From Remark 1.2, it follows that $\text{hdepth}(h_{S/J}) \geq n$ and thus $\text{hdepth}(h_{S/J}) = n$.

Assume $d > 2n$. Without any loss of generality, we may assume that $d_n \geq 3$. Let $I = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ be a graded complete intersection ideal with $\deg(g_i) = \deg(f_i) = d_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\deg(g_n) = d_n - 1$. Let $J' = (f'_1, \dots, f'_{n-1}) \subset S' = K[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ be a graded complete intersection ideal with $\deg(f'_i) = \deg(f_i) = d_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. We have that that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{S/J}(t) &= (1+t+\dots+t^{d_1-1}) \cdots (1+t+\dots+t^{d_{n-1}-1})(1+t+\dots+t^{d_n-2}+t^{d_n-1}) = \\ &= H_{S/I}(t) + t^{d_n-1}H_{S'/J'}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

From (20), it follows that for $0 \leq k \leq n$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_k^n(h_{S/J}) &= \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{n-j}{k-j} h_{S/J}(j) = \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{n-j}{k-j} (h_{S/I}(j) + h_{S'/J'}(j - d_n + 1)) = \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{n-j}{k-j} h_{S/I}(j) + \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{n-j}{k-j} h_{S'/J'}(j - d_n + 1) = \\ &= \beta_k^n(h_{S/I}) + \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{n-j}{k-j} h_{S'/J'}(j - d_n + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

From induction hypothesis, it follows that $\beta_k^n(h_{S/I}) \geq 0$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$. If $k < d_n - 1$ then from (21) it follows that

$$\beta_k^n(h_{S/J}) = \beta_k^n(h_{S/I}) \geq 0. \quad (22)$$

If $k \geq d_n - 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{n-j}{k-j} h_{S'/J'}(j - d_n + 1) &= \sum_{j=d_n-1}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{n-j}{k-j} h_{S'/J'}(j - d_n + 1) \\ &= \sum_{j'=0}^{k-d_n+1} (-1)^{(k-d_n+1)-j'} \binom{(n-d_n+1)-j'}{(k-d_n+1)-j'} h_{S'/J'}(j') = \beta_{k-d_n+1}^{n-d_n+1}(h_{S'/J'}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (21) and the induction hypothesis it follows that

$$\beta_k^n(h_{S/J}) = \beta_k^n(h_{S/I}) + \beta_{k-d_n+1}^{n-d_n+1}(h_{S'/J'}) \geq 0. \quad (23)$$

The conclusion follows from (22) and (23). \square

Corollary 3.2. *If $J = (f_1, \dots, f_r) \subset S$ is a graded complete intersection with $\deg(f_i) \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, where $0 \leq r \leq n$, then*

$$\text{hdepth}(h_{S/J}) = n.$$

In particular, we reobtain the result $\text{hdepth}(h_S) = n$.

Proof. Let $\bar{J} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ be a graded complete intersection with $\deg(g_i) = \deg(f_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $\deg(g_i) = n + 1$ for $r + 1 \leq i \leq n$. Since

$$H_{S/J}(t) = (1 + t + \dots + t^{d_1-1}) \dots (1 + t + \dots + t^{d_r-1}) \cdot (1 + t + t^2 + \dots)^{n-r} \text{ and}$$

$$H_{S/\bar{J}}(t) = (1 + t + \dots + t^{d_1-1}) \dots (1 + t + \dots + t^{d_r-1}) \cdot (1 + t + t^2 + \dots + t^n)^{n-r},$$

it follows that $h_{S/J}(j) = h_{S/\bar{J}}(j)$ for all $0 \leq j \leq n$. Therefore

$$\beta_k^n(h_{S/J}) = \beta_k^n(h_{S/\bar{J}}) \text{ for all } 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

hence the result follows from Theorem 3.1. \square

4 Hilbert depth of the Hilbert series of a tensor product of modules

As in the beginning of the section, K is a field, A is a standard graded K -algebra and the modules over A are considered finitely generated and graded unless is stated otherwise.

We recall the following well known lemma, regarding the Hilbert series of a tensor product of modules, for which we sketch a proof in order of completion.

Lemma 4.1. *Let M, N be two A -modules such that N is flat. Then:*

$$H_{M \otimes_A N}(t) = \frac{H_M(t) H_N(t)}{H_A(t)}.$$

Proof. Take a free resolution of M ,

$$\dots \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \tag{24}$$

where each F_n is concentrated in degrees $\geq n$. It follows that

$$H_M(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i H_{F_i}(t). \tag{25}$$

Taking $\otimes_A N$ in (24) we get an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow F_2 \otimes_A N \rightarrow F_1 \otimes_A N \rightarrow F_0 \otimes_A N \rightarrow M \otimes_A N \rightarrow 0, \tag{26}$$

Since F_i is free, it follows that

$$H_{F_i \otimes_A N}(t) = \frac{H_{F_i}(t) H_N(t)}{H_A(t)} \text{ for all } i,$$

and thus from (25) we get

$$H_{M \otimes_A N}(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \frac{H_{F_i}(t) H_N(t)}{H_A(t)} = \frac{H_N(t)}{H_A(t)} \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i H_{F_i}(t) = \frac{H_M(t) H_N(t)}{H_A(t)},$$

as required. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $\bar{S} = S[x_{n+1}]$ and M be a S -module. If $\bar{M} = M[x_{n+1}] := M \otimes_S \bar{S}$, then*

$$H_{\bar{M}}(t) = \frac{H_M(t)}{(1-t)}.$$

In particular, $h_{\bar{M}}(j) = \sum_{\ell \leq j} h_M(\ell)$.

Proof. Since $H_S(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^n}$, $H_{\bar{S}}(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^{n+1}}$ and \bar{S} is flat over S , the conclusion follows from Lemma 4.1. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $\bar{S} = S[x_{n+1}]$, M be a S -module and $\bar{M} = M[x_{n+1}]$. Then*

$$\text{hdepth}(h_{\bar{M}}) \geq \text{hdepth}(h_M).$$

Proof. Let $d = \text{hdepth}(h_M)$, $k_0 = k_0(M)$ and $k_0 \leq k \leq d$. By (1) we have that

$$\beta_k^d(h_M) = \sum_{j=k_0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{d-j}{k-j} h_M(j) \geq 0. \quad (27)$$

By (1), Remark 1.2 and Lemma 4.2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_k^d(h_{\bar{M}}) &= \sum_{j=k_0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{d-j}{k-j} h_{\bar{M}}(j) = \sum_{j=k_0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{d-j}{k-j} \sum_{\ell=k_0}^j h_M(\ell) = \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{k-k_0} \sum_{j=k_0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{d-j}{k-j} h_M(\ell-t) = (j' = j-t) = \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{k-k_0} \sum_{j'=k_0}^{k-t} (-1)^{(k-t)-j'} \binom{(d-t)-j'}{(k-t)-j'} h_M(j') = \sum_{t=0}^{k-k_0} \beta_{k-t}^{d-t}(M) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

Remark 4.4. Let $k_0 := k_0(M)$ and let $k_0 \leq k \leq d$ be some integers. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_k^d(h_{\overline{M}}) &= \sum_{j=k_0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{d-j}{k-j} \sum_{\ell=k_0}^j h_M(\ell) = \\ &= \sum_{\ell=k_0}^k (-1)^{k-\ell} \left(\sum_{j=\ell}^k (-1)^{j-\ell} \binom{d-j}{k-j} \right) h_M(\ell) = \\ &= \sum_{\ell=k_0}^k (-1)^{k-\ell} \binom{d-\ell}{k-\ell} {}_2F_1(1, -k+\ell, -d+\ell; -1) h_M(\ell). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any nonnegative integer s , it holds that

$${}_2F_1(1, -s, -s; -1) = \sum_{\ell=0}^s (-1)^\ell = \begin{cases} 1, & s \text{ is even} \\ 0, & s \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\beta_d^d(h_{\overline{M}}) = \sum_{\ell=k_0}^k h_M(\ell|d) \geq 0, \text{ where } h_M(\ell|d) = \begin{cases} h_M(\ell), & d \equiv \ell \pmod{2} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Acknowledgments

The second author, Mircea Cimpoeaş, was supported by a grant of the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitization, CNCS - UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P1-1.1-TE-2021-1633, within PNCDI III.

References

- [1] D. Bayer, D. Stillman, *A criterion for detecting m -regularity*, *Inv. Math.* **87** (1987), 1–11.
- [2] S. Bălănescu, M. Cimpoeaş, C. Krattenthaler, *On the Hilbert depth of monomial ideals*, arXiv:2306.09450v4 (2024).
- [3] S. Bălănescu, M. Cimpoeaş, *On the arithmetic Hilbert depth*, arXiv:2309.10521v2 (2024).
- [4] S. Eliahou, M. Kervaire, *Minimal resolutions of some monomial ideals*, *J. Alg.* **129** (1990), 1–25.

- [5] A. Galligo: A propos du theoreme de preparation de Weierstrass, *Functions de Plusieurs Variables Complexes. Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 409, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer (1974), 543–579.
- [6] I. Peeva, *Graded Syzygies*, Algebra and Applications, Volume 14, Springer-Verlag London Limited, 2011.
- [7] J. Uliczka, *Remarks on Hilbert series of graded modules over polynomial rings*, *Manuscripta math.* **132** (2010), 159–168.

Silviu BĂLĂNESCU,
Faculty of Applied Sciences,
National University of Science and Technology Politehnica Bucharest,
313 Splaiul Independentei Street, 060042 Bucharest, Romania.
Email: silviu.balanescu@stud.fsa.upb.ro

Mircea CIMPOEAȘ,
Faculty of Applied Sciences,
National University of Science and Technology Politehnica Bucharest,
060042 Bucharest, Romania, and
Research unit 5,
Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics,
21 Calea Grivitei Street, 010702 Bucharest, Romania
Email: mircea.cimpoeas@imar.ro

