



Bounds for the minimum distance function

Luis Núñez-Betancourt, Yuriko Pitones, and Rafael H. Villarreal

Abstract

Let I be a homogeneous ideal in a polynomial ring S . In this paper, we extend the study of the asymptotic behavior of the minimum distance function δ_I of I and give bounds for its stabilization point, r_I , when I is an F -pure or a square-free monomial ideal. These bounds are related with the dimension and the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of I .

Keywords: Minimum distance, Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity, monomial ideal.

1 Introduction

In this manuscript we study the minimum distance function δ_I of a homogeneous ideal I contained in a polynomial ring $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ over a field \mathbb{K} . This minimum distance function for ideals was introduced by the second-named and third-named authors together with Martínez-Bernal [MBPV17] to obtain an algebraic formulation of the minimum distance of projective Reed–Muller-type codes over finite fields.

If I is an unmixed radical graded ideal and its associate primes are generated by linear forms, then δ_I is non-increasing [MBPV17]. In our first result, we extend this property to any radical ideal.

Theorem A (Theorem 3.4). Suppose that $I \subseteq S$ is a radical ideal. Then, $\delta_I(d)$ is a non-increasing function.

Key Words: Minimum distance, Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity, monomial ideal.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13D40; Secondary 13H10, 13P25.
Received: 29.12.2020
Accepted: 28.03.2021

The previous result allow us to define the regularity index of δ_I , r_I , as the value where δ_I stabilizes. If $\dim(S/I) = 1$, previous work shows that $r_I \leq \text{reg}(S/I)$ [GSRTR02, RMSV11], where $\text{reg}(S/I)$ is the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of S/I . This motivated the authors to conjecture that this relation holds in greater generality.

Conjecture B ([NnBPV18]). Let $I \subseteq S$ be a radical homogeneous ideal whose associated primes are generated by linear forms. Then, $r_I \leq \text{reg}(S/I)$.

This conjecture was previously showed for edge ideals associated to Cohen–Macaulay bipartite graphs [NnBPV18] and when $\dim(S/I) = 1$ [GSRTR02, RMSV11]. However, the conjecture does not hold in general. Jaramillo and the third-named author provided an example of a monomial edge ideal I such that $r_I > \text{reg}(S/I)$ [JV21]. In this work, we find bounds for r_I for square-free monomial ideals.

Theorem C (Theorem 4.5 & 5.7). Let $I \subseteq S$ be a square-free monomial ideal. Then, $r_I \leq \dim(S/I)$. Moreover, if I is shellable or Gorenstein, then $r_I \leq \text{reg}(S/I)$.

We also prove a similar result for ideals such that S/I is a F -pure ring. These class of rings play an important role in the study of singularities in prime characteristic [HR76].

Theorem D (Theorem 5.5 & 5.6). Suppose that \mathbb{K} is a field of prime characteristic. Let $I \subseteq S$ be an ideal such that S/I is F -pure. Then, $r_I \leq \dim(S/I)$. Moreover, if I is Gorenstein, then $r_I \leq \text{reg}(S/I)$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some well known notion and results that are needed throughout this manuscript.

Let $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \bigoplus_{t=0}^{\infty} S_t$ be a polynomial ring over a field \mathbb{K} with the standard grading and let $I \neq (0)$ be a homogeneous ideal of S . Let d denote the Krull dimension of $R = S/I$.

The *Hilbert function* of S/I , denoted H_I , is given by

$$H_I(t) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(R_{\leq t}) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(S_{\leq t}/I_{\leq t}) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(S_{\leq t}) - \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(I_{\leq t}),$$

where $I_{\leq t} = I \cap S_{\leq t}$. By a classical theorem of Hilbert there is a unique polynomial $h_I(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ of degree d such that $H_I(t) = h_I(t)$ for $t \gg 0$.

The *Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity* or *degree* of R , denoted $e(R)$, is the positive integer defined by $e(R) = d! \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_I(t)/t^d$.

Given an integer $t \geq 1$, let \mathcal{F}_t be the set of all zero-divisors of S/I not in I of degree $t \geq 1$. That is

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \{f \in S_t \mid f \notin I, (I : f) \neq I\}.$$

We note that $(I : f) \neq I$ is equivalent to $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_S(S/I)$, $\text{Ass}_S(S/I)$ is the set of associated primes of S/I .

Definition 2.1. The *minimum distance function* of I is the function $\delta_I : \mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$\delta_I(t) = \begin{cases} e(S/I) - \max\{e(S/(I, f)) \mid f \in \mathcal{F}_t\} & \text{if } \mathcal{F}_t \neq \emptyset, \\ e(S/I) & \text{if } \mathcal{F}_t = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.2. Let $I \subseteq S$ be a graded ideal and let \mathbb{F}_\star be the minimal graded free resolution of S/I as an S -module:

$$\mathbb{F}_\star : 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_j S(-j)^{\beta_{gj}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_j S(-j)^{\beta_{1j}} \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow 0.$$

The *Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity* of S/I , *regularity* of S/I for short, is defined as

$$\text{reg}(S/I) = \max\{j - i \mid \beta_{ij} \neq 0\}.$$

The following result shows the asymptotic behavior of δ_I for a particular case of graded ideals.

An ideal $I \subseteq S$ is called *unmixed* if all its associated primes have the same height, in other case I is *mixed*.

Theorem 2.3 ([MBPV17, Theorem 3.8]). *Let $I \subseteq S$ be an unmixed radical homogeneous ideal. If all the associated primes of I are generated by linear forms, then there is an integer $r_0 \geq 1$ such that*

$$\delta_I(1) > \delta_I(2) > \dots > \delta_I(r_0) = \delta_I(d) = 1 \quad \text{for } d \geq r_0.$$

The integer r_0 where the stabilization occurs is called the *regularity index* of δ_I and is denoted by r_I . In Section 3, we show that one can define this index for any radical ideal.

Local cohomology Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring with identity and let I be a homogeneous ideal generated by the forms $f_1, \dots, f_\ell \in R$. Consider the Čech complex, $\check{C}^\bullet(\bar{f}; R)$:

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow \bigoplus_i R_{f_i} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i,j} R_{f_i f_j} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow R_{f_1, \dots, f_\ell} \rightarrow 0.$$

where $\check{C}^i(\bar{f}; R) = \bigoplus_{1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_i \leq \ell} R_{f_{j_1} \dots f_{j_i}}$ and the homomorphism in every summand is a localization map with appropriate sign.

Definition 2.4. Let M be a graded R -module. The i -th local cohomology of M with support in I is defined as

$$H_I^i(M) = H^i(\check{C}^\bullet(\bar{f}; R) \otimes_R M).$$

Remark 2.5. Since M is a graded R -module and I is homogeneous the local cohomology module $H_I^i(M)$ is graded.

Remark 2.6. If $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ is a homogeneous R -module homomorphism of degree t , then the induced R -module map $H_I^i(M) \rightarrow H_I^i(N)$ is homogeneous of degree t .

Theorem 2.7 (Grothendieck’s Vanishing Theorem). *Let M be an R -module of dimension d . Then, $H_I^i(M) = 0$ for all $i > d$.*

Theorem 2.8 (Grothendieck’s Non-Vanishing Theorem). *Let M be a finitely generated R -module of dimension d . Then, $H_I^d(M) \neq 0$.*

Definition 2.9. Let M be an R -module with dimension d . The a_i -invariants, $a_i(M)$, for $i = 0, \dots, d$ are defined as follows. If $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M) \neq 0$,

$$a_i(M) = \max\{\alpha \mid H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)_\alpha \neq 0\},$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$, where $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ denotes the local cohomology module with support in the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . If $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M) = 0$, we set $a_i(M) = -\infty$.

If $d = \dim(M)$, then, $a_d(M)$, is often just called the a -invariant of M .

The a -invariant, is a classical invariant [GW78], and is closely related to the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity.

Definition 2.10. Let R be a positively graded ring and let M be a finitely generated R -module. The *Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity* of M , $\text{reg}(M)$, is defined as

$$\text{reg}(M) = \max\{a_i(M) + i \mid 1 \leq i \leq d\}.$$

Remark 2.11. If M is a standard graded module of dimension d , then the a -invariant is related to the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity, via the inequality $a(M) + d \leq \text{reg}(M)$ which is equality in the case Cohen–Macaulay.

Definition 2.12. Suppose that R has prime characteristic p . The Frobenius map $F : R \rightarrow R$ is defined by $r \mapsto r^p$.

Remark 2.13. If R is reduced, R^{1/p^e} the ring of the p^e -th roots of R is well defined, and $R \subseteq R^{1/p^e}$.

3 Asymptotic behavior of the minimum distance function

In this section we prove that the minimum distance function δ_I is non-increasing. Then, the notion of regularity index of δ_I is well defined. We also find what is the stable value of the minimum distance function. We start this section establishing notation.

Notation 3.1. Given an ideal $I \subseteq S$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(I) &= \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_S(S/I) \mid \dim(S/I) = \dim(S/\mathfrak{p})\}; \\ \mathcal{V}(I) &= \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(S) \mid I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}; \\ \mathcal{D}(I) &= \text{Spec}(S) \setminus \mathcal{V}(I).\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2. For an ideal $I \subseteq S$, we have

$$e(S/I) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{A}(I)} \lambda_{S_{\mathfrak{p}}}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}/IS_{\mathfrak{p}}) e(S/\mathfrak{p}),$$

where $\lambda_{S_{\mathfrak{p}}}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}/IS_{\mathfrak{p}})$ denotes the length of $S_{\mathfrak{p}}/IS_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, by the additivity formula (see for instance [HS06, Theorem 11.2.4]). In particular, if I is radical, then

$$e(S/I) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{A}(I)} e(S/\mathfrak{p}).$$

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that I is a radical ideal. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_t$ such that $\dim(S/(I, f)) = \dim(S/I)$. Then, $\mathcal{A}((I, f)) = \mathcal{A}(I) \cap \mathcal{V}(f)$. Furthermore,

$$e(S/(I, f)) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{A}(I) \cap \mathcal{V}(f)} e(S/\mathfrak{p}).$$

Proof. Let $J = (I, f)$. Let Q be an associated prime of J . Since $I \subseteq J$, there exists an associated prime \mathfrak{p} of I such that $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq Q$. If $\dim(S/Q) = \dim(S/J) = \dim(S/I)$, then $\mathfrak{p} = Q$. Thus, $\mathcal{A}(J) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(I) \cap \mathcal{V}(f)$.

Let $Q \in \mathcal{A}(I) \cap \mathcal{V}(f)$. Then, $J \subseteq Q$ and $\dim(S/Q) = \dim(S/J)$. Then, Q is a minimal prime of S/J . Thus, $Q \in \text{Ass}_S(S/J)$, and so, $Q \in \mathcal{A}(J)$.

We note that J is not necessarily radical. However, $JS_{\mathfrak{p}} = IS_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{A}(I) \cap \mathcal{V}(f)$. Thus, $\lambda_{S_{\mathfrak{p}}}(S_{\mathfrak{p}}/IS_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{A}(I) \cap \mathcal{V}(f)$. Then,

$$e(S/(I, f)) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{A}(I) \cap \mathcal{V}(f)} e(S/\mathfrak{p})$$

by the additivity formula. □

We now show that the minimum distance function is non-increasing.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that I is a radical ideal. Then, $\delta_I(d)$ is a non-increasing function.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{F}_t = \emptyset$ for every $t \geq 1$, then $\delta_I(t) = e(S/I)$, which is the maximum value. We note that this case is equivalent to I being a prime ideal.

We now assume that $\mathcal{F}_t \neq \emptyset$ for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$. We note that in this case $\dim(S/I) \neq 0$, otherwise, $I = \mathfrak{m}$ and so $\mathcal{F}_t = \emptyset$. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_t$ such that $\delta_I(t) = e(S/I) - e(S/(I, f))$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_I(t) &= e(S/I) - e(S/(I, f)) \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{A}(I)} e(S/\mathfrak{p}) - \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{A}((I, f))} e(S/\mathfrak{p}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{A}(I)} e(S/\mathfrak{p}) - \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{A}(I) \cap \mathcal{V}(f)} e(S/\mathfrak{p}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{A}(I) \cap \mathcal{D}(f)} e(S/\mathfrak{p}). \end{aligned}$$

Since I is radical and $\dim(S/I) > 0$, we have that \mathfrak{m} is not an associated prime. Then, $\mathfrak{m}f \not\subseteq I$ because $f \notin I$. We conclude that there exists $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that $x_i f \notin I$. In particular, $x_i f \in \mathcal{F}_{t+1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{t+1} \neq \emptyset$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_I(t+1) &\leq \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{A}(I) \cap \mathcal{D}(x_i f)} e(S/\mathfrak{p}) \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{A}(I) \cap \mathcal{D}(x_i) \cap \mathcal{D}(f)} e(S/\mathfrak{p}) \\ &\leq \sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{A}(I) \cap \mathcal{D}(f)} e(S/\mathfrak{p}) = \delta_I(t). \end{aligned}$$

□

Thanks to the previous theorem we have that the minimum distance function eventually stabilizes, and it has a regularity index.

Definition 3.5. Suppose that I is a radical ideal. The *regularity index* of δ_I , denoted by r_I , is defined by

$$r_I = \min\{s \in \mathbb{N} \mid \delta_I(s) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \delta_I(t)\}.$$

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose that I is a radical ideal. Then,*

$$\delta_I(t) = \min\{e(S/\mathfrak{p}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_S(S/I)\}$$

for $t \gg 0$ if I is unmixed and $\delta_I(t) = 0$ for $t \gg 0$ otherwise.

Proof. We first assume that I is mixed. Let J_1 be the intersection of the minimal primes of I of dimension $\dim(S/I)$ and let J_2 be the intersection of the minimal primes of I of dimension smaller than $\dim(S/I)$. Let $f \in J_1 \setminus I$. Let $\alpha = \deg(f)$. In particular, $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$. We note that $\dim(S/I) = \dim(S/(I, f))$ and $\mathcal{A}(I) = \mathcal{A}(I, f)$, and so, $e(S/(I, f)) = e(S/I)$. We conclude that $\delta_I(t) = 0$. Since δ_I is nondecreasing by Theorem 3.4, we obtain that $\delta_I(t) = 0$ for $t \geq \alpha$.

We now assume that I is unmixed. Then, $\mathcal{A}(I) = \text{Ass}_S(S/I)$. If I is a prime ideal, then $\delta_I(t) = e(S/I)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$, and our claim follows. We assume that $\dim(S/I) > 0$ and that I is not a prime ideal. For every $f \in \mathcal{F}_t$, there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} such that $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Then,

$$e(S/I) - e(S/(I, f)) \geq e(S/\mathfrak{p}) \geq \min\{e(S/\mathfrak{p}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_S(S/I)\}.$$

We conclude that $\delta_I(t) \geq \min\{e(S/\mathfrak{p}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_S(S/I)\}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_\ell$ denote the associated primes of I in an order such that $e(S/\mathfrak{p}_i) \leq e(S/\mathfrak{p}_j)$ for $i \leq j$. Let $f \in \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_\ell \setminus I$. Let $\alpha = \deg(f)$. We have that $f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$. Then, $\delta_I(\alpha) \leq e(S/I) - e(S/(I, f)) = e(S/\mathfrak{p}_1)$. Since δ is nondecreasing by Theorem 3.4, we obtain that $\delta_I(t) \leq e(S/\mathfrak{p}_1)$ for $t \geq \alpha$. We conclude that $\delta_I(t) = e(S/\mathfrak{p}_1)$ for $t \geq \alpha$. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let I be a mixed radical ideal. Let J_1 be the intersection of the minimal primes of I of dimension $\dim(S/I)$ and let J_2 be the intersection of the minimal primes of I of dimension smaller than $\dim(S/I)$. Then, $r_I = \min\{t \mid [J_1/I]_t \neq 0\}$.*

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 3.6, we have that $\delta_I(t) = 0$ for $t \geq \min\{t \mid [J_1/I]_t \neq 0\}$. We conclude that $r_I \leq \min\{t \mid [J_1/I]_t \neq 0\}$.

Let $f \in \left(\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_S(S/I)} \mathfrak{p}\right) \setminus I$ of degree strictly less than $\min\{t \mid [J_1/I]_t \neq 0\}$. Then, $f \notin J_1$, and so, there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} such that $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and

$\dim(S/\mathfrak{p}) = \dim(S/I)$. Then, $e(S/I) - e(S/(I, f)) \geq e(S/\mathfrak{p})$. We conclude that $\delta_I(t) > 0$. Then, $r_I \geq \min\{t \mid [J_1/I]_t \neq 0\}$. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Suppose that $I \subset S$ is an unmixed radical ideal with associated primes $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ and $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_s$ such that $e(S/\mathfrak{p}_i) = \min\{e(S/Q) \mid Q \in \text{Ass}_S(S/I)\}$. And let $J_i = \left(\bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{p}_j\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^s \mathfrak{q}_j\right)$. Then, $r_I = \min\{t \mid \exists i \text{ such that } [J_i/I]_t \neq 0\}$.*

Proof. Set $e = e(S/\mathfrak{p}_i)$. We have that $\delta_I(t) = e$, for $t \geq \min\{t \mid \exists i \text{ such that } [J_i/I]_t \neq 0\}$, as in the proof of Proposition 3.6. We conclude that $r_I \leq \min\{t \mid \exists i \text{ such that } [J_i/I]_t \neq 0\}$.

Let $f \in \left(\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_S(S/I)} \mathfrak{p}\right) \setminus I$ of degree strictly less than $\min\{t \mid \exists i \text{ such that } [J_i/I]_t \neq 0\}$. Then, $f \notin J_i$ for every i , and so, either $f \notin \mathfrak{q}_j$ or f does not belong to two different primes \mathfrak{p}_i and \mathfrak{p}_j . In both cases, $\dim(S/I) = \dim(S/(I, f))$. In the first case, $e(S/I) - e(S/(I, f)) \geq e(S/\mathfrak{q}_j) > e$. In the second case, $e(S/I) - e(S/(I, f)) \geq 2e > e$. We conclude that $\delta_I(t) > e$. Then, $r_I \geq \min\{t \mid \exists i \text{ such that } [J_i/I]_t \neq 0\}$. \square

4 Stanley–Reisner ideals associated to a shellable simplicial complex

In this section we use the shellability condition to relate the regularity index of a Stanley–Reisner ideal of a shellable simplicial complex, I_Δ , with the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity.

Definition 4.1. A *simplicial complex* on a vertex set $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is a collection of subsets of X , called *faces*, satisfying that $\{x_i\} \in \Delta$ for every $i \in [n]$ and, if $\sigma \in \Delta$ and $\theta \subseteq \sigma$ then $\theta \in \Delta$. A face of Δ not properly contained in another face of Δ is called a *facet*.

A face $\sigma \in \Delta$ of cardinality $|\sigma| = i + 1$ has *dimension* i and is called an *i -face* of Δ . The *dimension* of Δ is $\dim \Delta = \max\{\dim \sigma \mid \sigma \in \Delta\}$, or if $\Delta = \{\}$ is the void complex, which has no faces. We say that Δ is *pure* if all its facets have the same dimension.

Let Δ be a simplicial complex of dimension d with the vertex set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and let \mathbb{K} be a field. The square-free monomial ideal I_Δ in the polynomial ring $S = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is generated by the monomials $x^\sigma = \prod_{i \in \sigma} x_i$ which σ is a non-face in Δ .

The simplicial complex Δ is said Cohen-Macaulay when the quotient ring $\mathbb{K}[\Delta] = S/I_\Delta$, called Stanley–Reisner ring of Δ , is Cohen-Macaulay.

Definition 4.2. A pure simplicial complex Δ of dimension d is *shellable* if the facets of Δ can be order $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s$ such that

$$\bar{\sigma}_i \cap \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} \bar{\sigma}_j \right)$$

is pure of dimension $d - 1$ for all $i \geq 2$. Here $\bar{\sigma}_i = \{\sigma \in \Delta \mid \sigma \subseteq \sigma_i\}$. If Δ is pure shellable, $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s$ is called a *shelling*.

Theorem 4.3 ([Vil15, Theorem 6.3.23]). *Let Δ be a simplicial complex. If Δ is pure shellable, then Δ is Cohen–Macaulay over any field \mathbb{K} .*

Remark 4.4. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex. Suppose that Δ is pure shellable with ordered facets $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s$. We have that $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_i$ is a shelling for the simplicial complex associated to $\sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_i$. Let $P_{\sigma_j} = (x_t \mid t \notin \sigma_j)$. Then,*

$$S/P_{\sigma_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{\sigma_i},$$

is Cohen-Macaulay for every $i = 1, \dots, s$ by Theorem 4.3.

We are now able to show one of our main results.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $I = I_\Delta$ be the Stanley–Reisner ideal of a shellable simplicial complex, with $\dim(S/I_\Delta) = d$. Then $r_I \leq \text{reg}(S/I)$.*

Proof. Let $d = \dim(S/I_\Delta)$. Since Δ is shellable, S/I_Δ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring by Theorem 4.3. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_\ell$ denote the associate primes of I . For $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, we set $R_i = S/\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_i$ and $J_i = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_i$. We have that R_i is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension d , because J_i is a shelling of I_Δ .

We have the following short exact sequence;

$$0 \longrightarrow J_{i-1}/J_i \longrightarrow R_i \longrightarrow R_{i-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

for $2 \leq i \leq \ell$.

We note that $\dim(R_{i-1}) = d$, and so, $H_m^j(R_{i-1}) = 0$ for all $j > d$. Then, the short exact sequence induces a long exact sequence as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_m^0(J_{i-1}/J_i) \rightarrow H_m^0(R_i) \rightarrow H_m^0(R_{i-1}) \rightarrow \dots \\ \rightarrow H_m^d(J_{i-1}/J_i) \rightarrow H_m^d(R_i) \rightarrow H_m^d(R_{i-1}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since R_{i-1} and R_i are Cohen-Macaulay rings, we have that a short exact sequence reduces to

$$0 \rightarrow H_m^d(J_{i-1}/J_i) \rightarrow H_m^d(R_i) \rightarrow H_m^d(R_{i-1}) \rightarrow 0,$$

because all the other local cohomology modules vanish. Then, J_{i-1}/J_i is also Cohen-Macaulay of dimension d . We have that $a_d(J_{i-1}/J_i) \leq a_d(R_i)$, and

$$\operatorname{reg}(J_{i-1}/J_i) = a_d(J_{i-1}/J_i) + d \leq a_d(R_i) + d = \operatorname{reg}(R_i).$$

for $2 \leq i \leq \ell$. By Proposition 3.8,

$$r_I \leq \min\{t \mid [J_{\ell-1}/J_\ell]_t \neq 0\} \leq \operatorname{reg}(J_{\ell-1}/J_\ell).$$

Then, $r_I \leq \operatorname{reg}(R_\ell) = \operatorname{reg}(S/I_\Delta)$. □

5 Results related to F -purity

Definition 5.1. Let R be a Noetherian ring of prime characteristic p , and $F : R \rightarrow R$ be the Frobenius map. We say that R is F -pure if for every R -module, M , we have that

$$M \otimes_R R \xrightarrow{1_M \otimes_R F} M \otimes_R R$$

is injective. We say that R is F -finite if R is finitely generated as R^p -module.

Definition 5.2. Suppose that \mathbb{K} has prime characteristic, \mathbb{K} is F -finite, and that I is a radical ideal. Then, we set

- $F_*^e S/I := \{F_*^e f \mid f \in S/I\} \cong S/I$ as Abelian groups, but the action of S/I on $F_*^e S/I$ is given by $rF_*^e f = F_*^e f p^e r$.
- $\mathfrak{m}_e = \{f \in S/I \mid \phi(F_*^e f) \in \mathfrak{m} \forall \phi : F_*^e S/I \rightarrow S/I\}$ [AE05].
- $b_e = \max\{t \mid \mathfrak{m}^t \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}_e\}$.
- $\operatorname{fpt}(S/I) = \lim_{e \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_e}{p^e}$ [TW04].

Theorem 5.3 ([DSNnB18, Theorem B]). *Suppose that \mathbb{K} has prime characteristic. If S/I is a F -pure ring, then $a_i(S/I) \leq -\operatorname{fpt}(S/I)$. Furthermore, if S/I is a Gorenstein ring, then $\operatorname{reg}(S/I) = \dim(S/I) - \operatorname{fpt}(S/I)$.*

Remark 5.4. *Suppose that \mathbb{K} has prime characteristic, \mathbb{K} is F -finite, and that S/I is a F -pure ring. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_\ell$ be the minimal primes of I , and $J_i = \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{p}_j$. Then, S/J_i is F -pure [Sch10, Corollary 4.8]. Furthermore, $\operatorname{fpt}(S/I) \leq \operatorname{fpt}(S/J_i)$ [DSNnB18, Theorem 4.7], because $J_i \cdot S/I$ is a compatible ideal for S/I .*

Theorem 5.5. *Suppose that \mathbb{K} has prime characteristic. If S/I is a F -pure ring, then $r_I \leq \dim(S/I)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_\ell$ be the minimal primes of I . For $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, we set $J_i = \bigcap_{i \neq j} \mathfrak{p}_j$. We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J_i/I \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow S/J_i \rightarrow 0.$$

This induces a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_m^0(J_i/I) \rightarrow H_m^0(S/I) \rightarrow H_m^0(S/J_i) \rightarrow H_m^1(J_i/I) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since both S/J_i and S/I are F -pure, we have that $a_j(S/J_i) \leq 0$ and $a_j(S/I) \leq 0$ for every j . Then, $a_j(J_i/I) \leq 0$ for every j [HR76, Proposition 2.4]. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{t \mid [J_i/I]_t \neq 0\} &\leq \max\{\ell \mid \beta_{0,\ell}(J_i/I) \neq 0\} \\ &\leq \operatorname{reg}(J_i/I) \\ &= \max\{a_j(J_i/I) + j\} \\ &\leq \dim(S/I). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.6. *Suppose that \mathbb{K} has prime characteristic. If S/I is a F -pure ring and Gorenstein, then $r_I \leq \operatorname{reg}(S/I)$.*

Proof. We first assume that \mathbb{K} is F -finite. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_\ell$ be the minimal primes of I . For $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, we set $J_i = \bigcap_{i \neq j} \mathfrak{p}_j$. We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J_i/I \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow S/J_i \rightarrow 0.$$

This induces a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_m^0(J_i/I) \rightarrow H_m^0(S/I) \rightarrow H_m^0(S/J_i) \rightarrow H_m^1(J_i/I) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since both S/J_i and S/I are F -pure, we have that $a_j(S/J_i) \leq -\operatorname{fpt}(S/J_i)$ and $a_j(S/I) \leq -\operatorname{fpt}(S/I)$ for every j . Then,

$$a_j(J_i/I) \leq \max\{-\operatorname{fpt}(S/J_i), -\operatorname{fpt}(S/I)\} \leq -\operatorname{fpt}(S/I)$$

for every j by Theorem 5.3. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{t \mid [J_i/I]_t \neq 0\} &\leq \max\{\ell \mid \beta_{0,\ell}(J_i/I) \neq 0\} \\ &\leq \operatorname{reg}(J_i/I) \\ &= \max\{a_j(J_i/I) + j\} \\ &= \max\{-\operatorname{fpt}(S/I) + j\} \\ &\leq \dim(S/I) - \operatorname{fpt}(S/I) \\ &= \operatorname{reg}(S/I) \text{ by Theorem 5.3.} \end{aligned}$$

The result for non F -finite fields follows from taking the product $\otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, because the numerical invariants do not change after field extensions. In addition, F -purity is stable for field extensions. \square

Theorem 5.7. *Let \mathbb{K} be any field and I is a square-free monomial ideal. Then, $r_I \leq \dim(S/I)$. If S/I is a Gorenstein ring, then $r_I \leq \operatorname{reg}(S/I)$.*

Proof. If \mathbb{K} has prime characteristic, the result follows from Theorems 5.5 and 5.6.

We now assume that \mathbb{K} has characteristic zero. Since field extensions do not affect whether a ring is Gorenstein and their dimension, without loss of generality we can assume that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}$. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and I_A the monomial ideal generated by the monomials in I . We have that $r_I = r_{I_A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p}$ by Propositions 3.7 and 3.8, since $\dim(S/I) = \dim(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} / I_A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) = \dim(\mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n] / I_A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p)$. Then,

$$r_I = r_{I_A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p} \leq \dim(\mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n] / I_A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p) = \operatorname{reg}(S/I)$$

by Theorem 5.6, because Stanley–Reisner rings in prime characteristic are F -pure.

We have that

$$\operatorname{reg}_S(S/I) = \operatorname{reg}_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} / I_A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) = \operatorname{reg}_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p}(A / I_A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p)$$

and $A / I_A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p$ is Gorenstein for $p \gg 0$ [HH, Theorem 2.3.5]. Then, the result follows from Theorem 5.6, because Stanley–Reisner rings in prime characteristic are F -pure. \square

Acknowledgments

We thank Carlos Espinosa-Valdéz and Delio Jaramillo-Velez for comments on an earlier draft. We also thank the referee for helpful comments.

References

- [AE05] Ian M. Aberbach and Florian Enescu. The structure of F -pure rings. *Math. Z.*, 250(4):791–806, 2005.
- [DSNnB18] Alessandro De Stefani and Luis Núñez Betancourt. F -thresholds of graded rings. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 229:141–168, 2018.

- [GSRTR02] M. González-Sarabia, C. Rentería, and H. Tapia-Recillas. Reed-Muller-type codes over the Segre variety. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 8(4):511–518, 2002.
- [GW78] Shiro Goto and Keiichi Watanabe. On graded rings. I. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 30(2):179–213, 1978.
- [HH] Melvin Hochster and Craig Huneke. Tight closure in equal characteristic zero. Unpublished manuscript available at <http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~hochster/tcz.ps>.
- [HR76] Melvin Hochster and Joel L. Roberts. The purity of the Frobenius and local cohomology. *Adv. Math.*, 21(2):117–172, 1976.
- [HS06] Craig Huneke and Irena Swanson. *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, volume 336 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [JV21] Delio Jaramillo and Rafael H. Villarreal. The v -number of edge ideals. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 177:105310, 35, 2021.
- [MBPV17] José Martínez-Bernal, Yuriko Pitones, and Rafael H. Villarreal. Minimum distance functions of graded ideals and Reed-Muller-type codes. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 221(2):251–275, 2017.
- [NnBPV18] Luis Núñez Betancourt, Yuriko Pitones, and Rafael H. Villarreal. Footprint and minimum distance functions. *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.*, 33(1):85–101, 2018.
- [RMSV11] Carlos Rentería-Márquez, Aron Simis, and Rafael H. Villarreal. Algebraic methods for parameterized codes and invariants of vanishing ideals over finite fields. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 17(1):81–104, 2011.
- [Sch10] Karl Schwede. Centers of F -purity. *Math. Z.*, 265(3):687–714, 2010.
- [TW04] Shunsuke Takagi and Kei-ichi Watanabe. On F -pure thresholds. *J. Algebra*, 282(1):278–297, 2004.
- [Vil15] R. Villarreal. *Monomial Algebras*. Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics. Chapman and Hall/CRC, second edition, 2015.

Luis NÚÑEZ-BETANCOURT,
Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas,
Guanajuato, Gto., México.
Supported by CONACyT Grant 284598, Ctedras Marcos Moshinsky, and SNI,
Mexico.
Email: luisnub@cimat.mx

Yuriko PITONES,
Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas,
Guanajuato, Gto., México.
Supported by CONACyT Grant 427234 and CONACyT Postdoctoral Fellow-
ship 177609.
Email: yuriko.pitones@cimat.mx

Rafael H. VILLARREAL,
Departamento de Matemáticas,
Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN,
Apartado Postal 14-740,
07000 Mexico City, D.F.
Supported by SNI, México.
Email: vila@math.cinvestav.mx