



THE LIE ALGEBRA $sl(2)$ AND ITS REPRESENTATIONS

Camelia Ciobanu

To Professor Silviu Sburlan, at his 60's anniversary

Abstract

In this paper we present some properties of Lie algebra $sl(2)$, then we prove some relations in $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(sl(2))$ - the enveloping algebra of $sl(2)$ and determine all finite-dimensional \mathcal{U} -modules.

1. The Lie Algebra $sl(2)$

To simplify matters, we assume for the rest of this section that the ground field k is the field of complex numbers. The Lie algebra $gl(2) = \mathcal{L}(M_2(k))$ of 2×2 -matrices with complex entries is four-dimensional. The four matrices

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

form a basis of $gl(2)$. Their commutators are easily computed. We get

$$[X, Y] = H, \quad [H, X] = 2X, \quad [H, Y] = -2Y,$$
$$[I, X] = [I, Y] = [I, H] = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

The matrices of trace zero in $gl(2)$ form the subspace $sl(2)$ spanned by the basis $\{X, Y, H\}$. Relations (1.1) show that $sl(2)$ is an ideal of $gl(2)$ and that there is an isomorphism of Lie algebras

$$gl(2) \cong sl(2) \oplus kI,$$

which reduces the investigation of the Lie algebra $gl(2)$ to that of $sl(2)$.

The enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(sl(2))$ of $sl(2)$ is isomorphic to the algebra generated by the three elements X, Y, H with the three relations

$$[X, Y] = H, \quad [H, X] = 2X, \quad [H, Y] = -2Y. \quad (1.2)$$

We prove some relations in \mathcal{U} .

Lemma 1.1. *The following relations hold in \mathcal{U} for any $p, q \geq 0$:*

$$\begin{aligned} X^p H^q &= (H - 2pI)^q X^p, \quad Y^p H^q = (H + 2pI)Y^p, \\ [X, Y^p] &= pY^{p-1}(H - (p-1)I) = p(H + (p-1)I)Y^{p-1}, \\ [X^p, Y] &= pX^{p-1}(H + (p-1)I) = p(H - (p-1)I)X^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. One proves the first two relations by an easy double induction on p and q using the relations $XH = (H - 2I)X$ and $YH = (H - 2I)Y$, which is another way of expressing the commutation relation (1.2).

We prove the third relation by induction on p . It trivially holds for $p = 1$. When $p > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y^p] &= [X, Y^{p-1}]Y + Y^{p-1}[X, Y] = \\ &= (p-1)Y^{p-2}(H - (p-2)I)Y + Y^{p-1}H = \\ &= Y^{p-1}((p-1)(H - pI) + H) = pY^{p-1}(H - pI + I). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude by letting Y^{p-1} jump over H according to the second relation.

As for the last relation, it can be obtained from the third one by applying the automorphism σ of $sl(2)$ defined by

$$\sigma(X) = Y, \quad \sigma(Y) = X, \quad \sigma(H) = -H. \quad (1.3)$$

Proposition 1.2. *The set $\{X^i Y^j H^k\}_{i,j,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{U}(sl(2))$.*

Proof. It is a consequence of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt Theorem. \square

We close this section by a few remarks on the centre of \mathcal{U} . Let us consider the Casimir element defined as the element

$$C = XY + YX + \frac{H^2}{2} \quad (1.4)$$

of the enveloping algebra \mathcal{U} .

Lemma 1.3.3. *The Casimir element C belongs to the centre of \mathcal{U} .*

Proof. It is enough to show that the Lie brackets of C with H, X, Y vanish. Now, $[H, C] = [H, X]Y + X[H, Y] + [H, Y]X + Y[H, X] + \frac{1}{2}[H, H^2] =$

$$= 2XY - 2XY - 2YX + 2YX = 0.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} [X, C] &= X[X, Y] + [X, Y]X + \frac{1}{2}[X, H]H + \frac{1}{2}H[X, H] = \\ &= XH + HX - XH - HX = 0. \end{aligned}$$

One shows $[Y, C] = 0$ in a similar fashion. \square

Harish-Chandra constructed an isomorphism of algebras from the centre of \mathcal{U} to the polynomial algebra $k[t]$. This isomorphism sends C to the generator t . As a consequence, the Casimir element generates the centre of the enveloping algebra.

1.2. Representations of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$

We now determine all finite-dimensional \mathcal{U} -modules. We start with the concept of a highest weight vector.

Definition 2.1. Let V be a \mathcal{U} -module and λ be a scalar. A vector $v \in V$, $v \neq 0$ is said to be of weight $\lambda \in K$ if $Hv = \lambda v$. If, in addition, we have $Xv = 0$, then we say that v is a highest weight vector of weight λ .

Definition 2.2. Any non-zero finite-dimensional \mathcal{U} -module V has a highest weight vector.

Proof. Since k is algebraically closed and V is finite-dimensional, the operator H has an eigenvector $w \neq 0$ with eigenvalue α : $Hw = \alpha w$. If $Xw = 0$, then w is a highest weight vector and we are done. If not, let us consider the sequence of vector $X^n w$. By Lemma 1.1 we have

$$H(X^n w) = (\alpha + 2n)(X^n w).$$

Consequently, $(X^n w)_{n \geq 0}$ is a sequence of eigenvectors for H with distinct eigenvalues. As V is finite-dimensional, H can have but a finite number of eigenvalues; consequently, there exists an integer n such that $X^n w \neq 0$ and $X^{n+1} w = 0$. The vector $X^n w$ is a highest weight vector. \square

Lemma 2.3. Let v be a highest weight vector of weight λ . For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, set $v_p = \frac{1}{p!} Y^p v$. Then

$$Hv_p = (\lambda - 2p)v_p, \quad Xv_p = (\lambda - p + 1)v_{p-1}, \quad Yv_p = (p + 1)v_{p+1}.$$

Proof. The first two result from Lemma 1.1 and the third relation is trivial. \square

We now state the theorem describing simple finite-dimensional \mathcal{U} -modules.

Theorem 2.4. (a) Let V be a finite-dimensional \mathcal{U} -module generated by a highest vector v of weight λ . Then

- (i) The scalar λ is an integer equal to $\dim(V) - 1$.
- (ii) Setting $v_p = \frac{1}{p!} Y^p v$, we have $v_p = 0$ for $p > \lambda$ and in addition, $\{v = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_\lambda\}$ is a basis for V .
- (iii) The operator H acting on V is diagonalizable with the $(\lambda + 1)$ distinct eigenvalues $\{\lambda, \lambda - 2, \dots, \lambda - 2\lambda = -\lambda\}$.
- (iv) Any other highest weight vector in V is a scalar multiple of v and is of weight λ .

(v) The module V is simple.

(b) Any simple finite-dimensional \mathcal{U} -module is generated by a highest weight vector. Two finite-dimensional \mathcal{U} -modules generated by highest weight vectors of the same weight are isomorphic.

Proof. (a) According to Lemma 2.3, the sequence $\{v_p\}_{p \geq 0}$ is a sequence of eigenvectors for H with distinct eigenvalues. Since V is finite-dimensional, there has to exist an integer n such that $v_n \neq 0$ and $v_{n+1} = 0$. The formulas of Lemma 2.3 then show that $v_m = 0$ for all $m > n$ and $v_m \neq 0$ for all $m \leq n$. We get $n = \lambda$ since we have $0 = Xv_{n+1} = (\lambda - n)v_n$ by Lemma 2.3. The family $\{v = v_0, \dots, v_\lambda\}$ is free, for it is composed of non-zero eigenvectors for H with distinct eigenvalues. It also generates V ; indeed the formulas of Lemma 2.3 show that any element of V , which is generated by v as a module, is a linear combination of the set $\{v_i\}_i$. It results that $\dim V = \lambda + 1$. We have thus proved (i) and (ii). The assertion (iii) is also a consequence of Lemma 2.3.

(iv) Let v' be another highest weight vector. It is an eigenvector for the action of H ; hence, it is a scalar multiple of some vector v_i . But, again by Lemma 2.3 the vector v_i is killed by X if and only if $i = 0$.

(v) Let V' be a non-zero \mathcal{U} -submodule of V and let v' be a highest weight vector of V' . Then v' also is a highest weight vector for V . By (iv), v' is a non-zero scalar multiple on V . Therefore v is in V' . Since v generates V , we must have $V \subset V'$, which proves that V is simple.

(b) Let v be a highest weight vector of V ; if V is simple, then the submodule generated by v is necessarily equal to V . Consequently, V is generated by a highest weight vector.

If V and V' are generated by highest weight vectors v and v' with the same weight λ , then the linear map sending v_i to v'_i for all i is an isomorphism of \mathcal{U} -modules. \square

Up to isomorphisms, the simple \mathcal{U} -modules are classified by the nonnegative integers: given such an integer n , there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) simple \mathcal{U} -module of dimension $n + 1$, generated by a highest weight

vector of weight n . We denote this module by $V(n)$ and the corresponding morphism of Lie algebras by $\rho(n) : sl(2) \rightarrow gl(n+1)$.

For instance, we have $V(0) = k$ and $\rho(0) = 0$, which means that the module $V(0)$ is trivial, as is also the case for all modules of dimension 1.

More generally, any trivial \mathcal{U} -module is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $V(0)$.

Observe that the morphism $\rho(1) : sl(2) \rightarrow gl(2)$ is the natural embedding of $sl(2)$ into $gl(2)$ and that the module $V(2)$ is isomorphic to the adjoint representation of $sl(2)$ via the map sending the highest weight vector v_0 onto X, v_1 onto H and v_2 onto Y .

As for the higher-dimensional module $V(n)$, the generators X, Y and H acts by operators represented by the following matrices in the basis $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$:

$$\rho(n)(X) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & n-1 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\rho(n)(Y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } \rho(n)(H) = \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & n-2 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & -n+2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & -n \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us determine the action of the Casimir element on the simple module $V(n)$.

Lemma 2.5. *Any central element of \mathcal{U} acts by a scalar on the simple module $V(n)$. In particular, the Casimir element C acts on $V(n)$ by multiplication by the scalar $\frac{n(n+2)}{2}$, which is non-zero when $n > 0$.*

Proof. Let Z be a central element in \mathcal{U} . It commutes with H which decomposes $V(n)$ into a direct sum of one-dimensional eigenspaces.

Consequently, the operator Z is diagonal with the same eigenvectors $\{v = v_0, \dots, v_n\}$ as H . In particular, there exists scalars $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that $Zv_p = \alpha_p v_p$ for all p . Now

$$\alpha_{p+1} Y v_p = \alpha_{p+1} (p+1) v_{p+1} = (p+1) Z v_{p+1} = Z Y v_p = Y Z v_p = \alpha_p Y v_p.$$

Consequently, all scalars α_p are equal, which shows that Z acts as a scalar.

In order to determine the action of the Casimir element on $V(n)$, we have only to compute Cv for the highest weight vector v . By (1.4) and by Lemma 2.3 we get

$$Cv = XYv + YXv + \frac{H^2}{2}v = nv + \frac{n^2}{2}v = \frac{n(n+2)}{2}v. \quad \square$$

We finally show that any finite-dimensional \mathcal{U} -module is a direct sum of simple \mathcal{U} -modules.

Theorem 2.6. *Any finite-dimensional \mathcal{U} -module is semisimple.*

Proof. We know that it suffices to show that for any finite-dimensional \mathcal{U} -module V and any submodule V' of V , there exists another submodule V'' such that V is isomorphic to the direct sum $V' \oplus V''$. Set $\mathcal{L} = sl(2)$.

1. We shall first prove the existence of such a submodule V'' in the case when V' is of codimension 1 in V . We proceed by induction on the dimension of V' .

If $\dim(V') = 0$, we may take $V'' = V$. If $\dim(V') = 1$, then necessarily V' and V/V' are trivial one-dimensional representations. Therefore there exist a basis $\{v_1 \in V', v_2\}$ of V such that $\mathcal{L}v_1 = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}v_2 \subset V' = kv_1$.

Consequently, we have $[\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}]v_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Formulas (1.2) show that the action of \mathcal{L} on V is trivial. We thus may take for V'' any supplementary subspace of V' in V .

We now assume that $\dim(V') = p > 1$ and that the assertion to be proved holds in all dimensions $< p$. We have the following alternative: either V' is simple, or it is not.

(i) Let us first suppose that V' is not simple; then there exists a submodule V_1 of V' such that $0 < \dim(V_1) < \dim(V') = p$. Let π be the canonical projection of V onto $\bar{V} = V/V_1$. The module $\bar{V}' = \pi(V')$ is a submodule of \bar{V} of codimension one and its dimension is $< p$. This allows us to apply the induction hypothesis and to find a submodule \bar{V}'' of \bar{V} such that $\bar{V} \cong \bar{V}' \oplus \bar{V}''$. Lifting this isomorphism to V , we get

$$V = V' + \pi^{-1}(\bar{V}'').$$

Now, since $\dim(\bar{V}'') = 1$, the vector space V_1 is a submodule of codimension one of $\pi^{-1}(\bar{V}'')$. We again apply the induction hypothesis in order to find a

submodule V'' of $\pi^{-1}(\overline{V''})$ such that $\pi^{-1}(\overline{V''}) \cong V_1 \oplus V''$. Let us prove that the one-dimensional submodule V'' has the expected properties, namely $V \cong V' \oplus V''$. Indeed, the above argument implies that $V = V' + V_1 + V''$; now V_1 is contained in V' , which shows that V is the sum of V' and of V'' . The formula $\dim(V) = \dim(V') + \dim(V'')$ implies that this is a direct sum.

(ii) If the submodule V' is simple of dimension > 1 , then Lemma 2.5 implies that the Casimir element C acts on V' as a scalar $\alpha \neq 0$. Consequently, the operator C/α is the identity on V' . Now V/V' is one-dimensional, hence a trivial module. Therefore C sends V into the submodule V' , which means that the map C/α is a projector of V onto V' . As C/α commutes with any element of \mathcal{U} , the map C/α is a morphism of \mathcal{U} -modules, then the submodule $V'' = \text{Ker}(C/\alpha)$ is a supplementary submodule to V' .

2. General case. We are now given two finite-dimensional modules $V' \subset V$ without any restriction on the codimension. We shall reduce the situation to the codimension-one case by considering vector spaces $W' \subset W$ defined as follows: W (resp W') is the subspace of all linear maps from V to V' whose restriction to V' is a homothety (respectiv is zero). It is clear that W' is of codimension one in W . In order to reduce to Part 1, we have to equip W and W' with \mathcal{U} -modules structures. We give $\text{Hom}(V, V')$ the \mathcal{U} -module structure defined by relation $(xf)(v) = xf(v) - f(xv)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{L}$, $v \in V$. Let us check that W and W' are \mathcal{U} -submodules. For $f \in W$, let α be the scalar such that $f(v) = \alpha v$ for all $v \in V'$; then for any $x \in \mathcal{L}$, we have

$$(xf)(v) = xf(v) - f(xv) = x(\alpha v) - \alpha(xv) = 0.$$

A similar argument proves that W' is a submodules. Appling Part 1, we get a one-dimensional submodule W'' such that $W \cong W' \oplus W''$. Let f be a generator of W'' . By definition, it acts on V' as a scalar $\alpha \neq 0$. It follows that f/α is a projection of V onto V' . To conclude, it suffices to check that f (hence f/α) is a morphism o modules. Now, since W'' is a one-dimensional submodule, it is trivial.

Therefore, we have $xf = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{L}$, which by relation $(xf)(v) = xf(v) - f(xv)$, $x \in \mathcal{L}$, $v \in V$, translates into $xf(v) - f(xv) = 0$ for all $v \in V$. \square

References

- [Ba] D.W.Barnes, *Lie algebras*, Lecture Notes, University of Tubingen, 1969.
- [Bo] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*, Hermann, Paris, 1960.
- [C,E] C. Chevalley and S. Eilenberg, *Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie Algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (1948), 85-124.

-
- [Ci] C. Ciobanu, *Cohomological study of Lie p -algebras*, Ph. D. Thesis, Ovidius University, Constantza, 2001.
- [Ci] C. Ciobanu, *On a class of Lie p -algebras*, Ann. St. Univ. Ovidius, Constantza, vol. 7(2), 1999, 9-16.
- [Di] J. Dixmier, *Algèbres Enveloppantes*, Bordas (Gauthier-Villars), Paris, 1974, English transl: *Enveloping Algebras*, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1977.
- [Hu] J.E. Humphreys, *Introduction of Lie Algebras and their Representation Theory*, GTM 9, Springer Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [Ja] N. Jacobson, *Lie Algebras*, Dover Publ., New York, 1979.
- [Re] C. Reutenauer, *Free Lie Algebras*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993.
- [Se] J-P. Serre, *Lie Algebras and Lie Groups*, W.A. Benjamin Inc., New York, Amsterdam, 1965.
- [Sc] J.R. Schue, *Cartan decomposition for Lie algebras of prime characteristic*, J. Algebra, **11** (1969), 25-52.
- [Wi] D.J. Winter, *Abstract Lie algebras*, MIT Press, 1972.

„Mircea cel Batrân” Naval Academy,
Department of Mathematics and Informatics,
Str. Fulgerului 1,
8700 - Constantza,
Romania