
SOME MODELS FOR THE VOLTAGE

Alina Bărbulescu

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to give a model for the voltage generated in the ultrasonic cavitation.

1 Preliminaries

Figure 1: The signal form

In order to study the voltage appeared in the ultrasonic cavitation, we used an data acquisition card. The data obtained are represented in the figure 1.

We shall use the following:

Definition 1. *A time series is a realization of a stochastic process or a sequence of values that shows the volume variation of a statistic population or of the characteristic's level, related to the time.*

Definition 2. *A discrete time process is a sequence of random variables $(X_t; t \in \mathbf{Z})$.*

Definition 3. *A discrete time process $(X_t; t \in \mathbf{Z})$ is stationary if:*

$$(\forall)t \in \mathbf{Z}, M(X_t^2) < \infty, \quad (1)$$

$$(\forall)t \in \mathbf{Z}, M(X_t) = \mu, \quad (2)$$

$$(\forall)t \in \mathbf{Z}, (\forall)h \in \mathbf{Z}, Cov(X_t, X_{t+h}) = \gamma(h). \quad (3)$$

where $M(X)$ is the expectation value of X and $Cov(X, Y)$ is the correlation of the variables X and Y .

Definition 4. *A stationary process $(\varepsilon_t; t \in \mathbf{Z})$ is called a white noise if $\gamma(h) = 0$, for $h \neq 0$, $M(\varepsilon_t) = 0$ and $D^2(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma^2 = \gamma(0)$, $(\forall)t \in \mathbf{Z}$.*

Key Words: time series; autocorelation function.

Definition 5. The function defined on \mathbf{Z} , by:

$$\rho(h) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X_t, X_{t+h})}{\sqrt{D^2(X_t)D^2(X_{t+h})}} = \frac{\gamma(h)}{\gamma(0)}$$

is called the autocorrelation function (ACF) of the process $(X_t; t \in \mathbf{Z})$.

Definition 6. If $(X_t; t \in \mathbf{Z})$ is a stationary process, the function defined by:

$$\tau(h) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X_t - X_t^*, X_{t-h} - X_{t-h}^*)}{D^2(X_t - X_t^*)}, h \in \mathbf{Z}_+$$

is called the partial autocorrelation function (PACF), where $X_t^* (X_{t-h}^*)$ is the affine regression of $X_t (X_{t-h})$ with respect $X_{t-1}, \dots, X_{t-h+1}$.

Definition 7. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} B(X_t) &= X_{t-1} \\ \Phi(B) &= 1 - \varphi_1 B - \dots - \varphi_p B^p, \varphi_p \neq 0, \\ \Theta(z) &= 1 - \theta_1 B - \theta_2 B^2 - \dots - \theta_p B^q, \theta_p \neq 0 \\ \Delta^d X_t &= (1 - B)^d X_t \end{aligned}$$

The process $(X_t; t \in \mathbf{Z}_+)$ is called ARIMA (p, d, q) if:

$$\Phi(B)\Delta^d X_t = \Theta(B)\varepsilon_t,$$

where the absolute values of the roots of Φ and Θ are greater than 1 and $(\varepsilon_t, t \in \mathbf{Z})$ is a white noise.

If $d = 0$, the ARIMA (p, d, q) process is an ARMA (p, q) process.

2 The model

Looking to the figure 1, we can see that there exists a periodicity of the signal and after the study of the given values, we determine the period - 0.000105 s.

The horizontal axis is the time axis (in 0.000001s) and the vertical axis is the voltage axis (in V).

So, we shall study only a period.

Figure 2: The autocorrelation function of the data

Figure 3: The partial autocorrelation function of the data

In order to determine the type of the process, for the data on a period, we have to study the autocorrelation and the partial autocorrelation functions (ACF and PACF) for the voltage, V .

First, we consider the hypothesis:

H_0 : The autocorrelation function for V is zero.

Figure 4: The graph of ACF of the data

Figure 5: The graph of PACF of the data

In the figures 2 and 3 are given the values of ACF and PACF at the lag 1, 2, ..., 16. In the 5 - th column appear the corresponding values of Box - Ljung (Q) statistic and in the 6 - th column, the acceptance probabilities for the hypothesis H_0 .

Consider the significance level $\alpha = 0.05$.

The values of Box - Ljung statistic must be compared with the values of $\chi_{0.05}^2(k)$, where k is the number of observed data.

In our case, the values of Q - statistic are bigger than $\chi_{0.05}^2(103)$ and the probabilities are closed to zero. So, H_0 is rejected.

In the figures 4 and 5 it can be seen that there are values of ACF and PACF outside the confidence interval, confirming the existence of the autocorrelation for V .

The form of the two graph suggests the existence of an ARMA process.

Here we shall present only the ARIMA(2,0,0) with and without constant.

First, it was considered a model ARIMA(2, 0, 0), including a constant.

After the parameter determination we've obtained:

$$\varphi_1 = 1.5615034, \varphi_2 = -0.899325, \text{const} = -0.4272949.$$

To test the significance of the parameter's values, the t - test were used. The values obtained for the t -ratio are:

$$31.362927, -20.095106, -1.192045.$$

These have to be compared with the value $t_{k-1,0.05} = t_{102,0.05} = 1.96$, from the table of the Student quantiles.

Since $|-1.192045| < 1.96$, the hypothesis that the constant is zero can be accepted. Thus, we make the study for model ARIMA(2, 0, 0) \equiv AR(2), without constant.

The new parameters are: $\varphi_1 = 1.5636298$, $\varphi_2 = -0.89193194$ and the corresponding t - ratios: 31.032973 and -19.394363, with the modulus greater

than 1.96. The calculated probabilities to reject the hypotheses that the coefficient are 0 are closed to 1.

Thus, the model is:

$$V_t = 1.5636298V_{t-1} - 0.89193194V_{t-2} + \varepsilon_t, t \geq 3,$$

where ε_t is the residual.

It remains to prove that the residuals for an white noise.

Figure 6: The ACF for the residue

Figure 7: The PACF for the residue

Figure 8: The graph of ACF for the residue

In the figures 6 and 8 it can be seen that the values of the ACF for the residuals are inside the confidence interval and the probabilities of acceptance of the white noise hypothesis are big.

The values of the Q -statistic are less than $\chi_{0.05}^2(100)$. The PACF values are also inside the confidence interval and they are small (see figure 7). Thus, the residuals form a white noise and the model is well chosen.

References

- [1] A. Barbulescu, Time series, with applications (in Romanian), Ed. Junimea, Iasi, 2002
- [2] J. Bourbonais, Cours et exercices d'econometrie, Dunod, Paris, 1993
- [3] C. Gourierous, A. Monfort, Series temporelles et modeles dynamiques, Economica, Paris, 1990
- [4] V. Marza, N. Peride, M. Mititelu, G. Mandrescu, A. Danisor, Electrical phenomena whith join acoustic cavitation (to appear)

"Ovidius" University of Constanta
Department of Mathematics and Informatics,
900527 Constanta, Bd. Mamaia 124
Romania
e-mail: abarbulescu@univ-ovidius.ro

