



Some properties of multivalent analytic functions associated with an integral operator

Yi-Hui Xu and Cai-Mei Yan

Abstract

Let $A(p)$ denote the class of functions of the form $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ ($p \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$) which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z : z \in C \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. By making use of the Noor integral operator, we obtain some interesting properties of multivalent analytic functions.

1 Introduction

Let $A(p)$ be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (p \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z : z \in C \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$.

For $f \in A(p)$, we denote by $D^{n+p-1} : A(p) \rightarrow A(p)$ the operator defined by

$$D^{n+p-1} f(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{n+p}} * f(z) \quad (n > -p) \quad (2)$$

or, equivalently, by

$$D^{n+p-1} f(z) = \frac{z^p (z^{n-1} f(z))^{(n+p-1)}}{(n+p-1)!},$$

Key Words: Analytic function; Multivalent function; Hadamard product (or convolution); The Noor integral operator.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Received: August, 2011.

Accepted: April, 2012.

where n is any integer greater than $-p$ and the symbol $(*)$ stands for the Hadamard product (or convolution). If $f(z)$ is given by (1.1), then from (1.2) it follows that

$$D^{n+p-1}f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \binom{n+k-1}{k-p} a_k z^k \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}; n > -p).$$

The symbol D^{n+p-1} when $p = 1$ was introduced by Ruscheweyh [12] and the symbol D^{n+p-1} was introduced by Goel and Sohi [2].

Recently, analogous to D^{n+p-1} , Liu and Noor [4] introduced an integral operator $I_{n,p} : A(p) \rightarrow A(p)$ as following.

Let $f_{n,p}(z) = z^p/(1-z)^{n+p}$ ($n > -p$), and let $f_{n,p}^{(+)}(z)$ be defined such that

$$f_{n,p}(z) * f_{n,p}^{(+)}(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{p+1}}. \quad (3)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n,p}f(z) &= f_{n,p}^{(+)}(z) * f(z) \\ &= z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(p+1)(p+2)\cdots k}{(n+p)(n+p+1)\cdots(n+k-1)} a_k z^k. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

It follows from (1.4) that

$$z(I_{n+1,p}f(z))' = (n+p)I_{n,p}f(z) - nI_{n+1,p}f(z). \quad (5)$$

We also note that $I_{0,p}f(z) = zf'(z)/p$ and $I_{1,p}f(z) = f(z)$. Moreover, the operator $I_{n,p}f(z)$ defined by (1.4) is called as the Noor integral operator of $(n+p-1)$ th order of f [4]. For $p = 1$, the operator $I_{n,1}f(z) \equiv I_n f$ was introduced by Noor [7] and Noor and Noor [9]. Many interesting subclasses of analytic functions, associated with the Noor integral operator $I_{n,p}$ and its many special cases, were investigated recently by Cho [1], Liu [3], Liu and Noor [4,5], Noor [7,8], Noor and Noor [9,10] and others. In the present sequel to these earlier works, we shall derive certain interesting properties of the Noor integral operator.

2 Main results

In order to give our theorems, we need the following lemma.

Lemma. (see [6]). Let Ω be a set in the complex plane C and let b be a complex number such that $\operatorname{Re} b > 0$. Suppose that the function $\psi : C^2 \times U \rightarrow C$ satisfies the condition

$$\psi(ix, y; z) \notin \Omega$$

for all real $x, y \leq -|b - ix|^2 / (2Reb)$ and all $z \in U$. If the function $p(z)$ defined by $p(z) = b + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots$ is analytic in U and if

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) \in \Omega,$$

then $Re p(z) > 0$ in U .

We now prove our first result given by Theorem 1 below.

Theorem 1. Let $n > -p + 1, \lambda \geq 0$ and $\gamma > 1$. Suppose that $f(z) \in A(p)$, then

$$Re \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{I_{n,p}f(z)}{I_{n+1,p}f(z)} + \lambda \frac{I_{n-1,p}f(z)}{I_{n,p}f(z)} \right\} < \gamma \quad (z \in U) \tag{6}$$

implies

$$Re \left\{ \frac{I_{n,p}f(z)}{I_{n+1,p}f(z)} \right\} < \beta \quad (z \in U), \tag{7}$$

where $\beta \in (1, +\infty)$ is the positive root of the equation

$$2(n + p + \lambda - 1)x^2 - [\lambda + 2\gamma(n + p - 1)]x - \lambda = 0. \tag{8}$$

Proof. Let

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{\beta - 1} \left[\beta - \frac{I_{n,p}f(z)}{I_{n+1,p}f(z)} \right], \tag{9}$$

then $p(z)$ is analytic in U and $p(0) = 1$. Differentiating (2.4) and using (1.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda) \frac{I_{n,p}f(z)}{I_{n+1,p}f(z)} + \lambda \frac{I_{n-1,p}f(z)}{I_{n,p}f(z)} \\ &= \beta + \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)}{n + p - 1} - \frac{(\beta - 1)(n + p + \lambda - 1)}{n + p - 1} p(z) - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)}{n + p - 1} \cdot \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta - (\beta - 1)p(z)} \\ &= \psi(p(z), zp'(z)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi(r, s) = \beta + \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)}{n + p - 1} - \frac{(\beta - 1)(n + p + \lambda - 1)}{n + p - 1} r - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)}{n + p - 1} \cdot \frac{s}{\beta - (\beta - 1)r}. \tag{10}$$

Using (2.2) and (2.5), we have

$$\{\psi(p(z), zp'(z)) : z \in U\} \subset \Omega = \{w \in C : Rew < \gamma\}.$$

Now for all real $x, y \leq -(1+x^2)/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\{\psi(ix, y)\} &= \beta + \frac{\lambda(\beta-1)}{n+p-1} - \frac{\lambda(\beta-1)}{n+p-1} \cdot \frac{\beta y}{\beta^2 + (\beta-1)^2 x^2} \\ &\geq \beta + \frac{\lambda(\beta-1)}{n+p-1} + \frac{\lambda\beta(\beta-1)}{2(n+p-1)} \cdot \frac{1+x^2}{\beta^2 + (\beta-1)^2 x^2} \\ &\geq \beta + \frac{\lambda(\beta-1)}{n+p-1} + \frac{\lambda(\beta-1)}{2\beta(n+p-1)} \\ &= \beta + \frac{\lambda(\beta-1)(2\beta+1)}{2\beta(n+p-1)} = \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where β is the positive root of the equation (2.3).

Note that $n > -p+1, \lambda \geq 0, \gamma > 1$ and let

$$g(x) = 2(n+p+\lambda-1)x^2 - [\lambda + 2\gamma(n+p-1)]x - \lambda,$$

then $g(0) = -\lambda \leq 0$ and $g(1) = -2(n+p-1)(\gamma-1) < 0$. This shows $\beta \in (1, +\infty)$. Hence for each $z \in U$, $\psi(ix, y) \notin \Omega$. By Lemma, we get $\operatorname{Re}p(z) > 0$. This proves (2.2).

Theorem 2. Let $\lambda \geq 0, \gamma > 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1$. Let $g(z) \in A(p)$ satisfy

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{I_{n+1,p}g(z)}{I_{n,p}g(z)} \right\} > \delta \quad (z \in U). \quad (11)$$

If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1-\lambda) \frac{I_{n+1,p}f(z)}{I_{n+1,p}g(z)} + \lambda \frac{I_{n,p}f(z)}{I_{n,p}g(z)} \right\} < \gamma \quad (z \in U), \quad (12)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{I_{n+1,p}f(z)}{I_{n+1,p}g(z)} \right\} < \frac{2\gamma(n+p) + \lambda\delta}{2(n+p) + \lambda\delta} \quad (z \in U). \quad (13)$$

Proof. Let $\beta = \frac{2\gamma(n+p) + \lambda\delta}{2(n+p) + \lambda\delta}$ ($\beta > 1$) and consider the function

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{\beta-1} \left[\beta - \frac{I_{n+1,p}f(z)}{I_{n+1,p}g(z)} \right]. \quad (14)$$

The function $p(z)$ is analytic in U and $p(0) = 1$. Set

$$B(z) = \frac{I_{n+1,p}g(z)}{I_{n,p}g(z)},$$

then $Re\{B(z)\} > \delta$ ($z \in U$). Differentiating (2.9) and using (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda) \frac{I_{n+1,p}f(z)}{I_{n+1,p}g(z)} + \lambda \frac{I_{n,p}f(z)}{I_{n,p}g(z)} \\ &= \beta - (\beta - 1)p(z) - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)}{n + p} B(z) \cdot zp'(z). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\psi(r, s) = \beta - (\beta - 1)r - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)}{n + p} B(z) \cdot s,$$

then from (2.7), we deduce that

$$\{\psi(p(z), zp'(z)) : z \in U\} \subset \Omega = \{w \in C : Rew < \gamma\}.$$

Now for all real $x, y \leq -(1 + x^2)/2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} Re\{\psi(ix, y)\} &= \beta - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)y}{n + p} Re\{B(z)\} \\ &\geq \beta + \frac{\lambda\delta(\beta - 1)}{2(n + p)}(1 + x^2) \\ &\geq \beta + \frac{\lambda\delta(\beta - 1)}{2(n + p)} = \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for each $z \in U$, $\psi(ix, y) \notin \Omega$. Thus by Lemma, $Rep(z) > 0$ in U . The proof of the theorem is complete.

Finally, we prove the following result.

Theorem 3. Let $\beta \geq 1$ and $\gamma > 0$. Let $f(z) \in A(p)$, then

$$Re \left\{ \frac{I_{n,p}f(z)}{I_{n+1,p}f(z)} \right\} < \frac{n + p + \gamma}{n + p} \quad (z \in U) \tag{15}$$

implies

$$Re \left\{ \left(\frac{I_{n+1,p}f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{-1/2\beta\gamma} \right\} > 2^{-1/\beta} \quad (z \in U) \tag{16}$$

The bound $2^{-1/\beta}$ is best possible.

Proof. From (1.5) and (2.10), we have

$$Re \left\{ \frac{z(I_{n+1,p}f(z))'}{I_{n+1,p}f(z)} \right\} < p + \gamma \quad (z \in U).$$

That is,

$$\frac{1}{2\gamma} \left(\frac{z(I_{n+1,p}f(z))'}{I_{n+1,p}f(z)} - p \right) \prec \frac{z}{1 + z}. \tag{17}$$

Let

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{I_{n+1,p}f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{-1/2\gamma},$$

then (2.12) may be written as

$$z (\log p(z))' \prec z \left(\log \frac{1}{1+z} \right)' \quad (18)$$

By using a well-known result [13] to (2.13), we obtain that

$$p(z) \prec \frac{1}{1+z},$$

that is, that

$$\left(\frac{I_{n+1,p}f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{-1/2\beta\gamma} = \left(\frac{1}{1+w(z)} \right)^{1/\beta}, \quad (19)$$

where $w(z)$ is analytic in U , $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in U$.

According to $Re(t^{1/\beta}) \geq (Ret)^{1/\beta}$ for $Ret > 0$ and $\beta \geq 1$, (2.14) yields

$$\begin{aligned} Re \left\{ \left(\frac{I_{n+1,p}f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{-1/2\beta\gamma} \right\} &\geq \left(Re \left(\frac{1}{1+w(z)} \right) \right)^{1/\beta} \\ &> 2^{-1/\beta} \quad (z \in U). \end{aligned}$$

To see that the bound $2^{-1/\beta}$ cannot be increased, we consider the function

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+p+1) \cdots (n+k)}{(p+1)(p+2) \cdots k} \cdot \frac{2\gamma(2\gamma-1) \cdots (2\gamma-k+p+1)}{(k-p)!} z^k$$

Since $g(z)$ satisfies

$$\frac{I_{n+1,p}g(z)}{z^p} = (1+z)^{2\gamma},$$

we easily have that $g(z)$ satisfies (2.10) and

$$Re \left\{ \left(\frac{I_{n+1,p}g(z)}{z^p} \right)^{-1/2\beta\gamma} \right\} \rightarrow 2^{-1/\beta}$$

as $z = Rez \rightarrow 1^-$. The proof of the theorem is complete.

References

- [1] N.E. Cho, The Noor integral operator and strongly close-to-convex functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 283(2003), 202-212.
- [2] R.M. Goel and N.S. Sohi, A new criterion for p-valent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 78(1980), 353-357.
- [3] J.-L. Liu, The Noor integral and strongly starlike functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 261(2001), 441-447.
- [4] J.-L. Liu and K.I. Noor, Some properties of Noor integral operator, *J. Natur. Geom.* 21(2002), 81-90.
- [5] J.-L. Liu and K.I. Noor, On subordinations for certain analytic functions associated with the Noor integral operator, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 187(2007), 1453-1460.
- [6] S.S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.* 28(1981), 157-171.
- [7] K.I. Noor, On new classes of integral operators, *J Natur. Geom.* 16(1999),71-80.
- [8] K.I. Noor, Some classes of p-valent analytic functions defined by certain integral operator, *Appl. Math. Comput.*157(2004), 835-840.
- [9] K.I. Noor and M.A. Noor, On integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 238(1999), 341-352.
- [10] K.I. Noor and M.A. Noor, On certain classes of analytic functions defined by Noor integral operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 281(2003), 244-252.
- [11] J. Patel and S. Rout, Properties of certain analytic functions involving Ruscheweyh derivatives, *Math. Japonica* 39(1994), 509-518.
- [12] St. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 49(1975), 109-115.
- [13] T.J. Suffridge, Some remarks on convex maps of the unit disk, *Duke Math. J.* 37(1970), 775-777.
- [14] H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa(Eds.), *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.

Yi-Hui Xu,
Department of Mathematics,
Suqian College,
Jiangsu 223800, People's Republic of China.
Email: yuanziqixu@126.com

Cai-Mei Yan,
Information Engineering College,
Yangzhou University,
Jiangsu 225009, People's Republic of China.
*Corresponding author. Email: cmyan@yzu.edu.cn