



# Strong convergence theorems for a sequence of nonexpansive mappings with gauge functions

Prasit Cholamjiak, Yeol Je Cho, Suthep Suantai

## Abstract

In this paper, we first prove a path convergence theorem for a nonexpansive mapping in a reflexive and strictly convex Banach space which has a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm and admits the duality mapping  $j_\varphi$ , where  $\varphi$  is a gauge function on  $[0, \infty)$ . Using this result, strong convergence theorems for common fixed points of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings are established.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $K$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Banach space  $E$ . Let  $T : K \rightarrow K$  be a nonlinear mapping. We denote by  $F(T)$  the fixed points set of  $T$ , that is,  $F(T) = \{x \in K : x = Tx\}$ . A mapping  $T$  is called *nonexpansive* if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in K.$$

One classical way to study convergence of nonexpansive mappings is to use path convergence for approximating the fixed point of mappings [3, 18, 27]. For any  $t \in (0, 1)$ , we define the mapping  $T_t : K \rightarrow K$  as follows:

$$T_t x = tu + (1 - t)Tx, \quad \forall x \in K, \quad (1.1)$$

---

Key Words: Common fixed point, Gauge function, Modified Mann iteration, Nonexpansive mapping, Reflexive Banach space.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H09, 47H10.

Received: October, 2011.

Accepted: August, 2012.

where  $u \in K$  is fixed. Banach's contraction principle ensures that  $T_t$  has a unique fixed point  $x_t$  in  $K$  satisfying

$$x_t = tu + (1 - t)Tx_t. \quad (1.2)$$

Browder [3] first proved that, if  $E$  is a real Hilbert space, then  $\{x_t\}$  converges strongly to a fixed point of  $T$ . Reich [18] showed that Browder's results also valid in a uniformly smooth Banach space. In 2006, Xu [27] proved that Browder's result holds in a reflexive Banach space which has a weakly continuous duality mapping.

On the other hand, Gossez-Lami gave in [9] some geometric properties related to the fixed point theory for nonexpansive mappings. They proved that a space with a weakly continuous duality mapping satisfies Opial's condition [14]. It is also known that all Hilbert spaces and  $\ell^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) satisfy the Opial's condition. However, the  $L^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) spaces do not unless  $p = 2$ . In this connection, we focus our aim to study a path convergence of (1.2) in a different setting, a real reflexive strictly convex Banach space which has a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm concerning a gauge function [4]. We note that our class of Banach spaces includes the spaces  $L^p$ ,  $\ell^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) and the Sobolev spaces  $W_m^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ). Moreover, the duality mappings associated with gauge functions also include the generalized and the normalized duality mappings as special cases.

In 1953, Mann [11] introduced the iterative scheme  $\{x_n\}$  as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in K, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ . If  $T$  is a nonexpansive mapping with a fixed point and the control sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is chosen such that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (1.3) converges weakly to a fixed point of  $T$  (this is also valid in a uniformly convex Banach space with the Fréchet differentiable norm [18]). Since 1953, many authors have constructed and proposed the modified version of algorithm (1.3) in order to get strong convergence results (see [5, 6, 10, 13, 16, 24, 26, 29, 30] and the references cited therein). Several applications related to the Mann iterative scheme can be found in [17].

Kim-Xu [10] introduced the following modified Mann's iteration as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = x \in K, \\ y_n = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n)Tx_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where  $T$  is a nonexpansive mapping of  $K$  into itself and  $u \in K$  is fixed. They proved, in a uniformly smooth Banach space, that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (1.4) converges strongly to a fixed point of  $T$  if the control sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  satisfy appropriate conditions.

Recently, Qin et al. [16] introduced the following iteration:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = x \in K, \\ y_n = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n)W_n x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where  $W_n$  is the  $W$ -mapping [20] generated by nonexpansive self mappings  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots$  and  $u \in K$  is fixed. They proved, in a reflexive strictly convex Banach space which has a weakly continuous duality mapping  $j_\varphi$ , that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (1.5) converges strongly to a common fixed point of  $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  if the control sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  satisfy appropriate conditions.

Let  $K$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Banach space  $E$  and  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty : K \rightarrow K$  be a sequence of nonexpansive mappings.

Motivated by the works mentioned above, we consider the following modified Mann-type iteration:

$$\begin{cases} u, x_1 \in K, \\ y_n = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n)T_n x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  are real sequences in  $(0, 1)$ .

In this paper, we first prove a path convergence for a nonexpansive mapping in a real reflexive and strictly convex Banach space which has a Gâteaux differentiable norm and admits the duality mapping associated with a gauge function. Then we discuss strong convergence of the modified Mann-type iteration process (1.6) for a countable family of nonexpansive mappings. Our results improve and extend the recent ones announced by many authors.

## 2 Preliminaries

A Banach space  $E$  is said to be *strictly convex* if  $\frac{\|x+y\|}{2} < 1$  for all  $x, y \in E$  with  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$  and  $x \neq y$ . A Banach space  $E$  is called *uniformly convex* if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that, for any  $x, y \in E$  with  $\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1$  and  $\|x - y\| \geq \epsilon$ ,  $\|x + y\| \leq 2(1 - \delta)$  holds. The *modulus of convexity* of  $E$  is

defined by

$$\delta_E(\epsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \left\| \frac{1}{2}(x+y) \right\| : \|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1, \|x-y\| \geq \epsilon \right\}, \quad \forall \epsilon \in [0, 2].$$

It is known that a Banach space  $E$  is uniformly convex if  $\delta_E(0) = 0$  and  $\delta_E(\epsilon) > 0$  for all  $0 < \epsilon \leq 2$  and every uniformly convex Banach space is strictly convex and reflexive.

Let  $S(E) = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$ . Then the norm of  $E$  is said to be *Gâteaux differentiable* if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x+ty\| - \|x\|}{t}$$

exists for any  $x, y \in S(E)$ . In this case,  $E$  is called *smooth*. The norm of  $E$  is said to be *uniformly Gâteaux differentiable* if, for any  $y \in S(E)$ , the limit is attained uniformly for all  $x \in S(E)$ .

Let  $\rho_E : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be the *modulus of smoothness* of  $E$  defined by

$$\rho_E(t) = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\|x+y\| + \|x-y\|) - 1 : x \in S(E), \|y\| \leq t \right\}.$$

A Banach space  $E$  is said to be *uniformly smooth* if  $\frac{\rho_E(t)}{t} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  (see [1, 7, 23] for more details).

We recall the following definitions and results which can be found in [1, 4, 7].

**Definition 2.1.** A continuous strictly increasing function  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called the *gauge function* if  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $E$  be a normed space and  $\varphi$  a gauge function. Then the mapping  $J_\varphi : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$  defined by

$$J_\varphi(x) = \{f^* \in E^* : \langle x, f^* \rangle = \|x\|\varphi(\|x\|), \|f^*\| = \varphi(\|x\|)\}, \quad \forall x \in E,$$

is called the *duality mapping* with gauge function  $\varphi$ .

In particular, if  $\varphi(t) = t$ , the duality mapping  $J_\varphi = J$  is called the *normalized duality mapping*. If  $\varphi(t) = t^{q-1}$  for any  $q > 1$ , then the duality mapping  $J_\varphi = J_q$  is called the *generalized duality mapping*.

It follows from the definition that  $J_\varphi(x) = \frac{\varphi(\|x\|)}{\|x\|} J(x)$  and  $J_q(x) = \|x\|^{q-2} J(x)$  for any  $q > 1$ .

**Remark 2.3.** [1] For the gauge function  $\varphi$ , the function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$\Phi(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(s) ds \quad (2.1)$$

is a continuous convex and strictly increasing function on  $[0, \infty)$ . Therefore,  $\Phi$  has a continuous inverse function  $\Phi^{-1}$ .

**Remark 2.4.** [1, 7] For any  $x$  in a Banach space  $E$ ,  $J_\varphi(x) = \partial\Phi(\|x\|)$ , where  $\partial$  denotes the sub-differential.

We know the following subdifferential inequality:

$$\Phi(\|x + y\|) \leq \Phi(\|x\|) + \langle y, j_\varphi(x + y) \rangle, \quad \forall j_\varphi(x + y) \in J_\varphi(x + y). \quad (2.2)$$

We also know the following facts (see [1]):

- (1)  $J_\varphi$  is a nonempty, closed and convex set in  $E^*$  for any  $x \in E$ .
- (2)  $J_\varphi$  is a function when  $E^*$  is strictly convex.
- (3) If  $J_\varphi$  is single-valued, then

$$J_\varphi(\lambda x) = \frac{\text{sign}(\lambda)\varphi(\|\lambda x\|)}{\varphi(\|x\|)} J_\varphi(x), \quad \forall x \in E, \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$\langle x - y, J_\varphi(x) - J_\varphi(y) \rangle \geq (\varphi(\|x\|) - \varphi(\|y\|))(\|x\| - \|y\|), \quad \forall x, y \in E.$$

If  $E$  is a smooth Banach space, then  $J_\varphi$  is single-valued and also denoted by  $j_\varphi$ .

**Remark 2.5.** [8] Suppose  $E$  has a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm and admits the duality mapping  $j_\varphi$ . Then  $j_\varphi$  is uniformly continuous from the norm topology of  $E$  to the weak\* topology of  $E^*$  on each bounded subset of  $E$ .

We next give the definition of Banach limit.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\mu$  be a continuous linear functional on  $\ell^\infty$  and let  $(a_0, a_1, \dots) \in \ell^\infty$ . We write  $\mu_n(a_n)$  instead of  $\mu((a_0, a_1, \dots))$ . We call  $\mu$  a Banach limit when  $\mu$  satisfies  $\|\mu\| = \mu_n(1) = 1$  and  $\mu_n(a_n) = \mu_n(a_{n+1})$  for each  $(a_0, a_1, \dots) \in \ell^\infty$ .

For a Banach limit  $\mu$ , we know that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \leq \mu_n(a_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$$

for all  $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots) \in \ell^\infty$ . Therefore, if  $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots) \in \ell^\infty, b = (b_0, b_1, \dots) \in \ell^\infty$  and  $a_n - b_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then we have  $\mu_n(a_n) = \mu_n(b_n)$  (see [1, 7, 23, 25]).

In the sequel, we need the following crucial lemmas:

**Lemma 2.7.** [21] *Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be bounded sequences in a Banach space  $E$  such that*

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)y_n + \beta_n x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

where  $\{\beta_n\}$  is a real sequence in  $[0, 1]$  with  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$ . If  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** [28] *Assume that  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that*

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \gamma_n)a_n + \gamma_n \delta_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

where  $\{\gamma_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, 1)$  and  $\{\delta_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

- (a)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$ ;
- (b)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n \leq 0$  or  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_n \delta_n| < \infty$ .

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ .

To deal with a family of mappings, we consider the following condition:

Let  $K$  be a subset of a real Banach space  $E$  and  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a family of mappings of  $K$  such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\{T_n\}$  is said to satisfy the *AKTT-condition* [2] if, for any bounded subset  $B$  of  $K$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup \{ \|T_{n+1}z - T_n z\| : z \in B \} < \infty.$$

**Lemma 2.9.** [2] *Let  $K$  be a nonempty and closed subset of a Banach space  $E$  and  $\{T_n\}$  be a family of mappings of  $K$  into itself which satisfies the AKTT-condition. Then, for any  $x \in K$ ,  $\{T_n x\}$  converges strongly to a point in  $K$ . Moreover, let the mapping  $T$  be defined by*

$$Tx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n x, \quad \forall x \in K.$$

Then, for each bounded subset  $B$  of  $K$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{ \|Tz - T_n z\| : z \in B \} = 0.$$

In the sequel, we write  $(\{T_n\}, T)$  satisfies the AKTT-condition if  $\{T_n\}$  satisfies the AKTT-condition and  $T$  is defined by Lemma 2.9 with  $F(T) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n)$ .

**Example 2.10.** Let  $T_1, T_2, \dots$ , be an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings of  $K$  into itself and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots$  be real numbers such that  $0 < \gamma_i < 1$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, let  $W_n$  and  $W$  be the  $W$ -mappings [20] generated by  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$ , and  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots$ . Then  $(\{W_n\}, W)$  satisfies the AKTT-condition (see [15, 20]).

**Example 2.11.** Let  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  be an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings of  $K$  into itself. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the mapping  $V_n : K \rightarrow K$  by

$$V_n x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_n^i T_i x, \quad \forall x \in K,$$

where  $\{\lambda_n^i\}$  is a family of nonnegative numbers satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_n^i = 1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (b)  $\lambda^i := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^i > 0$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (c)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_{n+1}^i - \lambda_n^i| < \infty$ .

Let  $V : K \rightarrow K$  be the mapping defined by

$$Vx = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda^i T_i x, \quad \forall x \in K.$$

Then  $(\{V_n\}, V)$  satisfies the AKTT-condition (see [2]).

### 3 Path convergence theorem

Now, we denote the subset  $K'$  of  $K$  by

$$K' = \left\{ x \in K : \mu_n \Phi(\|x_n - x\|) = \inf_{y \in K} \mu_n \Phi(\|x_n - y\|) \right\},$$

where  $\Phi$  is the function defined by (2.1).

**Proposition 3.1.** [8] *Let  $K$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real Banach space  $E$  which has a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm and admits the duality mapping  $j_{\varphi}$ . Suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  is a bounded sequence of  $K$ . Let  $\mu_n$  be a Banach limit and  $z \in K$ . Then  $z \in K'$  if and only if*

$$\mu_n \langle y - z, j_{\varphi}(x_n - z) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in K.$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $K$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real reflexive and strictly convex Banach space  $E$  which has a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm and admits the duality mapping  $j_\varphi$ . Let  $T : K \rightarrow K$  be a nonexpansive mapping such that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose  $\{x_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $K$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$ . Then  $F(T) \cap K' \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $g(y) = \mu_n \Phi(\|x_n - y\|)$  for all  $y \in K$ . Then  $g$  is convex and continuous since  $\Phi$  is convex and continuous. Further,  $g(y_m) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\|y_m\| \rightarrow \infty$  since  $\varphi(\|y_m\|) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\|y_m\| \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $E$  is reflexive, by Theorem 1.3.11 in [23], there exists  $z \in K$  such that  $g(z) = \inf_{y \in K} g(y)$ . Hence  $K'$  is nonempty. Further,  $K'$  is closed and convex since  $g$  is continuous and convex. For any  $x \in K'$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(Tx) &= \mu_n \Phi(\|x_n - Tx\|) \\ &\leq \mu_n \Phi(\|x_n - Tx_n\| + \|Tx_n - Tx\|) \\ &\leq \mu_n \Phi(\|x_n - x\|) \\ &= g(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $Tx \in K'$  for all  $x \in K'$ .

Let  $p \in F(T)$ . By Day-James's theorem [12], we know that there exists a unique element  $v \in K'$  such that

$$\|p - v\| = \inf_{x \in K'} \|p - x\|.$$

Since  $p = Tp$  and  $Tv \in K'$ , we have

$$\|p - Tv\| = \|Tp - Tv\| \leq \|p - v\| \leq \|p - Tv\|.$$

It follows that  $v = Tv$  since  $E$  is strictly convex. Hence  $v \in F(T) \cap K'$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Using Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, we next prove a path convergence theorem, which is important to prove our main theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $K$  be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a real reflexive and strictly Banach space  $E$  which has a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm and admits the duality mapping  $j_\varphi$ . Let  $T : K \rightarrow K$  be a nonexpansive such that  $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Fix  $u \in K$  and let  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Then the net  $\{x_t\}$  defined by (1.2) converges strongly as  $t \rightarrow 0$  to a fixed point  $p$  of  $T$  which solves the variational inequality:*

$$\langle u - p, j_\varphi(w - p) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall w \in F(T). \quad (3.1)$$



*Proof.* First, we prove that the solution of variational inequality (3.1) is unique. Suppose that  $p, q \in F(T)$  satisfy (3.1). Then we have

$$\langle u - p, j_\varphi(q - p) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \langle u - q, j_\varphi(p - q) \rangle \leq 0.$$

Adding the above inequalities, we obtain

$$\langle p - q, j_\varphi(p - q) \rangle \leq 0,$$

which implies that

$$\|p - q\|\varphi(\|p - q\|) \leq 0$$

and so  $p = q$ .

Next, we prove that  $\{x_t\}$  is bounded in  $K$ . For any  $w \in F(T)$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_t - w\|\varphi(\|x_t - w\|) \\ &= \langle x_t - w, j_\varphi(x_t - w) \rangle \\ &= t\langle u - w, j_\varphi(x_t - w) \rangle + (1 - t)\langle Tx_t - w, j_\varphi(x_t - w) \rangle \\ &\leq t\langle u - w, j_\varphi(x_t - w) \rangle + (1 - t)\|x_t - w\|\varphi(\|x_t - w\|), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_t - w\|\varphi(\|x_t - w\|) &\leq \langle u - w, j_\varphi(x_t - w) \rangle \\ &\leq \|u - w\|\varphi(\|x_t - w\|). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Hence  $\|x_t - w\| \leq \|u - w\|$  and, consequently,  $\{x_t\}$  is bounded. So is  $\{Tx_t\}$ . We see that

$$\|x_t - Tx_t\| = t\|u - Tx_t\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (t \rightarrow 0).$$

Since  $E$  is reflexive,  $\{x_t\}$  has a weakly convergent subsequence  $\{x_{t_n}\}$ . Thus  $\{x_{t_n}\}$  is bounded. Putting  $x_n := x_{t_n}$ , in particular, we also have

$$\|x_n - Tx_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

By Proposition 3.2, since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, there exists  $p \in F(T)$  such that

$$\mu_n \Phi(\|x_n - p\|) = \inf_{y \in K} \mu_n \Phi(\|x_n - y\|).$$

It follows from Proposition 3.1 that

$$\mu_n \langle y - p, j_\varphi(x_n - p) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in K.$$

Since  $u \in K$ , in particular, we have

$$\mu_n \langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_n - p) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Observe that

$$\Phi(\|y\|) = \int_0^{\|y\|} \varphi(s) ds \leq \|y\| \varphi(\|y\|).$$

It follows from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$\mu_n \Phi(\|x_n - p\|) \leq \mu_n \langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_n - p) \rangle \leq 0$$

and hence

$$\mu_n \Phi(\|x_n - p\|) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Since  $\Phi$  is continuous, there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  converges strongly to  $p$ . Let  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  be another subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_j} \rightarrow q$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . From (3.4), we have

$$\mu_j \Phi(\|x_{n_j} - p\|) = \Phi(\|q - p\|) = 0$$

and so  $p = q$ . Therefore, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a fixed point  $p$  of  $T$ .

Next, we prove that  $p \in F(T)$  is a solution to the variational inequality (3.1). For any  $w \in F(T)$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - w\| \varphi(\|x_n - w\|) &= \langle x_n - w, j_\varphi(x_n - w) \rangle \\ &= t_n \langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_n - w) \rangle + t_n \langle p - x_n, j_\varphi(x_n - w) \rangle \\ &\quad + t_n \langle x_n - w, j_\varphi(x_n - w) \rangle \\ &\quad + (1 - t_n) \langle Tx_n - w, j_\varphi(x_n - w) \rangle \\ &\leq t_n \langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_n - w) \rangle + t_n \|x_n - p\| \varphi(\|x_n - w\|) \\ &\quad + t_n \|x_n - w\| \varphi(\|x_n - w\|) \\ &\quad + (1 - t_n) \|x_n - w\| \varphi(\|x_n - w\|) \\ &= t_n \langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_n - w) \rangle + t_n \|x_n - p\| \varphi(\|x_n - w\|) \\ &\quad + \|x_n - w\| \varphi(\|x_n - w\|). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\langle u - p, j_\varphi(w - x_n) \rangle \leq \|x_n - p\| \varphi(\|x_n - w\|). \quad (3.5)$$

Since  $j_\varphi$  is norm-weak\* uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of  $E$ , we have

$$\langle u - p, j_\varphi(w - x_n) \rangle \rightarrow \langle u - p, j_\varphi(w - p) \rangle \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Thus, taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in both sides of (3.5), we get

$$\langle u - p, j_\varphi(w - p) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall w \in F(T).$$

Finally, we prove that  $x_t \rightarrow p$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . To this end, let  $\{x_{s_n}\}$  be another subsequence of  $\{x_t\}$  such that  $x_{s_n} \rightarrow p'$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We have to show that  $p = p'$ . For any  $w \in F(T)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Tx_t - x_t, j_\varphi(x_t - w) \rangle &= \langle Tx_t - w, j_\varphi(x_t - w) \rangle + \langle w - x_t, j_\varphi(x_t - w) \rangle \\ &\leq \|x_t - w\| \varphi(\|x_t - w\|) + \langle w - x_t, j_\varphi(x_t - w) \rangle \\ &= \langle x_t - w, j_\varphi(x_t - w) \rangle + \langle w - x_t, j_\varphi(x_t - w) \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$x_t - Tx_t = \frac{t}{1-t}(u - x_t),$$

we have

$$\langle x_t - u, j_\varphi(x_t - w) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall w \in F(T).$$

In particular, we have

$$\langle x_{t_n} - u, j_\varphi(x_{t_n} - p') \rangle \leq 0$$

and

$$\langle x_{s_n} - u, j_\varphi(x_{s_n} - p) \rangle \leq 0$$

or, equivalently,

$$\|x_{t_n} - p'\| \varphi(\|x_{t_n} - p'\|) + \langle p' - u, j_\varphi(x_{t_n} - p') \rangle \leq 0$$

and

$$\|x_{s_n} - p\| \varphi(\|x_{s_n} - p\|) + \langle p - u, j_\varphi(x_{s_n} - p) \rangle \leq 0.$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\varphi$  is continuous and  $j_\varphi$  is norm-to-weak\* uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of  $E$ , we obtain

$$\|p - p'\| \varphi(\|p - p'\|) + \langle p' - u, j_\varphi(p - p') \rangle \leq 0$$

and

$$\|p' - p\| \varphi(\|p' - p\|) + \langle p - u, j_\varphi(p' - p) \rangle \leq 0.$$

Summing the above inequalities, we also have

$$2\|p - p'\| \varphi(\|p - p'\|) + \langle p' - p, j_\varphi(p - p') \rangle \leq 0.$$

This implies that

$$\langle p - p', j_\varphi(p - p') \rangle \leq 0$$

and hence  $p = p'$ . Therefore,  $\{x_t\}$  converges strongly to a fixed point of  $T$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 4 Strong convergence theorems

In this section, using Theorem 3.3, we prove a strong convergence theorem in a real reflexive and strictly convex Banach space which has a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm and admits the duality mapping  $j_\varphi$ , where  $\varphi$  is a gauge function on  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $K$  be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a real reflexive and strictly convex Banach space  $E$  which has a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm and admits the duality mapping  $j_\varphi$ . Let  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty : K \rightarrow K$  be a sequence of nonexpansive mappings such that  $F := \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty F(T_n) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $u \in K$  be fixed. Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  be real sequences in  $(0, 1)$  such that*

- (a)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ ;
- (b)  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (c)  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$ .

*If  $(\{T_n\}, T)$  satisfies the AKTT-condition, then the sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  defined by (1.6) converge strongly to  $p \in F$  which also solves the variational inequality (3.1).*

*Proof.* First, we see that the sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  is bounded. In fact, for any  $w \in F$ , we have

$$\|y_n - w\| \leq \beta_n \|x_n - w\| + (1 - \beta_n) \|T_n x_n - w\| \leq \|x_n - w\|$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - w\| &\leq \alpha_n \|u - w\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|y_n - w\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|u - w\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - w\| \\ &\leq \max \{ \|x_n - w\|, \|u - w\| \}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded by induction and so is  $\{y_n\}$ .

Next, we show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0.$$

Putting  $l_n = \frac{x_{n+1} - \beta_n x_n}{1 - \beta_n}$ , we get

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n) l_n + \beta_n x_n, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & l_{n+1} - l_n \\ = & \frac{\alpha_{n+1}u + (1 - \alpha_{n+1})y_{n+1} - \beta_{n+1}x_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} - \frac{\alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n - \beta_n x_n}{1 - \beta_n} \\ = & \frac{\alpha_{n+1}(u - y_{n+1})}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} - \frac{\alpha_n(u - y_n)}{1 - \beta_n} + T_{n+1}x_{n+1} - T_n x_n, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|l_{n+1} - l_n\| \\ \leq & \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \|u - y_{n+1}\| + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|u - y_n\| + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|T_{n+1}x_n - T_n x_n\| \\ \leq & \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}} \|u - y_{n+1}\| + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|u - y_n\| + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \sup_{z \in \{x_n\}} \|T_{n+1}z - T_n z\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{T_n\}$  satisfies the AKTT-condition, it follows from the conditions (a) and (c) that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|l_{n+1} - l_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0.$$

By Lemma 2.7, we also obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|l_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

Since

$$x_{n+1} - x_n = (1 - \beta_n)(l_n - x_n),$$

we have

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = (1 - \beta_n)\|l_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \tag{4.1}$$

On the other hand, we see that

$$\|x_{n+1} - y_n\| = \alpha_n \|u - y_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \tag{4.2}$$

Combining (4.1) and (4.2) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - T_n x_n\| & \leq \|x_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - T_n x_n\| \\ & = \|x_n - y_n\| + \beta_n \|x_n - T_n x_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

from (4.3) and the condition (c), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_n x_n\| = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - T x_n\| &\leq \|x_n - T_n x_n\| + \|T_n x_n - T x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - T_n x_n\| + \sup_{z \in \{x_n\}} \|T_n z - T z\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.9 and (4.4), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T x_n\| = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Since  $T$  is nonexpansive, by Theorem 3.3, we know that the net  $\{x_t\}$  generated by (1.2) converges strongly to a fixed point  $p \in F(T) = F$  which also solves the variational inequality (3.1).

Next, we prove that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_n - p) \rangle \leq 0.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_t - x_n\| \varphi(\|x_t - x_n\|) \\ &= t \langle u - x_n, j_\varphi(x_t - x_n) \rangle + (1-t) \langle T x_t - x_n, j_\varphi(x_t - x_n) \rangle \\ &= t \langle p - x_t, j_\varphi(x_t - x_n) \rangle + t \langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_t - x_n) \rangle \\ &\quad + t \langle x_t - x_n, j_\varphi(x_t - x_n) \rangle + (1-t) \langle T x_t - T x_n, j_\varphi(x_t - x_n) \rangle \\ &\quad + (1-t) \langle T x_n - x_n, j_\varphi(x_t - x_n) \rangle \\ &\leq t \|p - x_t\| \varphi(\|x_t - x_n\|) + t \langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_t - x_n) \rangle \\ &\quad + \|x_t - x_n\| \varphi(\|x_t - x_n\|) + \|T x_n - x_n\| \varphi(\|x_t - x_n\|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_n - x_t) \rangle \leq \frac{\|T x_n - x_n\| \varphi(\|x_t - x_n\|)}{t} + \|x_t - p\| \varphi(\|x_t - x_n\|). \quad (4.6)$$

Using (4.5) and taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  first and then, as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , the inequality (4.6) becomes

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_n - x_t) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Since  $j_\varphi$  is norm-weak\* uniformly continuous on bounded sets,

$$\langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_n - x_t) \rangle \rightarrow \langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_n - p) \rangle \quad (t \rightarrow 0).$$

We see that

$$\langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_n - p) \rangle = \langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_n - x_t) \rangle + \langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_n - p) - j_\varphi(x_n - x_t) \rangle.$$

By the uniform continuity of  $j_\varphi$ , we can interchange the two limits above and deduce that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_n - p) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Finally, we prove that  $x_n \rightarrow p$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\|y_n - p\|) &= \Phi(\|\beta_n(x_n - p) + (1 - \beta_n)(T_n x_n - p)\|) \\ &\leq \beta_n \Phi(\|x_n - p\|) + (1 - \beta_n) \Phi(\|T_n x_n - p\|) \\ &\leq \Phi(\|x_n - p\|). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\|x_{n+1} - p\|) &= \Phi(\|\alpha_n(u - p) + (1 - \alpha_n)(y_n - p)\|) \\ &\leq \Phi((1 - \alpha_n)\|y_n - p\|) + \alpha_n \langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \Phi(\|x_n - p\|) + \alpha_n \langle u - p, j_\varphi(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.8, we have  $\Phi(\|x_n - p\|) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by the condition (b) and (4.8). Hence  $x_n \rightarrow p$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  since  $\Phi$  is continuous. Moreover, the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  also strongly converges to  $p$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** From Examples 2.10 and 2.11, the ordered pair  $(\{T_n\}, T)$  in Theorem 4.1 can be replaced by  $(\{W_n\}, W)$  and  $(\{V_n\}, V)$ .

**Remark 4.3.** Theorem 4.1 mainly improves and extends the results of Kim-Xu [10] in the following aspects:

- (1) we relax the restrictions imposed on the parameters in Theorem 1 of [10];
- (2) we extend Theorem 1 of [10] from a single nonexpansive mapping to an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings;
- (3) we extend Theorem 1 of [10] from a uniformly smooth Banach space to a much more general setting.

**Remark 4.4.** If  $f : K \rightarrow K$  is a contraction and we replace  $u$  by  $f(x_n)$  in the recursion formula (1.6), we can obtain the so-called viscosity iteration method (see [22]).

**Remark 4.5.** Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.1 can be applied to the spaces  $L^p$ ,  $\ell^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ), the Sobolev spaces  $W_m^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) and Hilbert spaces. Moreover, our results hold for a Banach space which has the generalized duality mapping  $j_q$  ( $q > 1$ ) and the normalized the duality mapping  $j$ .

**Acknowledgement.** The first author was supported by the Thailand Research Fund, the Commission on Higher Education, and University of Phayao under Grant MRG5580016.

## References

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, D.R. Sahu, Fixed Point Theory for Lipschitzian-type Mappings with Applications, Springer, New York (2009).
- [2] K. Aoyama, Y. Kimura, W. Takahashi, M. Toyoda, Approximation of common fixed points of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space, *Nonlinear Anal.* 67 (2007) 2350-2360.
- [3] F.E. Browder, Fixed point theorems for noncompact mappings in Hilbert spaces, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 53 (1965) 1272-1276.
- [4] F.E. Browder, Convergence theorems for sequences of nonlinear operators in Banach spaces, *Math. Z.* 100 (1967) 201-225.
- [5] S.S. Chang, Viscosity approximation methods for a finite family of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 323 (2006) 1402-1416.
- [6] Y.J. Cho, S.M. Kang, X. Qin, Approximation of common fixed points of an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 56 (2008) 2058-2064.
- [7] C.E. Chidume, Geometric Properties of Banach Spaces and Nonlinear Iterations, in: Springer Lecture Notes Series, 2009.
- [8] P. Cholamjiak, S. Suantai, Viscosity approximation methods for a nonexpansive semigroup in Banach spaces with gauge functions, *J. Glob. Optim.* (2011), doi: 10.1007/s10898-011-9756-4.
- [9] J.P. Gossez, D.E. Lami, Some geometric properties related to the fixed point theory for nonexpansive mappings, *Pacific J. Math.* 40 (1972) 565-573.
- [10] T.H. Kim, H.K. Xu, Strong convergence of modified Mann iterations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 61 (2005) 51-60.



- [11] W.R. Mann, Mean value methods in iteration, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 4 (1953) 506-510.
- [12] R.E. Megginson, *An Introduction to Banach Space Theory*, Springer, New York (1998).
- [13] A. Moudafi, Viscosity approximation methods for fixed point problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 241 (2000) 46-55.
- [14] Z. Opial, Weak convergence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 73 (1967) 591-597.
- [15] J.W. Peng, J.C. Yao, A viscosity approximation scheme for system of equilibrium problems, nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* 71 (2009) 6001-6010.
- [16] X. Qin, Y.J. Cho, J.I. Kang, S.M. Kang, Strong convergence theorems for an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 230 (2009) 121-127.
- [17] T.-L. Radulescu, V. Radulescu, T. Andreescu, *Problems in Real Analysis: Advanced Calculus on the Real Axis*, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [18] S. Reich, Strong convergence theorems for resolvents of accretive operators in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 75 (1980) 287-292.
- [19] S. Reich, Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 67 (1979) 274-276.
- [20] K. Shimoji, W. Takahashi, Strong convergence to common fixed points of infinite nonexpansive mappings and applications, *Taiwan. J. Math.* 5 (2001) 387-404.
- [21] T. Suzuki, Strong convergence of Krasnoselskii and Manns type sequences for one parameter nonexpansive semigroups without Bochner integrals, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 305 (2005) 227-239.
- [22] T. Suzuki, Moudafi's viscosity approximations with Meir-Keeler contractions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 325 (2007) 342-352.
- [23] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Function Analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama (2000).
- [24] W. Takahashi, Y. Takeuchi, R. Kubota, Strong convergence theorems by hybrid methods for families of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 341 (2008) 276-286.

- [25] W. Takahashi, Y. Ueda, On Reich's strong convergence for resolvents of accretive operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 104 (1984) 546-553.
- [26] R. Wangkeeree, N. Petrot, R. Wangkeeree, The general iterative methods for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *J. Glob. Optim.*, doi 10.1007/s10898-010-9617-6.
- [27] H.K. Xu, Strong convergence of an iterative method for nonexpansive and accretive operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 314 (2006) 631-643.
- [28] H.K. Xu, Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 66 (2002) 240-256.
- [29] Y. Yao, R. Chen, J.C. Yao, Strong convergence and certain control conditions for modified Mann iteration, *Nonlinear Anal.* 68 (2008) 1687-1693.
- [30] Y. Yao, J.C. Yao, H. Zhou, Approximation methods for common fixed points of infinite countable family of nonexpansive mappings, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 53 (2007) 1380-1389.

Prasit Chalamjiak,  
School of Science,  
University of Phayao,  
Phayao 56000, Thailand.  
Email: prasitch2008@yahoo.com

Yeol Je Cho,  
Department of Mathematics Education and the RINS,  
Gyeongsang National University,  
Jinju 660-701, Republic of Korea.  
Email: yjcho@gnu.ac.kr

Suthep Suantai,  
Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science,  
Chiang Mai University,  
Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand.  
Email: scmti005@chiangmai.ac.th