



# On some properties of submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection

Yusuf DOĞRU

## Abstract

We study submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. We prove that the induced connection is also a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. We consider the total geodesicness, total umbilicity and the minimality of a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold with the semi-symmetric non-metric connection. We have obtained the Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection. The relation between the sectional curvatures of the Levi-Civita connection and the semi-symmetric non-metric connection is also given.

## 1 Introduction

The notion of a semi-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold was introduced by H.A. Hayden in [6]. In [13], K. Yano studied some properties of a Riemannian manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric metric connection. In [7] and [8], T. Imai found some properties of a Riemannian manifold and a hypersurface of a Riemannian manifold with a semi-symmetric metric connection. Submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold with a semi-symmetric metric connection were studied by Z. Nakao in [9]. The notion of a semi-symmetric non-metric connection was introduced by N. S. Agashe and M. R. Chafle in [1]. Later in [2], the same authors studied submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold with the semi-symmetric non-metric connection. In [12], J. Sengupta, U. C.

---

Key Words: Levi-Civita connection, semi-symmetric non-metric connection, totally geodesic, totally umbilical, minimal, Gauss equation, Codazzi equation, Ricci equation  
Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C05, 53C07, 53C40, 53B05

De and T. Q. Binh defined a type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection. In [10], C. Özgür studied submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection in the sense of [12]. In [11], J. Sengupta and U. C. De defined another type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection. They also considered a hypersurface of a Riemannian manifold with semi-symmetric non-metric connection in their sense.

In the present paper, we study submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection defined in [11]. The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give some properties of the semi-symmetric non-metric connection. In Section 3, some necessary informations about a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold with the semi-symmetric non-metric connection is given and we prove that the induced connection is also a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. We also consider the total geodesicness, total umbilicity and the minimality of a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold with the semi-symmetric non-metric connection. In Section 4, we have obtained the Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection. The relation between the sectional curvatures of the Levi-Civita connection and the semi-symmetric non-metric connection is also found.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $\widetilde{M}$  be an  $(n + d)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with a Riemannian metric  $g$  and  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  be the Levi-Civita connection on  $\widetilde{M}$ . In [11], J. Sengupta and U. C. De defined a linear connection  $\overset{*}{\widetilde{\nabla}}$  on  $\widetilde{M}$  by

$$\overset{*}{\widetilde{\nabla}}_{\widetilde{X}}\widetilde{Y} = \widetilde{\nabla}_{\widetilde{X}}\widetilde{Y} + \omega(\widetilde{Y})\widetilde{X} - g(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y})\widetilde{U} - \eta(\widetilde{X})\widetilde{Y} - \eta(\widetilde{Y})\widetilde{X}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\widetilde{U}$  is a vector field associated with the 1-form  $\omega$  defined by

$$\omega(\widetilde{X}) = g(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{U}) \quad (2)$$

and  $E$  is a vector field associated with the 1-form  $\eta$  as

$$\eta(\widetilde{X}) = g(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{E}). \quad (3)$$

Using (1), the torsion tensor  $T$  of  $\widetilde{M}$  with respect to the connection  $\overset{*}{\widetilde{\nabla}}$  is given by

$$T(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}) = \overset{*}{\widetilde{\nabla}}_{\widetilde{X}}\widetilde{Y} - \overset{*}{\widetilde{\nabla}}_{\widetilde{Y}}\widetilde{X} - [\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}] = \omega(\widetilde{Y})\widetilde{X} - \omega(\widetilde{X})\widetilde{Y}. \quad (4)$$

Using (1) we have

$$\left( \overset{*}{\tilde{\nabla}}_{\tilde{X}} g \right) (\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) = 2\eta(\tilde{X})g(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) + \eta(\tilde{Y})g(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Z}) + \eta(\tilde{Z})g(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}). \quad (5)$$

Hence the connection  $\overset{*}{\tilde{\nabla}}$  is not a metric connection. Because of this reason this connection is called a *semi-symmetric non-metric connection* (for more details see [11]).

We denote the curvature tensor of  $\tilde{M}$  with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection  $\overset{*}{\tilde{\nabla}}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{*}{\tilde{R}}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})\tilde{Z} &= \overset{*}{\tilde{\nabla}}_{\tilde{X}}\overset{*}{\tilde{\nabla}}_{\tilde{Y}}\tilde{Z} - \overset{*}{\tilde{\nabla}}_{\tilde{Y}}\overset{*}{\tilde{\nabla}}_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Z} - \overset{*}{\tilde{\nabla}}_{[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]} \tilde{Z} \\ &= \tilde{R}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})\tilde{Z} - \alpha(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z})\tilde{X} + \alpha(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Z})\tilde{Y} \\ &\quad - g(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z})Q\tilde{X} + g(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Z})Q\tilde{Y} + \beta(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{X})\tilde{Z} - \beta(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})\tilde{Z} \\ &\quad + \beta(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z})\tilde{X} - \beta(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Z})\tilde{Y}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\tilde{R}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})\tilde{Z} = \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{Y}}\tilde{Z} - \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{Y}}\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{Z} - \tilde{\nabla}_{[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]} \tilde{Z}$$

is the curvature tensor of the manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are  $(0, 2)$ -tensor field defined by

$$\alpha(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = (\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}}\omega)\tilde{Y} - \omega(\tilde{X})\omega(\tilde{Y}) + \frac{1}{2}\omega(\tilde{U})g(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), \quad (7)$$

$$Q\tilde{X} = \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}}\tilde{U} - \omega(\tilde{X})\tilde{U} + \frac{1}{2}\omega(\tilde{U})\tilde{X} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) &= (\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{X}}\eta)(\tilde{Y}) - \eta(\tilde{X})\omega(\tilde{Y}) + \eta(\tilde{X})\eta(\tilde{Y}) \\ &\quad - \omega(\tilde{X})\eta(\tilde{Y}) + \eta(\tilde{U})g(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

(see [11]). The Riemannian Christoffel tensors of the connections  $\overset{*}{\tilde{\nabla}}$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}$  are defined by

$$\overset{*}{\tilde{R}}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) = g\left(\overset{*}{\tilde{R}}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}\right)$$

and

$$\tilde{R}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) = g(\tilde{R}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}).$$

respectively.

### 3 Submanifolds

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional submanifold of an  $(n + d)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $\widetilde{M}$  with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection  $\widetilde{\nabla}^*$ . Decomposing the vector fields  $\widetilde{U}$  and  $\widetilde{E}$  on  $M$  uniquely into their tangent and normal components  $U^T, U^\perp$  and  $E^T, E^\perp$  respectively, we have

$$\widetilde{U} = U^T + U^\perp, \quad (10)$$

$$\widetilde{E} = E^T + E^\perp. \quad (11)$$

The Gauss formula for a submanifold  $M$  of a Riemannian manifold  $\widetilde{M}$  with respect to the Riemannian connection  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  is given by

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \quad (12)$$

where  $X, Y \in TM$  and  $h$  is the second fundamental form of  $M$  in  $\widetilde{M}$ . If  $h = 0$  then  $M$  is called *totally geodesic*.  $H = \frac{1}{n} \text{trace} h$  is called the *mean curvature vector* of the submanifold. If  $H = 0$  then  $M$  is called *minimal*. If  $h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H$  for any  $X, Y$  tangent to  $M$  then  $M$  is called *totally umbilical*. For the second fundamental form  $h$ , the covariant derivative of  $h$  is defined by

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^\perp(h(Y, Z)) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z) \quad (13)$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y, Z$  tangent to  $M$ . Then  $\overline{\nabla}h$  is a normal bundle valued tensor of type  $(0, 3)$  and is called the *third fundamental form* of  $M$ .  $\overline{\nabla}$  is called the *van der Waerden-Bortolotti connection* of  $M$ , i.e.,  $\overline{\nabla}$  is the connection in  $TM \oplus T^\perp M$  built with  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^\perp$ [4].

Let  $\widetilde{\nabla}^*$  be the induced connection from the semi-symmetric non-metric connection. We define

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X^* Y = \nabla_X^* Y + h(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in TM. \quad (14)$$

The equation (14) may be called the Gauss equation with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection  $\widetilde{\nabla}^*$  (see [2]). Hence using (1), (12) and (14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\nabla}_X^* Y + h(X, Y) &= \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) + \omega(Y)X - g(X, Y)U^T \\ &\quad - g(X, Y)U^\perp - \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

So comparing the tangential and normal parts of (15) we obtain

$$\overset{*}{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \omega(Y)X - g(X, Y)U^T - \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X \quad (16)$$

and

$$\overset{*}{h}(X, Y) = h(X, Y) - g(X, Y)U^\perp. \quad (17)$$

If  $\overset{*}{h} = 0$  then  $M$  is called totally geodesic with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

From (16), we have

$$\overset{*}{T}(X, Y) = \overset{*}{\nabla}_X Y - \overset{*}{\nabla}_Y X - [X, Y] = \omega(Y)X - \omega(X)Y, \quad (18)$$

where  $\overset{*}{T}$  is the torsion tensor of  $M$  with respect to  $\overset{*}{\nabla}$  and  $X, Y \in TM$ . Moreover using (16) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \overset{*}{\nabla}_X g \right) (Y, Z) &= \overset{*}{\nabla}_X g(Y, Z) - g(\overset{*}{\nabla}_X Y, Z) - g(Y, \overset{*}{\nabla}_X Z) \\ &= 2g(Y, Z)\eta(X) + g(X, Z)\eta(Y) + g(X, Y)\eta(Z), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for  $X, Y, Z \in TM$ . In view of (1), (16), (18) and (19) we can state the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *The induced connection  $\overset{*}{\nabla}$  on a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold admitting the semi-symmetric non-metric connection in the sense of [11] is also a semi-symmetric non-metric connection.*

In Theorem 5.1 of [11], J. Sengupta and U. C. De proved the above theorem for a hypersurface of a Riemannian manifold admitting the semi-symmetric non-metric connection. So the above theorem is a generalization of their theorem.

Let  $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space of  $M$ . We define the mean curvature vector  $\overset{*}{H}$  of  $M$  with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection  $\overset{*}{\nabla}$  by

$$\overset{*}{H} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \overset{*}{h}(E_i, E_i).$$

So from (17) we find

$$\overset{*}{H} = H - U^\perp.$$

If  $\overset{*}{H} = 0$  then  $M$  is called minimal with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

So we have the following result:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M$  be a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold with the semi-symmetric non-metric connection in the sense of [11] and*

*i) Let the vector field  $\tilde{U}$  be tangent to  $M$ . Then  $M$  is totally geodesic with respect to the Levi-Civita connection if and only if it is totally geodesic with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection.*

*ii)  $M$  is totally umbilical with respect to the Levi-Civita connection if and only if  $M$  is totally umbilical with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection.*

*iii) Let the vector field  $\tilde{U}$  be tangent to  $M$ . Then the mean curvature normal of  $M$  with respect to the Levi-Civita connection and with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection coincide. Hence  $M$  is minimal with respect to the Levi-Civita connection if and only if it is minimal with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection.*

In Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 5.3 of [11], J. Sengupta and U. C. De considered the cases (ii) and (iii) of the above theorem for a hypersurface of a Riemannian manifold admitting the semi-symmetric non-metric connection. So the above theorem generalizes their results.

Let  $\xi$  be a normal vector field on  $M$ . From (1) we have

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X^* \xi = \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi + \omega(\xi)X - \eta(X)\xi - \eta(\xi)X. \quad (20)$$

It is well-known that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi X + \nabla_X^\perp \xi, \quad (21)$$

which is the Weingarten formula for a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold, where  $A_\xi$  is the shape operator of  $M$  in the direction of  $\xi$ . So from (21) the equation (20) can be written as

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X^* \xi = -A_\xi X + \nabla_X^\perp \xi + \omega(\xi)X - \eta(X)\xi - \eta(\xi)X. \quad (22)$$

Now we define a  $(1, 1)$  tensor field  $\tilde{A}^*$  on  $M$  by

$$\tilde{A}_\xi^* = (A_\xi - \omega(\xi) + \eta(\xi))I. \quad (23)$$

Then the equation (22) turns into

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X^* \xi = -\tilde{A}_\xi^* X + \nabla_X^\perp \xi - \eta(X)\xi. \quad (24)$$

Equation (24) may be called Weingarten's formula with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection  $\tilde{\nabla}^*$ . Since  $A_\xi$  is symmetric, it is easy to see

that

$$g\left({}^*A_\xi X, Y\right) = g\left(X, {}^*A_\xi Y\right)$$

and

$$g\left(\left[{}^*A_\xi, {}^*A_v\right] X, Y\right) = g\left(\left[A_\xi, A_v\right] X, Y\right), \quad (25)$$

where  $g\left(\left[{}^*A_\xi, {}^*A_v\right] X, Y\right) = {}^*A_\xi {}^*A_v - {}^*A_v {}^*A_\xi$  and  $g\left(\left[A_\xi, A_v\right] X, Y\right) = A_\xi A_v - A_v A_\xi$  and  $\xi, v$  are unit normal vector fields on  $M$ .

Then by the similar proofs of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 in [2] we have the following theorems:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $M$  be a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold with the semi-symmetric non-metric connection in the sense of [11]. Then the shape operators with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection are simultaneously diagonalizable if and only if the shape operators with respect to the Levi-Civita connection are simultaneously diagonalizable.*

**Theorem 4.** *Principal directions of the unit normal vector field  $\xi$  with respect to the Levi-Civita connection and the semi-symmetric non-metric connection in the sense of [11] coincide and the principal curvatures are equal if and only if  $\xi$  is orthogonal to  $U^\perp$  and  $E^\perp$  or  $U^\perp = E^\perp$ .*

#### 4 Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations with respect to semi-symmetric non-metric connection

We denote the curvature tensor of a submanifold  $M$  of a Riemannian manifold  $\widetilde{M}$  with respect to the induced semi-symmetric non-metric connection  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  and the induced Riemannian connection  $\nabla$  by

$${}^*R(X, Y)Z = {}^*\nabla_X {}^*\nabla_Y Z - {}^*\nabla_Y {}^*\nabla_X Z - {}^*\nabla_{[X, Y]}Z \quad (26)$$

and

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z.$$

respectively.

From (14) and (22) we get

$$\begin{aligned} {}^*\widetilde{\nabla}_X {}^*\widetilde{\nabla}_Y Z &= {}^*\nabla_X {}^*\nabla_Y Z + h\left(X, {}^*\nabla_Y Z\right) - A_{h(Y, Z)}^* X + \\ &+ \nabla_X^\perp h(Y, Z) + \omega\left(h(Y, Z)\right) X - \eta(X)h(Y, Z) - \eta(h(Y, Z))X, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_Y^* \tilde{\nabla}_X^* Z &= \nabla_Y^* \nabla_X^* Z + \tilde{h} \left( Y, \nabla_X^* Z \right) - A_{h(X,Z)}^* Y + \\ &+ \nabla_Y^\perp h(X, Z) + \omega \left( h(X, Z) \right) Y - \eta(Y) h(X, Z) - \eta(h(X, Z)) Y \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{[X,Y]}^* Z = \nabla_{[X,Y]}^* Z + \tilde{h}([X, Y], Z). \quad (29)$$

Hence in view of (6) and (26), from (27)-(29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y) Z &= \tilde{R}(X, Y) Z + \tilde{h} \left( X, \nabla_Y^* Z \right) - \tilde{h} \left( Y, \nabla_X^* Z \right) - \tilde{h}([X, Y], Z) \\ &- A_{h(Y,Z)}^* X + A_{h(X,Z)}^* Y + \nabla_X^\perp h(Y, Z) - \nabla_Y^\perp h(X, Z) \\ &+ \omega \left( h(Y, Z) \right) X - \omega \left( h(X, Z) \right) Y - \eta(X) h(Y, Z) \\ &- \eta(h(Y, Z)) X + \eta(Y) h(X, Z) + \eta(h(X, Z)) Y. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Since  $g(A_\xi X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), \xi)$ , using (17) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) &= \tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) - g(h(Y, Z), h(X, W)) + g(h(X, Z), h(Y, W)) \\ &+ g(Y, Z) \omega(h(X, W)) - g(X, Z) \omega(h(Y, W)) \\ &+ g(X, W) [\omega(h(Y, Z)) - \eta(h(Y, Z))] \\ &+ g(Y, W) [\eta(h(X, Z)) - \omega(h(X, Z))] \\ &+ \omega(U^\perp) [g(X, Z) g(Y, W) - g(Y, Z) g(X, W)] \\ &+ \eta(U^\perp) [g(Y, Z) g(X, W) - g(X, Z) g(Y, W)]. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

From (30), the normal component of  $\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \tilde{R}(X, Y) Z \right)^\perp &= \tilde{h} \left( X, \nabla_Y^* Z \right) - \tilde{h} \left( Y, \nabla_X^* Z \right) - \tilde{h}([X, Y], Z) + \nabla_X^\perp h(Y, Z) \\ &- \nabla_Y^\perp h(X, Z) - \eta(X) h(Y, Z) + \eta(Y) h(X, Z), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\left( \tilde{R}(X, Y) Z \right)^\perp = \left( \nabla_X^* h \right) (Y, Z) - \left( \nabla_Y^* h \right) (X, Z) + \omega(Y) h(X, Z)$$



$$-\omega(X)h^*(Y, Z) + \eta(Y)h^*(X, Z) - \eta(X)h^*(Y, Z), \quad (32)$$

where

$$\left(\overset{*}{\nabla}_X h^*\right)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^\perp h^*(Y, Z) - h^*\left(\overset{*}{\nabla}_X Y, Z\right) - h^*\left(Y, \overset{*}{\nabla}_X Z\right). \quad (33)$$

$\overset{*}{\nabla}$  is the connection in  $TM \oplus T^\perp M$  built with  $\overset{*}{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla^\perp$ . It can be called the van der Waerden-Bortolotti connection with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection. The equation (32) may be called the equation of Codazzi with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection (see [2]).

From (24) and (14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{*}{\nabla}_X \overset{*}{\nabla}_Y \xi &= -\overset{*}{\nabla}_X \left(\overset{*}{A}_\xi Y\right) - h^*\left(X, \overset{*}{A}_\xi Y\right) - \overset{*}{A}_{\nabla_Y^\perp \xi} X + \nabla_X^\perp \nabla_Y^\perp \xi - \eta(X) \nabla_Y^\perp \xi \\ &\quad - g(\overset{*}{\nabla}_X Y, E^T) \xi - g(Y, \overset{*}{\nabla}_X E^T) \xi - \eta(Y) \overset{*}{\nabla}_X \xi - \eta(Y) \omega(\xi) X \\ &\quad + \eta(X) \eta(Y) \xi + \eta(Y) \eta(\xi) X, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{*}{\nabla}_Y \overset{*}{\nabla}_X \xi &= -\overset{*}{\nabla}_Y \left(\overset{*}{A}_\xi X\right) - h^*\left(Y, \overset{*}{A}_\xi X\right) - \overset{*}{A}_{\nabla_X^\perp \xi} Y + \nabla_Y^\perp \nabla_X^\perp \xi - \eta(Y) \nabla_X^\perp \xi \\ &\quad - g(\overset{*}{\nabla}_Y X, E^T) \xi - g(X, \overset{*}{\nabla}_Y E^T) \xi - \eta(X) \overset{*}{\nabla}_Y \xi - \eta(X) \omega(\xi) Y \\ &\quad + \eta(Y) \eta(X) \xi + \eta(X) \eta(\xi) Y. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and

$$\overset{*}{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} \xi = -\overset{*}{A}_\xi [X, Y] + \nabla_{[X, Y]}^\perp \xi - \eta([X, Y]) \xi. \quad (36)$$

So using (34)-(36) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{*}{\tilde{R}}(X, Y, \xi, v) &= R^\perp(X, Y, \xi, v) - g\left(h^*\left(X, \overset{*}{A}_\xi Y\right), v\right) + g\left(h^*\left(Y, \overset{*}{A}_\xi X\right), v\right) \\ &\quad - \eta(X) g(\nabla_Y^\perp \xi, v) - g(Y, \overset{*}{\nabla}_X E^T) g(\xi, v) - \eta(Y) g(\overset{*}{\nabla}_X \xi, v) \\ &\quad + \eta(Y) g(\nabla_X^\perp \xi, v) + g(X, \overset{*}{\nabla}_Y E^T) g(\xi, v) + \eta(X) g(\overset{*}{\nabla}_Y \xi, v), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi, v$  are unit normal vector fields on  $M$ . Hence in view of (12), (17), (21) and (23) the last equation turns into

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{*}{\tilde{R}}(X, Y, \xi, v) &= R^\perp(X, Y, \xi, v) + g(h(Y, A_\xi X), v) - g(h(X, A_\xi Y), v) \\ &\quad + [g(X, \nabla_Y E^T) - g(Y, \nabla_X E^T)] g(\xi, v), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R}^*(X, Y, \xi, v) &= R^\perp(X, Y, \xi, v) + g((A_v A_\xi - A_\xi A_v) X, Y) \\
&\quad + [g(X, \nabla_Y E^T) - g(Y, \nabla_X E^T)] g(\xi, v) \\
&= R^\perp(X, Y, \xi, v) + g([A_v, A_\xi] X, Y) \\
&\quad + [g(X, \nabla_Y E^T) - g(Y, \nabla_X E^T)] g(\xi, v). \quad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

The equation (37) is the equation of Ricci with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

Now assume that  $\widetilde{M}$  is a space of constant curvature  $c$  with the semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R}^*(X, Y) Z &= c(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y) - \alpha(Y, Z)X + \alpha(X, Z)Y \\
&\quad - g(Y, Z) QX + g(X, Z) QY + \beta(Y, X) Z \\
&\quad - \beta(X, Y) Z + \beta(Y, Z) X - \beta(X, Z) Y. \quad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left( \tilde{R}^*(X, Y) Z \right)^\perp = -g(Y, Z)(QX)^\perp + g(X, Z)(QY)^\perp,$$

which gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \tilde{R}^*(X, Y) Z \right)^\perp &= -g(Y, Z) \{h(X, U^T) + \nabla_X^\perp U^\perp - \omega(X)U^\perp\} \\
&\quad + g(X, Z) \{h(Y, U^T) + \nabla_Y^\perp U^\perp - \omega(Y)U^\perp\}. \quad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

So from (32) and (39) the Ricci equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left( \nabla_X^* h \right) (Y, Z) - \left( \nabla_Y^* h \right) (X, Z) + \omega(Y)h(X, Z) - \omega(X)h(Y, Z) \\
&+ \eta(Y)h(X, Z) - \eta(X)h(Y, Z) = -g(Y, Z) \{h(X, U^T) + \nabla_X^\perp U^\perp - \omega(X)U^\perp\} \\
&+ g(X, Z) \{h(Y, U^T) + \nabla_Y^\perp U^\perp - \omega(Y)U^\perp\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\widetilde{M}$  is a space of constant curvature  $c$ , from (38)

$$\tilde{R}^*(X, Y, \xi, v) = g(\xi, v) \{g(X, \nabla_Y E^T) - g(Y, \nabla_X E^T)\}.$$

Therefore using (37), we obtain

$$R^\perp(X, Y, \xi, v) = -g([A_\xi, A_v] X, Y).$$

Hence using (25), we can state the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $M$  be a submanifold of a space of constant curvature with the semi-symmetric metric connection in the sense of [11]. Then the normal connection  $\nabla^\perp$  is flat if and only if all second fundamental tensors with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection and the Levi-Civita connection are simultaneously diagonalizable.*

Now assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are orthonormal unit tangent vector fields on  $M$ . Then in view of (31) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{*}{\widetilde{R}}(X, Y, Y, X) &= \overset{*}{R}(X, Y, Y, X) - g(h(Y, Y), h(X, X)) + g(h(X, Y), h(Y, X)) \\ &\quad + g(Y, Y)\omega(h(X, X)) + g(X, X)[\omega(h(Y, Y)) - \eta(h(Y, Y))] \\ &\quad + \eta(U^\perp) - \omega(U^\perp). \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{*}{\widetilde{K}}(\pi) &= \overset{*}{K}(\pi) \\ &\quad - g(h(Y, Y), h(X, X)) + g(h(X, Y), h(Y, X)) \\ &\quad + \omega(h(X, X)) + \omega(h(Y, Y)) \\ &\quad - \eta(h(Y, Y)) + \eta(U^\perp) - \omega(U^\perp). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Now let  $M$  be a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold of  $\widetilde{M}$  with the semi-symmetric non-metric connection in the sense of [11] and  $\pi$  be a subspace of the tangent space  $T_p M$  spanned by the orthonormal base  $\{X, Y\}$ . Denote by  $\overset{*}{\widetilde{K}}(\pi)$  and  $\overset{*}{K}(\pi)$  the sectional curvatures of  $\widetilde{M}$  and  $M$  at a point  $p \in \widetilde{M}$ , respectively with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Let  $\gamma$  be a geodesic in  $\widetilde{M}$  which lies in  $M$  and  $T$  be a unit tangent vector field of  $\gamma$  in  $M$ . Then from (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(T, T) &= 0, \\ \overset{*}{h}(T, T) &= -U^\perp. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Let  $\pi$  be the subspace of the tangent space  $T_p M$  spanned by  $X, T$  and  $\widetilde{U}$  which are the vector field tangent to  $M$ . Then from (41) we have  $\overset{*}{h}(T, T) = 0$ . Hence using (40) we obtain

$$\overset{*}{\widetilde{K}}(\pi) = \overset{*}{K}(\pi) + g(h(X, T), h(X, T)).$$

Let  $X$  be a unit tangent vector field on  $M$  which is parallel along  $\gamma$  in  $M$  and orthogonal to  $T$ . So  $\nabla_T X = 0$  and  $g(X, T) = 0$ . We have the following theorem:

**Theorem 6.** Let  $M$  be a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold  $\widetilde{M}$  with the semi-symmetric non-metric connection in the sense of [11] and  $\gamma$  be a geodesic of  $\widetilde{M}$  which lies in  $M$  and  $T$  be the unit tangent vector field of  $\gamma$  in  $M$ .  $\pi$  be the subspace of the tangent space  $T_p M$  spanned by  $X$  and  $T$ . If the vector field  $\widetilde{U}$  is tangent to  $M$ , then

i)  $\widetilde{K}^*(\pi) \geq K^*(\pi)$  along  $\gamma$ .

ii) If  $X$  is a unit tangent vector field on  $M$  which is parallel along  $\gamma$  in  $M$  and orthogonal to  $T$  then the equality case of (i) holds if and only if  $X$  is parallel along  $\gamma$  in  $\widetilde{M}$ .

**Theorem 7.** Let  $M$  be a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold  $\widetilde{M}$  with the semi-symmetric non-metric connection in the sense of [11]. If the second fundamental form of  $M$  with respect to the van der Waerden-Bortolotti connection and with respect to the van der Waerden-Bortolotti connection with the semi-symmetric non-metric connection is parallel and  $\widetilde{U}$  normal then  $U^\perp$  is parallel in the normal bundle.

*Proof.* Applying (13), (16) and (17) in (33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \widetilde{\nabla}_X^* h \right) (Y, Z) &= (\overline{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) - g(Y, Z) \nabla_X^\perp U^\perp - \omega(Y) h(X, Z) \\ &\quad + g(X, Y) h(U^T, Z) + 2\eta(X) h(Y, Z) + \eta(Y) h(X, Z) \\ &\quad - 2\eta(X) g(Y, Z) U^\perp - \eta(Y) g(X, Z) U^\perp - \omega(Z) h(Y, X) \\ &\quad + g(X, Z) h(Y, U^T) + \eta(Z) h(Y, X) - \eta(Z) g(Y, X) U^\perp \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Since the conditions  $\left( \widetilde{\nabla}_X^* h \right) (Y, Z) = 0$  and  $(\overline{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) = 0$  holds on  $M$  and contraction with  $g^{YZ}$ , we get

$$\nabla_X^\perp U^\perp = 0.$$

So  $U^\perp$  is parallel in the normal bundle. Thus the proof of the theorem is completed.  $\square$

**Example.** Let  $\mathbb{T}^2 : S^1(1) \times S^1(1) \subset \mathbb{R}^4$  be a torus embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  defined by

$$\mathbb{T}^2 = \{(\cos u, \sin u, \cos v, \sin v) : u, v \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

When  $p = (\cos u, \sin u, \cos v, \sin v)$ ,  $T_p(\mathbb{T}^2)$  is spanned by

$$e_1 = (-\sin u, \cos u, 0, 0),$$

$$e_2 = (0, 0, -\sin v, \cos v)$$

and  $T_p^\perp(\mathbb{T}^2)$  is spanned by

$$e_3 = (\cos u, \sin u, 0, 0),$$

$$e_4 = (0, 0, \cos v, \sin v).$$

Differentiating these we get

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}e_1 &= -e_3 \quad , \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}e_2 = -e_4, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}e_2 &= 0 \quad , \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}e_1 = 0, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}e_3 &= e_1 \quad , \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}e_4 = e_2, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{e_1}e_4 &= 0 \quad , \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_2}e_3 = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{43}$$

So by Gauss equation (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{e_1}e_1 &= 0 \quad , \quad \nabla_{e_2}e_2 = 0, \\ \nabla_{e_1}e_2 &= 0 \quad , \quad \nabla_{e_2}e_1 = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{44}$$

$$h(e_1, e_1) = -e_3, \quad h(e_2, e_2) = -e_4, \quad h(e_1, e_2) = 0\tag{45}$$

[3]. Assume that the vector fields  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{E}$  defined in (10) and (11) are tangent to  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . Using (44) and (16) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\overset{*}{\nabla}_{e_1}e_1 &= \omega(e_1)e_1 - U^T - 2\eta(e_1)e_1, \\ \overset{*}{\nabla}_{e_1}e_2 &= \omega(e_2)e_1 - \eta(e_1)e_2 - \eta(e_2)e_1, \\ \overset{*}{\nabla}_{e_2}e_1 &= \omega(e_1)e_2 - \eta(e_2)e_1 - \eta(e_1)e_2, \\ \overset{*}{\nabla}_{e_2}e_2 &= \omega(e_2)e_2 - U^T - 2\eta(e_2)e_2.\end{aligned}\tag{46}$$

So using (46), (18) and (19) we have

$$\overset{*}{T}(e_1, e_2) = \omega(e_2)e_1 - \omega(e_1)e_2 \neq 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\overset{*}{\nabla}_{e_1}g\right)(e_1, e_1) &= 4\eta(e_1) \neq 0 \quad , \quad \left(\overset{*}{\nabla}_{e_1}g\right)(e_1, e_2) = \eta(e_2) \neq 0 \\ \left(\overset{*}{\nabla}_{e_1}g\right)(e_2, e_2) &= 2\eta(e_1) \neq 0 \quad , \quad \left(\overset{*}{\nabla}_{e_2}g\right)(e_1, e_1) = 2\eta(e_2) \neq 0 \\ \left(\overset{*}{\nabla}_{e_2}g\right)(e_1, e_2) &= \eta(e_1) \neq 0 \quad , \quad \left(\overset{*}{\nabla}_{e_2}g\right)(e_2, e_2) = 4\eta(e_2) \neq 0\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\overset{*}{\nabla}$  is a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Furthermore using (17) we have

$$\overset{*}{h}(e_1, e_1) = -e_3, \quad \overset{*}{h}(e_1, e_2) = 0, \quad \overset{*}{h}(e_2, e_2) = -e_4. \quad (47)$$

Thus by the use of (45) and (47), the mean curvature normals of  $\mathbb{T}^2$  with respect to the semi-symmetric non-metric connection and the Levi-Civita connection are

$$H = -\frac{1}{2}(e_3 + e_4) = H.$$

## References

- [1] N. S. Agashe and M. R. Chafle, *A semi-symmetric nonmetric connection on a Riemannian manifold*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **23** (1992), no. 6, 399-409.
- [2] N. S. Agashe and M. R. Chafle, *On submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection*, Tensor (N.S.) **55** (1994), no. 2, 120-130.
- [3] K. Arslan, *Isoparametric submanifolds with pointwise k-planar normal sections*, PhD. Thesis, Leeds University, 1993.
- [4] B. Y. Chen, *Geometry of submanifolds*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 22. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973.
- [5] A. Friedmann and J. A. Schouten, *Über die Geometrie der halbsymmetrischen Übertragungen*, Math. Z. **21** (1924), no. 1, 211-223.
- [6] H. A. Hayden, *Subspaces of a space with torsion*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **34** (1932), 27-50.
- [7] T. Imai, *Hypersurfaces of a Riemannian manifold with semi-symmetric metric connection*, Tensor (N.S.) **23** (1972), 300-306.
- [8] T. Imai, *Notes on semi-symmetric metric connections*, Vol. I. Tensor (N.S.) **24** (1972), 293-296.
- [9] Z. Nakao, *Submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold with semi-symmetric metric connections*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1976), 261-266.
- [10] C. Özgür, *On submanifolds of a Riemannian manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection*, Kuwait J. Sci. Eng., 37(2A) 2010, 17-30.

- [11] J. Sengupta, U. C. De, *On a type of semi-symmetric non metric connection*, Bull. Calcutta Math.Soc. **92** (2000), no. 5, 375-384.
- [12] J. Sengupta, U. C. De and T. Q. Binh, *On a type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection on a Riemannian manifold*. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (2000), no. 12, 1659–1670.
- [13] K. Yano, *On semi-symmetric metric connection*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **15** (1970), 1579–1586.

Isiklar Air Force High School, 16039 Bursa-TURKEY  
e-mail: yusufdogru1@yahoo.com