



## Iterative methods for $k$ -strict pseudo-contractive mappings in Hilbert spaces

Yonghong Yao, Yeong-Cheng Liou, Shin Min Kang

### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate two iterative methods for  $k$ -strict pseudo-contractive mappings in a real Hilbert space. We prove that the proposed iterative algorithms converge strongly to some fixed point of a strict pseudo-contractive mapping.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a mapping. We use  $Fix(T)$  to denote the set of fixed points of  $T$ . Recall that  $T$  is said to be a *strict pseudo-contractive mapping* if there exists a constant  $0 \leq k < 1$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + k\|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in C.$$

For such case, we also say that  $T$  is a  $k$ -strict pseudo-contractive mapping. When  $k = 0$ ,  $T$  is said to be *nonexpansive*, and it is said to be *pseudo-contractive* if  $k = 1$ .  $T$  is said to be *strongly pseudo-contractive* if there exists a constant  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle \leq \alpha\|x - y\|^2$  for all  $x, y \in C$ . Clearly, the class of  $k$ -strict pseudo-contractive mappings falls into the one between classes of nonexpansive mappings and pseudo-contractive mappings.

---

Key Words: Fixed point, strict pseudo-contractive mapping, iterative method, strong convergence, Hilbert space.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H09, 65J15

Received: January, 2010

Accepted: December, 2010

We remark also that the class of strongly pseudo-contractive mappings is independent of the class of  $k$ -strict pseudo-contractive mappings (see, e.g., [3-5]). It is clear that, in a real Hilbert space  $H$ , (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(1.2) \quad \langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \frac{1 - k}{2} \|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in C.$$

Recall also that a mapping  $f : C \rightarrow C$  is called *contractive* if there exists a constant  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \alpha \|x - y\| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in C.$$

Iterative methods for nonexpansive mappings have been extensively investigated; see [1, 2, 6-15, 17-18, 20-22, 24-36] and the references therein. However iterative methods for strict pseudo-contractive mappings are far less developed than those for nonexpansive mappings though Browder and Petryshyn [5] initiated their work in 1967; the reason is probably that the second term appearing in the right-hand side of (1.1) impedes the convergence analysis for iterative methods used to find a fixed point of the strict pseudo-contractive mapping  $T$ . However, on the other hand, strict pseudo-contractive mappings have more powerful applications than nonexpansive mappings do in solving inverse problems; see Scherzer [23]. Therefore it is interesting to develop the iterative methods for strict pseudo-contractive mappings. As a matter of fact, Browder and Petryshyn [5] show that if a  $k$ -strict pseudo-contractive mappings  $T$  has a fixed point in  $C$ , then starting with an initial  $x_0 \in C$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by the recursive formula:

$$x_{n+1} = \lambda x_n + (1 - \lambda)Tx_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where  $\lambda$  is a constant such that  $k < \lambda < 1$ , converges weakly to a fixed point of  $T$ .

Recently, Marino and Xu [16] have extended Browder and Petryshyn's result by proving that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by the following Mann's method:

$$x_{n+1} = \lambda_n x_n + (1 - \lambda_n)Tx_n, \quad n \geq 0$$

converges weakly to a fixed point of  $T$ , provided the control sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  satisfies the conditions that  $k < \lambda_n < 1$  for all  $n$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_n - k)(1 - \lambda_n) = \infty$ . However, this convergence is in general not strong. So in order to get strong convergence for strict pseudo-contractive mappings, Marino and Xu [16] further suggested the following modified Mann's method based on the CQ

method:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} x_0 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ y_n = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n, \\ C_n = \{z \in C : \|y_n - z\|^2 \leq \|x_n - z\|^2 \\ \quad + (1 - \alpha_n)(k - \alpha_n)\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2\}, \\ Q_n = \{z \in C : \langle x_n - z, x_0 - x_n \rangle \geq 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_n \cap Q_n}x_0. \end{cases}$$

They proved that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by (1.3) is strongly convergent to a fixed point of  $T$  for any choice of the control sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  such that  $\alpha_n < 1$  for all  $n$ .

We observe that the CQ method (1.3) generates a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  by projecting the initial guess  $x_0$  onto the intersection of two appropriately constructed closed convex subsets  $C_n$  and  $Q_n$ . Hence, how to construct closed convex subsets  $C_n$  and  $Q_n$  is very crucial for the CQ method.

It is the purpose of this paper to suggest and analyze some iterative methods for strict pseudo-contractive mappings in the sense of Browder-Petryshyn in a real Hilbert space. First, we consider a modified Mann's method which is different from (1.3). Secondly, we study another modified method for strict pseudo-contractive mappings. We prove that the proposed iterative methods converge strongly to some fixed point of a strict pseudo-contractive mapping.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we collect some facts in a real Hilbert space  $H$  which are listed as below.

Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of  $H$ . For every point  $x \in H$  there exists a unique nearest point in  $C$ , denoted by  $P_Cx$ , such that

$$\|x - P_Cx\| \leq \|x - y\| \quad \text{for all } y \in C,$$

where  $P_C$  is called the metric projection of  $H$  onto  $C$ . We know that  $P_C$  is a nonexpansive mapping. For given sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset C$ , let  $\omega_w(x_n) = \{x : \exists x_{n_j} \rightarrow x \text{ weakly}\}$  denote the weak  $\omega$ -limit set of  $\{x_n\}$ .

We note the following Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 are well-known.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space. Then there hold the following well-known identities:*

$$(a) \|x - y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2 \text{ for all } x, y \in H;$$

(b)  $\|tx + (1-t)y\|^2 = t\|x\|^2 + (1-t)\|y\|^2 - t(1-t)\|x-y\|^2$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $x, y \in H$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space  $H$ . Given  $x \in H$  and  $z \in C$ . Then  $z = P_C x$  if and only if there holds the relation*

$$\langle x - z, y - z \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in C.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** ([16]) *Assume  $C$  is a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space  $H$ , let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a  $k$ -strict pseudo-contractive mapping. Then, the mapping  $I - T$  is demiclosed at zero. That is, if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $C$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x^*$  weakly and  $(I - T)x_n \rightarrow 0$  strongly, then  $(I - T)x^* = 0$ .*

**Lemma 2.4.** ([17]) *Let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $H$  and  $u \in H$ . Let  $q = P_C u$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  is such that  $\omega_w(x_n) \subset C$  and satisfies the condition*

$$\|x_n - u\| \leq \|u - q\| \quad \text{for all } n.$$

*Then  $x_n \rightarrow q$ .*

**Lemma 2.5.** ([25]) *Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be bounded sequences in a Banach space  $E$  such that  $x_{n+1} = \sigma_n x_n + (1 - \sigma_n)y_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$  where  $\{\sigma_n\}$  is a sequence in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n < 1$ . Assume  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0$ .*

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a  $k$ -strict pseudo-contractive mapping with  $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Then,  $Fix(T)$  is convex and closed.*

**Proof.** First, we prove that  $Fix(T)$  is convex. For all  $p, q \in Fix(T)$  and  $\xi \in [0, 1]$ , we set  $R = \xi p + (1 - \xi)q$ . From Lemma 2.1(b) and (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|R - TR\|^2 \\ &= \|\xi(p - TR) + (1 - \xi)(q - TR)\|^2 \\ &= \xi\|p - TR\|^2 + (1 - \xi)\|q - TR\|^2 - \xi(1 - \xi)\|p - q\|^2 \\ &= \xi\|Tp - TR\|^2 + (1 - \xi)\|Tq - TR\|^2 - \xi(1 - \xi)\|p - q\|^2 \\ &\leq \xi[\|p - R\|^2 + k\|R - TR\|^2] + (1 - \xi)[\|q - R\|^2 + k\|R - TR\|^2] \\ &\quad - \xi(1 - \xi)\|p - q\|^2 \\ &= \xi\|p - R\|^2 + (1 - \xi)\|q - R\|^2 - \xi(1 - \xi)\|p - q\|^2 + k\|R - TR\|^2 \\ &= \|\xi(p - R) + (1 - \xi)(q - R)\|^2 + k\|R - TR\|^2 \\ &= k\|R - TR\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(1 - k)\|R - TR\|^2 \leq 0,$$

that is

$$R = TR.$$

Therefore,  $R \in \text{Fix}(T)$  and  $\text{Fix}(T)$  is convex.

Next, we prove that  $\text{Fix}(T)$  is closed. First, we note that  $T$  is Lipschitz with Lipschitzian constant  $L = \frac{1+k}{1-k}$ . If we take  $p_n \in \text{Fix}(T)$  satisfying  $p_n \rightarrow p$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then

$$p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tp_n = Tp.$$

Therefore,  $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$ . Hence,  $\text{Fix}(T)$  is closed. This completes the proof.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a  $k$ -strict pseudo-contractive mapping with  $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $f : C \rightarrow C$  be a continuous strongly pseudo-contractive mapping. For any  $t \in (0, 1)$ , let  $x_t$  be the unique fixed point of  $tf + (1 - t)T$ . Then as  $t \rightarrow 0+$ , the path  $\{x_t\}$  converges strongly to  $p = P_{\text{Fix}(T)}f(p)$  which is the unique solution of the variational inequality:  $\langle f(p) - p, z - p \rangle \leq 0$  for all  $z \in \text{Fix}(T)$ .*

**Proof.** From Lemma 2.6, we know that  $\text{Fix}(T)$  is closed and convex. Hence,  $P_{\text{Fix}(T)}$  is well defined. For fixed  $u \in C$  arbitrarily, let the path  $\{y_t : t \in (0, 1)\}$  be defined by  $y_t = tu + (1 - t)Ty_t$  for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Then, from [19], it is clear that the path  $\{y_t\}$  converges strongly to  $p = P_{\text{Fix}(T)}u$ . Taking  $u = f(p)$ . Then, the path  $\{y_t\}$  defined by  $y_t = tf(p) + (1 - t)Ty_t$  converges strongly to  $p = P_{\text{Fix}(T)}f(p)$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_t - y_t\|^2 &= t\langle f(x_t) - f(p), x_t - y_t \rangle + (1 - t)\langle Tx_t - Ty_t, x_t - y_t \rangle \\ &= t\langle f(x_t) - f(y_t), x_t - y_t \rangle + t\langle f(y_t) - f(p), x_t - y_t \rangle \\ &\quad + (1 - t)\langle Tx_t - Ty_t, x_t - y_t \rangle \\ &\leq t\alpha\|x_t - y_t\|^2 + t\|f(y_t) - f(p)\|\|x_t - y_t\| + (1 - t)\|x_t - y_t\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\|x_t - y_t\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha}\|f(y_t) - f(p)\|.$$

Since  $y_t \rightarrow p = P_{\text{Fix}(T)}f(p)$  and  $f$  is continuous, then  $x_t \rightarrow p = P_{\text{Fix}(T)}f(p)$ . From Lemma 2.2, it is clear that  $p$  is the unique solution of the variational inequality:  $\langle f(p) - p, z - p \rangle \leq 0$  for all  $z \in \text{Fix}(T)$ . This completes the proof.

**Lemma 2.8.** ([21]) *Assume  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that  $a_{n+1} \leq (1 - b_n)a_n + c_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , where  $\{b_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, 1)$  and  $\{c_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathbf{R}$  such that*

- (a)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n = \infty$ ;  
 (b)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n/b_n \leq 0$  or  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n| < \infty$ .  
 Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ .

### 3. Main Results

#### 3.1. Projection methods for strict pseudo-contractive mappings

First, we introduce the following modified Mann's method based on the projection methods which is different from (1.3).

**Algorithm 3.1.** Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a  $k$ -strict pseudo-contractive mapping for some  $0 \leq k < 1$ . Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a real sequence in  $[0, 1)$ . For  $C_1 = C$  and  $x_1 = P_{C_1}x_0$ , let the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  be generated by the following method:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} y_n = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)Tx_n, \\ C_{n+1} = \{z \in C_n : \|y_n - z\| \leq \|x_n - z\|\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}x_0. \end{cases}$$

Now we prove the following strong convergence theorem concerning the above projection method (3.1).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a  $k$ -strict pseudo-contractive mapping for some  $0 \leq k < 1$  with  $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  be generated by the method (3.1). Assume that the sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is chosen so that  $\alpha_n \in [a, b] \subset [k, 1)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $P_{Fix(T)}x_0$ .

**Proof.** First, we note that  $C_n$  is convex and closed. As a matter of fact, we observe that  $\|y_n - z\| \leq \|x_n - z\|$  is equivalent to  $\|y_n - x_n\|^2 + 2\langle y_n - x_n, x_n - z \rangle \geq 0$ . So  $C_n$  is closed and convex. Hence,  $\{x_n\}$  is well-defined.

Next we show that  $Fix(T) \subset C_n$  for all  $n$ . From (1.1) and (1.2), we note that for all  $p \in Fix(T)$ ,

$$\langle Tx_n - p, x_n - p \rangle \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \frac{1-k}{2} \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2$$

and

$$\|Tx_n - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + k\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_n - p\|^2 &= \|\alpha_n(x_n - p) + (1 - \alpha_n)(Tx_n - p)\|^2 \\
&= \alpha_n^2\|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\langle Tx_n - p, x_n - p \rangle \\
&\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)^2\|Tx_n - p\|^2 \\
&\leq \alpha_n^2\|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \left[ \|x_n - p\|^2 - \frac{1 - k}{2}\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \right] \\
&\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)^2[\|x_n - p\|^2 + k\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2] \\
&= \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(k - \alpha_n)\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

that is,  $\|y_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|$ . So  $p \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n$  for all  $n$ . This implies that

$$\text{Fix}(T) \subset C_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

From  $x_n = P_{C_n}x_0$ , we have

$$\langle x_0 - x_n, x_n - y \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in C_n.$$

Using  $\text{Fix}(T) \subset C_n$ , we also have

$$\langle x_0 - x_n, x_n - p \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } p \in \text{Fix}(T).$$

So, for  $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \langle x_0 - x_n, x_n - p \rangle \\
&= \langle x_0 - x_n, x_n - x_0 + x_0 - p \rangle \\
&= -\|x_0 - x_n\|^2 + \langle x_0 - x_n, x_0 - p \rangle \\
&\leq -\|x_0 - x_n\|^2 + \|x_0 - x_n\|\|x_0 - p\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|x_0 - x_n\| \leq \|x_0 - p\| \quad \text{for all } p \in \text{Fix}(T).$$

In particular,  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded and

$$(3.2) \quad \|x_0 - x_n\| \leq \|x_0 - q\|, \quad \text{where } q = P_{\text{Fix}(T)}x_0.$$

From  $x_n = P_{C_n}x_0$  and  $x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}x_0 \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n$ , we have

$$(3.3) \quad \langle x_0 - x_n, x_n - x_{n+1} \rangle \geq 0.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \langle x_0 - x_n, x_n - x_{n+1} \rangle \\
&= \langle x_0 - x_n, x_n - x_0 + x_0 - x_{n+1} \rangle \\
&= -\|x_0 - x_n\|^2 + \langle x_0 - x_n, x_0 - x_{n+1} \rangle \\
&\leq -\|x_0 - x_n\|^2 + \|x_0 - x_n\| \|x_0 - x_{n+1}\|,
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\|x_0 - x_n\| \leq \|x_0 - x_{n+1}\|,$$

which implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_0\|$  exists.

From Lemma 2.1(a) and (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 &= \|(x_{n+1} - x_0) - (x_n - x_0)\|^2 \\
&= \|x_{n+1} - x_0\|^2 - \|x_n - x_0\|^2 - 2\langle x_{n+1} - x_n, x_n - x_0 \rangle \\
&\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_0\|^2 - \|x_n - x_0\|^2 \\
&\rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By the fact  $x_{n+1} \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n$ , we get

$$\|y_n - x_{n+1}\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|,$$

which implies that  $\|y_n - x_{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$ . At the same time, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad \|y_n - x_{n+1}\|^2 &= \|\alpha_n(x_n - x_{n+1}) + (1 - \alpha_n)(Tx_n - x_{n+1})\|^2 \\
&= \alpha_n \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|Tx_n - x_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad \|Tx_n - x_{n+1}\|^2 &= \|Tx_n - x_n + x_n - x_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&= \|Tx_n - x_n\|^2 + 2\langle Tx_n - x_n, x_n - x_{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad + \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Substitute (3.5) into (3.4) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_n - x_{n+1}\|^2 &= \alpha_n \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|Tx_n - x_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2(1 - \alpha_n) \langle Tx_n - x_n, x_n - x_{n+1} \rangle + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&= \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|^2 + 2(1 - \alpha_n) \langle Tx_n - x_n, x_n - x_{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad + (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$



This together with  $x_n - x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$  and  $y_n - x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$  imply that

$$(3.6) \quad \|x_n - Tx_n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Now (3.6) and Lemma 2.3 guarantee that every weak limit point of  $\{x_n\}$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . That is,  $\omega_w(x_n) \subset F(T)$ . This fact, the inequality (3.2) and Lemma 2.4 ensure the strong convergence of  $\{x_n\}$  to  $P_{F(T)}x_0$ . This completes the proof.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a nonexpansive mapping with  $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  be generated by the method (3.1). Assume that the sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is chosen so that  $\alpha_n \in [a, b] \subset [0, 1)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (3.1) converges strongly to  $P_{\text{Fix}(T)}x_0$ .*

### 3.2. Modified methods for strict pseudo-contractive mappings

Below is another modified method for strict pseudo-contractive mappings.

**Algorithm 3.2.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a  $k$ -strict pseudo-contractive mapping with  $0 \leq k < 1$ . Let  $f : C \rightarrow C$  be a contractive mapping. Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\beta_n\}$  and  $\{\gamma_n\}$  be real sequences in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Let the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  be generated from an arbitrary  $x_0 \in C$  by the following iterative method:*

$$(3.7) \quad x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n T x_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

In particular, if we take  $f \equiv u$ , then (3.7) reduces to

$$(3.8) \quad x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n T x_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Now we state and prove the following strong convergence theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space  $H$ , and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a  $k$ -strict pseudo-contractive mapping with  $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $f : C \rightarrow C$  be a contractive mapping with contractive coefficient  $\alpha$ . Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\beta_n\}$  and  $\{\gamma_n\}$  be three real sequences in  $[0, 1]$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (C1)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ ;
- (C2)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (C3)  $\beta_n \in [a, b] \subset (k, 1)$ .

*For initial guess  $x_0 \in C$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (3.7) converges strongly to  $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$  which solves the variational inequality:*

$$\langle f(p) - p, z - p \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in \text{Fix}(T).$$

**Proof.** We first show that  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Indeed, take a point  $p \in \text{Fix}(T)$  to get

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|\alpha_n(f(x_n) - p) + \beta_n(x_n - p) + \gamma_n(Tx_n - p)\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n\|f(x_n) - f(p)\| + \alpha_n\|f(p) - p\| \\ &\quad + \|\beta_n(x_n - p) + \gamma_n(Tx_n - p)\|. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.1) and (1.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\beta_n(x_n - p) + \gamma_n(Tx_n - p)\|^2 \\ &= \beta_n^2\|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n^2\|Tx_n - p\|^2 + 2\beta_n\gamma_n\langle Tx_n - p, x_n - p \rangle \\ &\leq \beta_n^2\|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n^2[\|x_n - p\|^2 + k\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2] \\ &\quad + 2\beta_n\gamma_n[\|x_n - p\|^2 - \frac{1-k}{2}\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2] \\ &= (\beta_n + \gamma_n)^2\|x_n - p\|^2 + [\gamma_n^2k - (1-k)\beta_n\gamma_n]\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\ &= (\beta_n + \gamma_n)^2\|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n[(\beta_n + \gamma_n)k - \beta_n]\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\ &\leq (\beta_n + \gamma_n)^2\|x_n - p\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(3.10) \quad \|\beta_n(x_n - p) + \gamma_n(Tx_n - p)\| \leq (\beta_n + \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\|.$$

It follows from (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &\leq \alpha_n\alpha\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\|f(p) - p\| + (\beta_n + \gamma_n)\|x_n - p\| \\ &= [1 - (1 - \alpha)\alpha_n]\|x_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha)\alpha_n\frac{\|f(p) - p\|}{1 - \alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we obtain, for all  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$\|x_n - p\| \leq \max \left\{ \|x_0 - p\|, \frac{\|f(p) - p\|}{1 - \alpha} \right\}.$$

Hence,  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded.

We note that (3.7) can be rewritten as

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \left( \beta_n - \frac{k\gamma_n}{1-k} \right) x_n + \frac{\gamma_n}{1-k} [kx_n + (1-k)Tx_n].$$

It is clear that  $\alpha_n + (\beta_n - \frac{k\gamma_n}{1-k}) + \frac{\gamma_n}{1-k} = 1$  and  $(\beta_n - \frac{k\gamma_n}{1-k}) \in (\frac{\alpha-k}{1-k}, \frac{1}{1-k}) \subset (0, 1)$ .

Now we define  $x_{n+1} = (\beta_n - \frac{k\gamma_n}{1-k})x_n + (1 - \beta_n + \frac{k\gamma_n}{1-k})y_n, n \geq 0$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & y_{n+1} - y_n \\
 &= \frac{x_{n+2} - (\beta_{n+1} - \frac{k\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k})x_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1} + \frac{k\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}} - \frac{x_{n+1} - (\beta_n - \frac{k\gamma_n}{1-k})x_n}{1 - \beta_n + \frac{k\gamma_n}{1-k}} \\
 &= \frac{\alpha_{n+1}f(x_{n+1}) + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}[kx_{n+1} + (1-k)Tx_{n+1}]}{1 - \beta_{n+1} + \frac{k\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}} \\
 &\quad - \frac{\alpha_n f(x_n) + \frac{\gamma_n}{1-k}[kx_n + (1-k)Tx_n]}{1 - \beta_n + \frac{k\gamma_n}{1-k}} \\
 (3.11) \quad &= \frac{\alpha_{n+1}f(x_{n+1})}{1 - \beta_{n+1} + \frac{k\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}} - \frac{\alpha_n f(x_n)}{1 - \beta_n + \frac{k\gamma_n}{1-k}} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}}{1 - \beta_{n+1} + \frac{k\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}} [k(x_{n+1} - x_n) + (1-k)(Tx_{n+1} - Tx_n)] \\
 &\quad + \left( \frac{\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}}{1 - \beta_{n+1} + \frac{k\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}} - \frac{\frac{\gamma_n}{1-k}}{1 - \beta_n + \frac{k\gamma_n}{1-k}} \right) (kx_n + (1-k)Tx_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|y_{n+1} - y_n\| \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1} + \frac{k\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}} \|f(x_{n+1})\| + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n + \frac{k\gamma_n}{1-k}} \|f(x_n)\| \\
 (3.12) \quad &+ \frac{\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}}{1 - \beta_{n+1} + \frac{k\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}} \|k(x_{n+1} - x_n) + (1-k)(Tx_{n+1} - Tx_n)\| \\
 &+ \left| \frac{\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}}{1 - \beta_{n+1} + \frac{k\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}} - \frac{\frac{\gamma_n}{1-k}}{1 - \beta_n + \frac{k\gamma_n}{1-k}} \right| \|kx_n + (1-k)Tx_n\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Again from Lemma 2.1(b) and (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|k(x_{n+1} - x_n) + (1-k)(Tx_{n+1} - Tx_n)\|^2 \\
 &= k\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 + (1-k)\|Tx_{n+1} - Tx_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad - k(1-k)\|(I-T)x_{n+1} - (I-T)x_n\|^2 \\
 &\leq k\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 + (1-k)[\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2 + k\|(I-T)x_{n+1} - (I-T)x_n\|^2] \\
 &\quad - k(1-k)\|(I-T)x_{n+1} - (I-T)x_n\|^2 \\
 &= \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(3.13) \quad \|k(x_{n+1} - x_n) + (1 - k)(Tx_{n+1} - Tx_n)\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|.$$

At the same time, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}}{1 - \beta_{n+1} + \frac{k\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}} &= \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1 - k + (1 - \alpha_{n+1})k - \beta_{n+1}} \\ &= \frac{1 - \alpha_{n+1}k - \beta_{n+1} + (k - 1)\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}k - \beta_{n+1}} \\ &= 1 - \frac{(1 - k)\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \alpha_{n+1}k - \beta_{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\frac{\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}}{1 - \beta_{n+1} + \frac{k\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}} \in [0, 1]$  and  $\frac{\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}}{1 - \beta_{n+1} + \frac{k\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}} \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}}{1 - \beta_{n+1} + \frac{k\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}} - \frac{\frac{\gamma_n}{1-k}}{1 - \beta_n + \frac{k\gamma_n}{1-k}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Combining (3.12) and (3.13) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n+1} - y_n\| &\leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1} + \frac{k\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}} \|f(x_{n+1})\| + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n + \frac{k\gamma_n}{1-k}} \|f(x_n)\| \\ &\quad + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \left| \frac{\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}}{1 - \beta_{n+1} + \frac{k\gamma_{n+1}}{1-k}} - \frac{\frac{\gamma_n}{1-k}}{1 - \beta_n + \frac{k\gamma_n}{1-k}} \right| M, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M$  is a constant such that  $\sup_n \{\|kx_n + (1 - k)Tx_n\|\} \leq M$ . Since  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ , the last inequality implies

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0.$$

Apply Lemma 2.5 to get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \beta_n + \frac{k\gamma_n}{1-k}\right) \|y_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - Tx_n\| &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|x_{n+1} - Tx_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \alpha_n \|f(x_n) - Tx_n\| + \beta_n \|x_n - Tx_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\|x_n - Tx_n\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \beta_n} (\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \alpha_n \|f(x_n) - Tx_n\|) \rightarrow 0.$$

Next we prove that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(p) - p, x_n - p \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } p \in \text{Fix}(T).$$

Let  $z_t$  be the unique solution to the equation

$$z_t = tf(z_t) + (1 - t)Tz_t.$$

Since  $f$  is contractive, it must be strict pseudo-contractive. From Lemma 2.7, we know that  $z_t \rightarrow p \in \text{Fix}(T)$  which solves the variational inequality

$$\langle f(p) - p, z - p \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in \text{Fix}(T).$$

We can write

$$z_t - x_n = (1 - t)(Tz_t - x_n) + t(f(z_t) - x_n).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_t - x_n\|^2 &= (1 - t)\langle Tz_t - x_n, z_t - x_n \rangle + t\langle f(z_t) - x_n, z_t - x_n \rangle \\ &= (1 - t)(\langle Tz_t - Tx_n, z_t - x_n \rangle + \langle Tx_n - x_n, z_t - x_n \rangle) \\ &\quad + t\langle f(z_t) - z_t, z_t - x_n \rangle + t\langle z_t - x_n, z_t - x_n \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - t)\|z_t - x_n\|^2 + (1 - t)\|Tx_n - x_n\|\|z_t - x_n\| \\ &\quad + t\langle f(z_t) - z_t, z_t - x_n \rangle + t\|z_t - x_n\|^2 \\ &= \|z_t - x_n\|^2 + (1 - t)\|Tx_n - x_n\|\|z_t - x_n\| \\ &\quad + t\langle f(z_t) - z_t, z_t - x_n \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\langle f(z_t) - z_t, x_n - z_t \rangle \leq \frac{1 - t}{t} \|Tx_n - x_n\| \|z_t - x_n\|.$$

Note that  $\|x_n - Tx_n\| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $z_t \rightarrow p$  and  $\{z_t\}$  and  $\{x_n\}$  are all bounded. By using the standard proof, it is easy to obtain

$$(3.14) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(p) - p, x_n - p \rangle \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } p \in \text{Fix}(T).$$

Finally we claim that  $x_n \rightarrow p$  in norm. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\
&= \alpha_n \langle f(x_n) - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle + \langle \beta_n(x_n - p) + \gamma_n(Tx_n - p), x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\
&\leq \alpha_n \langle f(x_n) - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle + \|\beta_n(x_n - p) + \gamma_n(Tx_n - p)\| \|x_{n+1} - p\| \\
&\leq \alpha_n \langle f(x_n) - f(p), x_{n+1} - p \rangle + \alpha_n \langle f(p) - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\
&\quad + (\beta_n + \gamma_n) \|x_n - p\| \|x_{n+1} - p\| \\
&\leq \alpha_n \alpha \|x_n - p\| \|x_{n+1} - p\| + \alpha_n \langle f(p) - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \\
&\quad + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - p\| \|x_{n+1} - p\| \\
&\leq [1 - (1 - \alpha)\alpha_n] \frac{1}{2} (\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2) + \alpha_n \langle f(p) - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq [1 - (1 - \alpha)\alpha_n] \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle f(p) - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq [1 - (1 - \alpha)\alpha_n] \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad + (1 - \alpha)\alpha_n \left\{ \frac{2}{1 - \alpha} \langle f(p) - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

So combining Lemma 2.8 with (3.14) and (3.15) we conclude that  $\|x_n - p\| \rightarrow 0$ . This completes the proof.

As a direct corollary of Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space  $H$ , and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a  $k$ -strict pseudo-contractive mapping with  $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\beta_n\}$  and  $\{\gamma_n\}$  be three real sequences in  $[0, 1]$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (C1)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ ;
- (C2)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (C3)  $\beta_n \in [a, b] \subset (k, 1)$ .

*For initial guess  $x_0 \in C$  and fixed  $u \in C$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (3.8) converges strongly to  $p = P_{\text{Fix}(T)}u$ .*

## Acknowledgment

The first author was supported in part by Colleges and Universities Science and Technology Development Foundation (20091003) of Tianjin and NSFC 11071279. The second author was supported in part by NSC 99-2221-E-230-006.

## References

- [1] K. Aoyama, Y. Kimura, W. Takahashi and M. Toyoda, Approximation of common fixed points of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 67 (2007), 2350–2360.
- [2] H. Bauschke, The approximation of fixed points of compositions of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 202 (1996), 150–159.
- [3] F. E. Browder, Fixed point theorems for noncompact mappings in Hilbert spaces, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 53 (1965), 1272–1276.
- [4] F. E. Browder, Convergence of approximants to fixed points of nonexpansive nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 24 (1967), 82–90.
- [5] F. E. Browder and W. V. Petryshyn, Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 20 (1967), 197–228.
- [6] C. Byrne, A unified treatment of some iterative algorithms in signal processing and image reconstruction, *Inverse Problems*, 20 (2004), 103–120.
- [7] S. S. Chang, Viscosity approximation methods for a finite family of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 323 (2006), 1402–1416.
- [8] C. E. Chidume and C. O. Chidume, Iterative approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 318 (2006), 288–295.
- [9] B. Halpern, Fixed points of nonexpanding maps, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 73 (1967), 957–961.
- [10] J. S. Jung, Iterative approaches to common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 302 (2005), 509–520.
- [11] T. H. Kim and H. K. Xu, Strong convergence of modified Mann iterations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 61 (2005), 51–60.
- [12] T. H. Kim and H. K. Xu, Strong convergence of modified Mann iterations for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and semigroups, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 64 (2006), 1140–1152.

- [13] P. L. Lions, Approximation de points fixes de contractions, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B* 284 (1977), 1357–1359.
- [14] W. R. Mann, Mean value methods in iteration, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 4 (1953), 506–510.
- [15] G. Marino and H. K. Xu, Convergence of generalized proximal point algorithms, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 3 (2004), 791–808.
- [16] G. Marino and H. K. Xu, Weak and strong convergence theorems for strict pseudocontractions in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 329 (2007), 336–349.
- [17] C. Martinez-Yanes and H. K. Xu, Strong convergence of the CQ method for fixed point processes, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 64 (2006), 2400–2411.
- [18] S. Y. Matsushita and W. Takahashi, A strong convergence theorem for relatively nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space, *J. Approx. Theory* 134 (2005), 257–266.
- [19] C. H. Morales and J. S. Jung, Convergence of paths for pseudocontractive mappings in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 128 (2000), 3411–3419.
- [20] K. Nakajo and W. Takahashi, Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and nonexpansive semigroups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 279 (2003), 372–379.
- [21] J. G. O’Hara, P. Pillay and H. K. Xu, Iterative approaches to finding nearest common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 54 (2003), 1417–1426.
- [22] S. Reich, Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 67 (1979), 274–276.
- [23] O. Scherzer, Convergence criteria of iterative methods based on Landweber iteration for solving nonlinear problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 194 (1991), 911–933.
- [24] N. Shioji and W. Takahashi, Strong convergence of approximated sequences for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 125 (1997), 3641–3645.
- [25] T. Suzuki, Strong convergence of approximated sequences for nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135 (2007), 99–106.



- [26] R. Wittmann, Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings, *Arch. Math.*, 58 (1992), 486–491.
- [27] D. P. Wu, S. S. Chang and G. X. Yuan, Approximation of common fixed points for a family of finite nonexpansive mappings in Banach space, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 63 (2005), 987–999.
- [28] H. K. Xu, Strong convergence of an iterative method for nonexpansive mappings and accretive operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 314 (2006), 631–643.
- [29] H. K. Xu, Strong convergence of approximating fixed point sequences for nonexpansive mappings, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 74 (2006), 143–151.
- [30] Y. Yao, A general iterative method for a finite family of nonexpansive mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 66 (2007), 2676–2687.
- [31] Y. Yao and M. A. Noor, On viscosity iterative methods for variational inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 325 (2007), 776–787.
- [32] Y. Yao and J. C. Yao, On modified iterative method for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 186 (2007), 1551–1558.
- [33] H. Zegeye and N. Shahzad, Viscosity approximation methods for a common fixed point of a family of quasi-nonexpansive mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 68 (2008), 2005–2012.
- [34] L. C. Zeng, N. C. Wong and J. C. Yao, Strong convergence theorems for strictly pseudo-contractive mappings of Browder-Petryshyn type, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 10 (2006), 837–849.
- [35] L.C. Zeng and J. C. Yao, Implicit iteration scheme with perturbed mapping for common fixed points of a finite family of nonexpansive mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 64 (2006), 2507–2515.
- [36] L. C. Zeng and J. C. Yao, Strong convergence theorem by an extragradient method for fixed point problems and variational inequality problems, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 10 (2006), 1293–1303.

Department of Mathematics  
Tianjin Polytechnic University  
Tianjin 300160, People's Republic of China  
e-mail: yaoyonghong@yahoo.cn

Department of Information Management  
Cheng Shiu University  
Kaohsiung 833, Taiwan  
e-mail: simplex\_liou@hotmail.com

Department of Mathematics and the RINS  
Gyeongsang National University  
Jinju 660-701, Korea  
E-mail: smkang@gnu.ac.kr