



ON 2-ABSORBING PRIMARY SUBMODULES OF MODULES OVER COMMUTATIVE RINGS

Hojjat Mostafanasab, Ece Yetkin, Ünsal Tekir
and Ahmad Yousefian Darani*

Abstract

All rings are commutative with $1 \neq 0$, and all modules are unital. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the concept of 2-absorbing primary submodules generalizing 2-absorbing primary ideals of rings. Let M be an R -module. A proper submodule N of an R -module M is called a *2-absorbing primary submodule* of M if whenever $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ and $abm \in N$, then $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$. It is shown that a proper submodule N of M is a 2-absorbing primary submodule if and only if whenever $I_1 I_2 K \subseteq N$ for some ideals I_1, I_2 of R and some submodule K of M , then $I_1 I_2 \subseteq (N :_R M)$ or $I_1 K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $I_2 K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. We prove that for a submodule N of an R -module M if $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a prime submodule of M , then N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M . If N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of a finitely generated multiplication R -module M , then $(N :_R M)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R and $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of M .

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout this paper all rings are commutative with a nonzero identity and all modules are considered to be unitary. Prime submodules have an important

Key Words: Multiplication module, Primary submodule, Prime submodule, 2-absorbing submodule, n -absorbing submodule.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 13A15; Secondary 13F05, 13G05.

Received: 08.09.2014.

Accepted: 20.10.2014.

role in the theory of modules over commutative rings. Let M be a module over a commutative ring R . A *prime* (resp. *primary*) submodule is a proper submodule N of M with the property that for $a \in R$ and $m \in M$, $am \in N$ implies that $m \in N$ or $a \in (N :_R M)$ (resp. $a^k \in (N :_R M)$ for some positive integer k). In this case $p = (N :_R M)$ (resp. $p = \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$) is a prime ideal of R . There are several ways to generalize the concept of prime submodules. Weakly prime submodules were introduced by Ebrahimi Atani and Farzalipour in [16]. A proper submodule N of M is *weakly prime* if for $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $0 \neq am \in N$, either $m \in N$ or $a \in (N :_R M)$. Behboodi and Koochi in [13] defined another class of submodules and called it weakly prime. Their paper is on the basis of some recent papers devoted to this new class of submodules. Let R be a ring and M an R -module. A proper submodule N of M is said to be *weakly prime* when for $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$, $abm \in N$ implies that $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$. To avoid the ambiguity, Behboodi renamed this concept and called submodules introduced in [13], *classical prime submodule*.

Badawi in [9] generalized the concept of prime ideals in a different way. He defined a nonzero proper ideal I of R to be a *2-absorbing ideal* of R if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ and $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in I$ or $bc \in I$. This definition can obviously be made for any ideal of R . This concept has a generalization, called weakly 2-absorbing ideals, which has studied in [10]. A proper ideal I of R to be a *weakly 2-absorbing ideal* of R if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ and $0 \neq abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in I$ or $bc \in I$. Anderson and Badawi [6] generalized the concept of 2-absorbing ideals to n -absorbing ideals. According to their definition, a proper ideal I of R is called an *n -absorbing* (resp. *strongly n -absorbing*) ideal if whenever $x_1 \cdots x_{n+1} \in I$ for $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$ (resp. $I_1 \cdots I_{n+1} \subseteq I$ for ideals I_1, \dots, I_{n+1} of R), then there are n of the x_i 's (resp. n of the I_i 's) whose product is in I . They proved that a proper ideal I of R is 2-absorbing if and only if I is strongly 2-absorbing.

In [26], the concept of 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing ideals generalized to submodules of a module over a commutative ring. Let M be an R -module and N a proper submodule of M . N is said to be a *2-absorbing submodule* (resp. *weakly 2-absorbing submodule*) of M if whenever $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $abm \in N$ (resp. $0 \neq abm \in N$), then $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$. Badawi et. al. in [11] introduced the concept of 2-absorbing primary ideals, where a proper ideal I of R is called *2-absorbing primary* if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ with $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in \sqrt{I}$ or $bc \in \sqrt{I}$.

Let R be a ring, M an R -module and N a submodule of M . We will denote by $(N :_R M)$ the *residual of N by M* , that is, the set of all $r \in R$ such that $rM \subseteq N$. The annihilator of M which is denoted by $\text{ann}_R(M)$ is $(0 :_R M)$. An R -module M is called a *multiplication module* if every submodule N of M has

the form IM for some ideal I of R . Note that, since $I \subseteq (N :_R M)$ then $N = IM \subseteq (N :_R M)M \subseteq N$. So that $N = (N :_R M)M$ [17]. Finitely generated faithful multiplication modules are cancellation modules [25, Corollary to Theorem 9], where an R -module M is defined to be a *cancellation module* if $IM = JM$ for ideals I and J of R implies $I = J$. It is well-known that if R is a commutative ring and M a nonzero multiplication R -module, then every proper submodule of M is contained in a maximal submodule of M and K is a maximal submodule of M if and only if there exists a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R such that $K = \mathfrak{m}M$ [17, Theorem 2.5]. If M is a finitely generated faithful multiplication R -module (hence cancellation), then it is easy to verify that $(IN :_R M) = I(N :_R M)$ for each submodule N of M and each ideal I of R . For a submodule N of M , if $N = IM$ for some ideal I of R , then we say that I is a presentation ideal of N . Clearly, every submodule of M has a presentation ideal if and only if M is a multiplication module. Let N and K be submodules of a multiplication R -module M with $N = I_1M$ and $K = I_2M$ for some ideals I_1 and I_2 of R . The product of N and K denoted by NK is defined by $NK = I_1I_2M$. Then by [3, Theorem 3.4], the product of N and K is independent of presentations of N and K . Moreover, for $a, b \in M$, by ab , we mean the product of Ra and Rb . Clearly, NK is a submodule of M and $NK \subseteq N \cap K$ (see [3]). Let N be a proper submodule of a nonzero R -module M . Then the M -radical of N , denoted by $M\text{-rad}(N)$, is defined to be the intersection of all prime submodules of M containing N . If M has no prime submodule containing N , then we say $M\text{-rad}(N) = M$. It is shown in [17, Theorem 2.12] that if N is a proper submodule of a multiplication R -module M , then $M\text{-rad}(N) = \sqrt{(N :_R M)M}$. In this paper we define the concept of 2-absorbing primary submodules. We give some basic results of this class of submodules and discuss on the relations among 2-absorbing ideals, 2-absorbing submodules, 2-absorbing primary ideals and 2-absorbing primary submodules.

2 Properties of 2-absorbing primary submodules

Definition 2.1. A proper submodule N of an R -module M is called a *2-absorbing primary submodule* (resp. *weakly 2-absorbing primary submodule*) of M if whenever $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ and $abm \in N$ (resp. $0 \neq abm \in N$), then $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$.

Example 2.2. Let p be a fixed prime integer and $N_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Each proper \mathbb{Z} -submodule of $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ is of the form $G_t = \langle 1/p^t + \mathbb{Z} \rangle$ for some $t \in N_0$. In [15, Example 1] it was shown that every submodule G_t is not primary. For each $t \in N_0$, $(G_t :_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)) = 0$. Note that $p^2 \left(\frac{1}{p^{t+2}} + \mathbb{Z} \right) = \frac{1}{p^t} + \mathbb{Z} \in G_t$, but neither $p^2 \in (G_t :_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)) = 0$ nor $p \left(\frac{1}{p^{t+2}} + \mathbb{Z} \right) \in G_t$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ has

no 2-absorbing submodule. Since every prime submodule is 2-absorbing, then $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ has no prime submodule. Therefore $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)\text{-rad}(G_t) = \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$, and so G_t is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let N be a proper submodule of an R -module M . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M ;
2. For every elements $a, b \in R$ such that $ab \notin (N :_R M)$, $(N :_M ab) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M a) \cup (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M b)$;
3. For every elements $a, b \in R$ such that $ab \notin (N :_R M)$, $(N :_M ab) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M a)$ or $(N :_M ab) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M b)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that $a, b \in R$ such that $ab \notin (N :_R M)$. Let $m \in (N :_M ab)$. Then $abm \in N$, and so either $ma \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$. Therefore either $m \in (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M a)$ or $m \in (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M b)$. Hence $(N :_M ab) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M a) \cup (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M b)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Notice to the fact that if a submodule (a subgroup) is a subset of the union of two submodules (two subgroups), then it is a subset of one of them. Thus we have $(N :_M ab) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M a)$ or $(N :_M ab) \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_M b)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) is straightforward. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let M be a finitely generated multiplication R -module. Then for any submodule N of M , $\sqrt{(N :_R M)} = (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R M)$.*

Proof. By [21, Theorem 4], $(M\text{-rad}(N) :_R M) \subseteq \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$. Now we prove the other containment without any assumption on M . Let K be a prime submodule of M containing N . Then clearly $(K : M)$ is a prime ideal that contains $(N : M)$. Therefore $\sqrt{(N :_R M)} \subseteq (K : M)$, so $\sqrt{(N :_R M)} \subseteq (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R M)$. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Let M be a finitely generated multiplication R -module and N be a submodule of M . Then $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a primary submodule of M if and only if $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a prime submodule of M .*

Proof. Suppose that $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a primary submodule of M . Let $a \in R$ and $m \in M$ be such that $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $m \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is primary, it follows $a \in \sqrt{(M\text{-rad}(N) :_R M)} = \sqrt{\sqrt{(N :_R M)}} = \sqrt{(N :_R M)} = (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R M)$, by Lemma 2.4. Thus $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a prime submodule of M . The converse part is clear. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let M be a finitely generated multiplication R -module. If N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M , then*

1. $(N :_R M)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R .
2. $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of M .

Proof. (1) Let $a, b, c \in R$ be such that $abc \in (N :_R M)$, $ac \notin \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$ and $bc \notin \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$. Since, by Lemma 2.4, $\sqrt{(N :_R M)} = (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R M)$, there exist $m_1, m_2 \in M$ such that $acm_1 \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $bcm_2 \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$. But $ab(cm_1 + cm_2) \in N$, because $abc \in (N :_R M)$. So $a(cm_1 + cm_2) \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $b(cm_1 + cm_2) \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$, since N is 2-absorbing primary. If $ab \in (N :_R M)$, then we are done. Thus assume that $a(cm_1 + cm_2) \in M\text{-rad}(N)$. So $acm_2 \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$, because $acm_1 \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$. Therefore $ab \in (N :_R M)$, since N is 2-absorbing primary and $abcm_2 \in N$. Similarly if $b(cm_1 + cm_2) \in M\text{-rad}(N)$, then $ab \in (N :_R M)$. Consequently $(N :_R M)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal.

(2) By [11, Theorem 2.3] we have two cases.

Case 1. $\sqrt{(N :_R M)} = p$ is a prime ideal of R . Since M is a multiplication module, $M\text{-rad}(N) = \sqrt{(N :_R M)}M = pM$, where pM is a prime submodule of M by [17, Corollary 2.11]. Hence in this case $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of M .

Case 2. $\sqrt{(N :_R M)} = p_1 \cap p_2$, where p_1, p_2 are distinct prime ideals of R that are minimal over $(N :_R M)$. In this case, we have $M\text{-rad}(N) = \sqrt{(N :_R M)}M = (p_1 \cap p_2)M = ([p_1 + \text{ann}M] \cap [p_2 + \text{ann}M])M = p_1M \cap p_2M$, where p_1M, p_2M are prime submodules of M by [17, Corollary 2.11, 1.7]. Consequently, $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of M by [26, Theorem 2.3]. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Let M be a (resp. finitely generated multiplication) R -module and N be a submodule of M . If $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a (resp. primary) prime submodule of M , then N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .*

Proof. Suppose that $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a prime submodule of M . Let $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ be such that $abm \in N$, $am \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a prime submodule and $abm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$, then $b \in (M\text{-rad}(N) :_R M)$. So $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$. Consequently N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M . Now assume that M is a finitely generated multiplication module and $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a primary submodule of M , then $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a prime submodule of M , by Proposition 2.5. Therefore N is 2-absorbing primary. \square

In [2, Theorem 1(3)], it was shown that for any faithful multiplication module M not necessary finitely generated, $M\text{-rad}(IM) = \sqrt{IM}$ for any ideal I of R .

Theorem 2.8. *Let M be a (resp. finitely generated faithful multiplication) faithful multiplication R -module. If $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a (resp. primary) prime submodule of M , then N^n is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M for every positive integer $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. Assume that M is a (resp. finitely generated faithful multiplication) faithful multiplication module and $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a (resp. primary) prime submodule of M . There exists an ideal I of R such that $N = IM$. Thus

$$M - \text{rad}(N^n) = \sqrt{I^n}M = M - \text{rad}(N),$$

which is a (resp. primary) prime submodule of M . Hence for every positive integer $n \geq 1$, N^n is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M , by Theorem 2.7. \square

Recall that a commutative ring R with $1 \neq 0$ is called a divided ring if for every prime ideal p of R , we have $p \subseteq xR$ for every $x \in R \setminus p$. Generalizing this idea to modules we say that an R -module M is divided if for every prime submodule N of M , $N \subseteq Rm$ for all $m \in M \setminus N$.

Theorem 2.9. *If M is a divided R -module, then every proper submodule of M is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M . In particular, every proper submodule of a chained module is a 2-absorbing primary submodule.*

Proof. Let N be a proper submodule of M . Since the prime submodules of a divided module are linearly ordered, we conclude that $M\text{-rad}(N)$ is a prime submodule of M . Hence N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M by Theorem 2.7. \square

Remark 2.10. Let $I = (0 :_R M)$ and $R' = R/I$. It is easy to see that N is a 2-absorbing primary R -submodule of M if and only if N is a 2-absorbing primary R' -submodule of M . Also, $(N :_R M)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R if and only if $(N :_{R'} M)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R' .

Theorem 2.11. *Let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of R and M be an R -module. If N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M and $S^{-1}N \neq S^{-1}M$, then $S^{-1}N$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of $S^{-1}M$.*

Proof. If $\frac{a_1 a_2 m}{s_1 s_2 s} \in S^{-1}N$, then $ua_1 a_2 m \in N$ for some $u \in S$. It follows that $ua_1 m \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $ua_2 m \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $a_1 a_2 \in (N :_R M)$, so we conclude that $\frac{a_1 m}{s_1 s} = \frac{ua_1 m}{us_1 s} \in S^{-1}(M\text{-rad}(N)) \subseteq S^{-1}M\text{-rad}(S^{-1}N)$ or $\frac{a_2 m}{s_2 s} = \frac{ua_2 m}{us_2 s} \in S^{-1}M\text{-rad}(S^{-1}N)$ or $\frac{a_1 a_2}{s_1 s_2} = \frac{a_1 a_2}{s_1 s_2} \in S^{-1}(N :_R M) \subseteq (S^{-1}N :_{S^{-1}R} S^{-1}M)$. \square

Theorem 2.12. *Let I be a 2-absorbing primary ideal of a ring R and M a faithful multiplication R -module such that $\text{Ass}_R(M/\sqrt{IM})$ is a totally ordered set. Then $abm \in IM$ implies that $am \in \sqrt{IM}$ or $bm \in \sqrt{IM}$ or $ab \in I$ whenever $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in R$, $m \in M$ and $abm \in IM$. If $(\sqrt{IM} :_R am) = R$ or $(\sqrt{IM} :_R bm) = R$, we are done. Suppose that $(\sqrt{IM} :_R am)$ and $(\sqrt{IM} :_R bm)$ are proper ideals of R . Since $\text{Ass}_R(M/\sqrt{IM})$ is a totally ordered set, $(\sqrt{IM} :_R am) \cup (\sqrt{IM} :_R bm)$ is an ideal of R , and so there is a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} such that $(\sqrt{IM} :_R am) \cup (\sqrt{IM} :_R bm) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. We have $am \notin T_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) := \{m' \in M : (1-x)m' = 0, \text{ for some } x \in \mathfrak{m}\}$, since $am \in T_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ implies that $(1-x)am = 0$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{m}$, thus $(1-x)am \in \sqrt{IM}$ and so $1-x \in (\sqrt{IM} :_R am) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$, a contradiction. So by [17, Theorem 1.2], there are $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $m' \in M$ such that $(1-x)M \subseteq Rm'$. Thus, $(1-x)m = rm'$ some $r \in R$. Moreover, $(1-x)abm = sm'$ for some $s \in I$, because $abm \in IM$. Hence $(abr - s)m' = 0$ and so $(1-x)(abr - s)M \subseteq (abr - s)Rm' = 0$. Thus $(1-x)(abr - s) = 0$, because M is faithful. Therefore, $(1-x)abr = (1-x)s \in I$. Then $(1-x)ar \in \sqrt{I}$ or $(1-x)b \in \sqrt{I}$ or $abr \in I$, since I is 2-absorbing primary. If $(1-x)ar \in \sqrt{I}$, then $(1-x)a \in \sqrt{I}$ or $(1-x)r \in \sqrt{I}$ or $ar \in \sqrt{I}$, because by [11, Theorem 2.2] \sqrt{I} is a 2-absorbing ideal of R . If $(1-x)a \in \sqrt{I}$, then $(1-x)am \in \sqrt{IM}$ and so $1-x \in (\sqrt{IM} :_R am) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ that is a contradiction. If $(1-x)r \in \sqrt{I}$, then $(1-x)^2m = (1-x)rm' \in \sqrt{IM}$ which implies that $(1-x)^2 \in (\sqrt{IM} :_R m) \subseteq (\sqrt{IM} :_R am) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$, a contradiction. Similarly we can see that $(1-x)b \notin \sqrt{I}$. Now, $ar \in \sqrt{I}$ implies that $(1-x)am = arm' \in \sqrt{IM}$ and so $1-x \in (\sqrt{IM} :_R am) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ which is a contradiction. If $abr \in I$, then $ar \in \sqrt{I}$ or $br \in \sqrt{I}$ or $ab \in I$ which the first two cases are impossible, thus $ab \in I$. \square

Let R be a ring with the total quotient ring K . A nonzero ideal I of R is said to be *invertible* if $II^{-1} = R$, where $I^{-1} = \{x \in K \mid xI \subseteq R\}$. The concept of an invertible submodule was introduced in [23] as a generalization of the concept of an invertible ideal. Let M be an R -module and let $S = R \setminus \{0\}$. Then $T = \{t \in S \mid tm = 0 \text{ for some } m \in M \text{ implies } m = 0\}$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of R . Let N be a submodule of M and $N' = \{x \in R_T \mid xN \subseteq M\}$. A submodule N is said to be *invertible in M* , if $N'N = M$, [23]. A nonzero R -module M is called *Dedekind* provided that each nonzero submodule of M is invertible.

We recall from [20] that, a finitely generated torsion-free multiplication module M over a domain R is a Dedekind module if and only if R is a Dedekind domain.

Theorem 2.13. *Let R be a Noetherian domain, M a torsion-free multiplication R -module. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. M is a Dedekind module;
2. If N is a nonzero 2-absorbing primary submodule of M , then either $N = \mathfrak{M}^n$ for some maximal submodule \mathfrak{M} of M and some positive integer $n \geq 1$ or $N = \mathfrak{M}_1^n \mathfrak{M}_2^m$ for some maximal submodules \mathfrak{M}_1 and \mathfrak{M}_2 of M and some positive integers $n, m \geq 1$;
3. If N is a nonzero 2-absorbing primary submodule of M , then either $N = P^n$ for some prime submodule P of M and some positive integer $n \geq 1$ or $N = P_1^n P_2^m$ for some prime submodules P_1 and P_2 of M and some positive integers $n, m \geq 1$.

Proof. By the fact that every multiplication module over a Noetherian ring is a Noetherian module, M is Noetherian and so finitely generated.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Let N be a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M . There exists a proper ideal I of R such that $N = IM$. So $(N :_R M) = I$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R , by Theorem 2.6. Since R is a Dedekind domain, then we have either $I = \mathfrak{m}^n$ for some maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R and some positive integer $n \geq 1$ or $I = \mathfrak{m}_1^n \mathfrak{m}_2^m$ for some maximal ideals \mathfrak{m}_1 and \mathfrak{m}_2 of R and some positive integers $n, m \geq 1$, by [9, Theorem 2.11]. Thus, either $N = \mathfrak{m}^n M = (\mathfrak{m}M)^n$ or $N = (\mathfrak{m}_1 M)^n (\mathfrak{m}_2 M)^m$ as desired.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) is clear.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) It is sufficient to show that R is a Dedekind domain, for this let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal of R . Let I be an ideal of R such that $\mathfrak{m}^2 \subset I \subset \mathfrak{m}$. So $\sqrt{I} = \mathfrak{m}$ and then $M\text{-rad}(IM) = \mathfrak{m}M$, since M is a faithful multiplication R -module. Then IM is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M , Theorem 2.7. By assumption, either $IM = P^n$ for some prime submodule P of M and some positive integer $n \geq 1$ or $IM = P_1^n P_2^m$ for some prime submodules P_1 and P_2 of M and some positive integers $n, m \geq 1$. Now, since M is cancellation, either $I = \mathfrak{p}^n$ for some prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R or $I = \mathfrak{p}_1^n \mathfrak{p}_2^m$ for some prime ideals \mathfrak{p}_1 and \mathfrak{p}_2 of R , which any two cases have a contradiction. Hence there are no ideals properly between \mathfrak{m}^2 and \mathfrak{m} . Consequently R is a Dedekind domain by [19, Theorem 39.2, p. 470]. \square

Proposition 2.14. *Let M be a multiplication R -module and K, N be submodules of M . Then*

1. $\sqrt{(KN :_R M)} = \sqrt{(K :_R M)} \cap \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$.
2. $M\text{-rad}(KN) = M\text{-rad}(K) \cap M\text{-rad}(N)$.
3. $M\text{-rad}(K \cap N) = M\text{-rad}(K) \cap M\text{-rad}(N)$.

Proof. (1) By hypothesis there exist ideals I, J of R such that $K = IM$ and $N = JM$. Now assume $r \in \sqrt{(K :_R M)} \cap \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$. Therefore there exist positive integers m, n such that $r^m M \subseteq IM$ and $r^n M \subseteq JM$. Hence $r^{m+n} M \subseteq r^m JM \subseteq IJM = KN$. So $r \in \sqrt{(KN :_R M)}$. Consequently $\sqrt{(K :_R M)} \cap \sqrt{(N :_R M)} \subseteq \sqrt{(KN :_R M)}$. The other inclusion trivially holds.

(2) By part (1) and [17, Corollary 1.7],

$$\begin{aligned} M - \text{rad}(KN) &= \sqrt{(KN :_R M)}M = (\sqrt{(K :_R M)} \cap \sqrt{(N :_R M)})M \\ &= ([\sqrt{(K :_R M)} + \text{ann}M] \cap [\sqrt{(N :_R M)} + \text{ann}M])M \\ &= \sqrt{(K :_R M)}M \cap \sqrt{(N :_R M)}M \\ &= M - \text{rad}(K) \cap M - \text{rad}(N). \end{aligned}$$

(3) See [1, Theorem 15(3)]. \square

Theorem 2.15. *Let M be a multiplication R -module and N_1, N_2, \dots, N_n be 2-absorbing primary submodules of M with the same M -radical. Then $N = \bigcap_{i=1}^n N_i$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .*

Proof. Notice that $M\text{-rad}(N) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n M\text{-rad}(N_i)$, by Proposition 2.14. Suppose that $abm \in N$ for some $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ and $ab \notin (N :_R M)$. Then $ab \notin (N_i :_R M)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence $am \in M\text{-rad}(N_i)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N_i)$. \square

Lemma 2.16. *Let M be an R -module and N a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M . Suppose that $abK \subseteq N$ for some elements $a, b \in R$ and some submodule K of M . If $ab \notin (N :_R M)$, then $aK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $aK \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $bK \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Then $ak_1 \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $bk_2 \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$ for some $k_1, k_2 \in K$. Since $abk_1 \in N$ and $ab \notin (N :_R M)$ and $ak_1 \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$, we have $bk_1 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $abk_2 \in N$ and $ab \notin (N :_R M)$ and $bk_2 \notin M\text{-rad}(N)$, we have $ak_2 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$. Now, since $ab(k_1 + k_2) \in N$ and $ab \notin (N :_R M)$, we have $a(k_1 + k_2) \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $b(k_1 + k_2) \in M\text{-rad}(N)$. Suppose that $a(k_1 + k_2) = ak_1 + ak_2 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $ak_2 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$, we have $ak_1 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$, a contradiction. Suppose that $b(k_1 + k_2) = bk_1 + bk_2 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $bk_1 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$, we have $bk_2 \in M\text{-rad}(N)$, a contradiction again. Thus $aK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bK \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. \square

The following theorem offers a characterization of 2-absorbing primary submodules.

Theorem 2.17. *Let M be an R -module and N be a proper submodule of M . The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M ;
2. If $I_1I_2K \subseteq N$ for some ideals I_1, I_2 of R and some submodule K of M , then either $I_1I_2 \subseteq (N :_R M)$ or $I_1K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $I_2K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$;
3. If $N_1N_2N_3 \subseteq N$ for some submodules N_1, N_2 and N_3 of M , then either $N_1N_2 \subseteq N$ or $N_1N_3 \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $N_2N_3 \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M and $I_1I_2K \subseteq N$ for some ideals I_1, I_2 of R and some submodule K of M and $I_1I_2 \not\subseteq (N :_R M)$. We show that $I_1K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $I_2K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Suppose that $I_1K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $I_2K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Then there are $a_1 \in I_1$ and $a_2 \in I_2$ such that $a_1K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $a_2K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $a_1a_2K \subseteq N$ and neither $a_1K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ nor $a_2K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we have $a_1a_2 \in (N :_R M)$ by Lemma 2.16.

Since $I_1I_2 \not\subseteq (N :_R M)$, we have $b_1b_2 \notin (N :_R M)$ for some $b_1 \in I_1$ and $b_2 \in I_2$. Since $b_1b_2K \subseteq N$ and $b_1b_2 \notin (N :_R M)$, we have $b_1K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $b_2K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ by Lemma 2.16. We consider three cases.

Case 1. Suppose that $b_1K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ but $b_2K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $a_1b_2K \subseteq N$ and neither $b_2K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ nor $a_1K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we conclude that $a_1b_2 \in (N :_R M)$ by Lemma 2.16. Since $b_1K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ but $a_1K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we conclude that $(a_1 + b_1)K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $(a_1 + b_1)b_2K \subseteq N$ and neither $b_2K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ nor $(a_1 + b_1)K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we conclude that $(a_1 + b_1)b_2 \in (N :_R M)$ by Lemma 2.16. Since $(a_1 + b_1)b_2 = a_1b_2 + b_1b_2 \in (N :_R M)$ and $a_1b_2 \in (N :_R M)$, we conclude that $b_1b_2 \in (N :_R M)$, a contradiction.

Case 2. Suppose that $b_2K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ but $b_1K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Similar to the previous case we reach to a contradiction.

Case 3. Suppose that $b_1K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $b_2K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $b_2K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $a_2K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we conclude that $(a_2 + b_2)K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $a_1(a_2 + b_2)K \subseteq N$ and neither $a_1K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ nor $(a_2 + b_2)K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we conclude that $a_1(a_2 + b_2) = a_1a_2 + a_1b_2 \in (N :_R M)$ by Lemma 2.16. Since $a_1a_2 \in (N :_R M)$ and $a_1a_2 + a_1b_2 \in (N :_R M)$, we conclude that $a_1b_2 \in (N :_R M)$. Since $b_1K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ and $a_1K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we conclude that $(a_1 + b_1)K \not\subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. Since $(a_1 + b_1)a_2K \subseteq N$ and neither $a_2K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ nor $(a_1 + b_1)K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we conclude that $(a_1 + b_1)a_2 = a_1a_2 + b_1a_2 \in (N :_R M)$ by Lemma 2.16. Since $a_1a_2 \in (N :_R M)$ and $a_1a_2 + b_1a_2 \in (N :_R M)$, we conclude that $b_1a_2 \in (N :_R M)$. Now, since $(a_1 + b_1)(a_2 + b_2)K \subseteq N$ and neither $(a_1 + b_1)K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ nor $(a_2 + b_2)K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, we conclude that

$(a_1 + b_1)(a_2 + b_2) = a_1a_2 + a_1b_2 + b_1a_2 + b_1b_2 \in (N :_R M)$ by Lemma 2.16. Since $a_1a_2, a_1b_2, b_1a_2 \in (N :_R M)$, we have $b_1b_2 \in (N :_R M)$, a contradiction. Consequently $I_1K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $I_2K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) is trivial.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $N_1N_2N_3 \subseteq N$ for some submodules N_1, N_2 and N_3 of M such that $N_1N_2 \not\subseteq N$. Since M is multiplication, there are ideals I_1, I_2 of R such that $N_1 = I_1M, N_2 = I_2M$. Clearly $I_1I_2N_3 \subseteq N$ and $I_1I_2 \not\subseteq (N :_R M)$. Therefore $I_1N_3 \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $I_2N_3 \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$, which implies that $N_1N_3 \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $N_2N_3 \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that $I_1I_2K \subseteq N$ for some ideals I_1, I_2 of R and some submodule K of M . It is sufficient to set $N_1 := I_1M, N_2 := I_2M$ and $N_3 = K$ in part (3). \square

Theorem 2.18. *Let M be a multiplication R -module and N a submodule of M . If $(N :_R M)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R , then N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .*

Proof. Let $I_1I_2K \subseteq N$ for some ideals I_1, I_2 of R and some submodule K of M . Since M is multiplication, then there is an ideal I_3 of R such that $K = I_3M$. Hence $I_1I_2I_3 \subseteq (N :_R M)$ which implies that either $I_1I_2 \subseteq (N :_R M)$ or $I_1I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$ or $I_2I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$, by [11, Theorem 2.19]. If $I_1I_2 \subseteq (N :_R M)$, then we are done. So, suppose that $I_1I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$. Thus $I_1I_3M = I_1K \subseteq \sqrt{(N :_R M)M} = M\text{-rad}(N)$. Similarly if $I_2I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{(N :_R M)}$, then we have $I_2K \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$. It completes the proof, by Theorem 2.17. \square

The following example shows that Theorem 2.18 is not satisfied in general.

Example 2.19. Consider the \mathbb{Z} -module $M = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $N = 6\mathbb{Z} \times 0$ a submodule of M . Observe that $\mathbb{Z} \times 0, 2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ are some of the prime submodules of M containing N . Also $(N :_{\mathbb{Z}} M) = 0$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of \mathbb{Z} . On the other hand, since $2 \cdot 3 \cdot (1, 0) = (6, 0) \in N, 2 \cdot 3 \notin (N :_{\mathbb{Z}} M), 2 \cdot (1, 0) = (2, 0) \notin M\text{-rad}(N) \subseteq (\mathbb{Z} \times 0) \cap (2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) \cap (3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) = 6\mathbb{Z} \times 0 = N$ and $3 \cdot (1, 0) = (3, 0) \notin M\text{-rad}(N) = N$, so N is not a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .

Theorem 2.20. *Let M be a multiplication R -module and N_1 and N_2 be primary submodules of M . Then $N_1 \cap N_2$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M . If in addition M is finitely generated faithful, then N_1N_2 is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .*

Proof. Since N_1 and N_2 are primary submodules of M , then $(N_1 :_R M)$ and $(N_2 :_R M)$ are primary ideals of R . Hence $(N_1 :_R M)(N_2 :_R M)$ and $(N_1 \cap N_2 :_R M) = (N_1 :_R M) \cap (N_2 :_R M)$ are 2-absorbing primary ideals

of R , by [11, Theorem 2.4]. Therefore, Theorem 2.18 implies that $N_1 \cap N_2$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M . If M is a finitely generated faithful multiplication R -module, then $(N_1 N_2 :_R M) = (N_1 :_R M)(N_2 :_R M)$. So, again by Theorem 2.18 we deduce that $N_1 N_2$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M . \square

Let M be a multiplication R -module and N a primary submodule of M . We know that $\sqrt{(N :_R M)}$ is a prime ideal of R and so $P = M\text{-rad}(N) = \sqrt{(N :_R M)}M$ is a prime submodule of M . In this case we say that N is a P -primary submodule of M .

Corollary 2.21. *Let M be a multiplication R -module and P_1 and P_2 be prime submodules of M . Suppose that P_1^n is a P_1 -primary submodule of M for some positive integer $n \geq 1$ and P_2^m is a P_2 -primary submodule of M for some positive integer $m \geq 1$.*

1. $P_1^n \cap P_2^m$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .
2. If in addition M is finitely generated faithful, then $P_1^n P_2^m$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .

Theorem 2.22. *Let M be a multiplication R -module and N be a submodule of M that has a primary decomposition. If $M\text{-rad}(N) = \mathfrak{M}_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}_2$ where \mathfrak{M}_1 and \mathfrak{M}_2 are two maximal submodules of M , then N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .*

Proof. Assume that $N = N_1 \cap \cdots \cap N_n$ is a primary decomposition. By Proposition 2.14(3), $M\text{-rad}(N) = M\text{-rad}(N_1) \cap \cdots \cap M\text{-rad}(N_n) = \mathfrak{M}_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}_2$. Since $M\text{-rad}(N_i)$'s are prime submodules of M , then $\{M\text{-rad}(N_1), \dots, M\text{-rad}(N_n)\} = \{\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2\}$, by [3, Theorem 3.16]. Without loss of generality we may assume that for some $1 \leq t < n$, $\{M\text{-rad}(N_1), \dots, M\text{-rad}(N_t)\} = \{\mathfrak{M}_1\}$ and $\{M\text{-rad}(N_{t+1}), \dots, M\text{-rad}(N_n)\} = \{\mathfrak{M}_2\}$. Set $K_1 := N_1 \cap \cdots \cap N_t$ and $K_2 := N_{t+1} \cap \cdots \cap N_n$. By [8, Lemma 1.2.2], K_1 is an \mathfrak{M}_1 -primary submodule and K_2 is an \mathfrak{M}_2 -primary submodule of M . Therefore, by Theorem 2.20, $N = K_1 \cap K_2$ is 2-absorbing primary. \square

Lemma 2.23. ([22, Corollary 1.3]) *Let M and M' be R -modules with $f : M \rightarrow M'$ an R -module epimorphism. If N is a submodule of M containing $\text{Ker}(f)$, then $f(M\text{-rad}(N)) = M'\text{-rad}(f(N))$.*

Theorem 2.24. *Let $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be a homomorphism of R -modules.*

1. If N' is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M' , then $f^{-1}(N')$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .

2. If f is epimorphism and N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M containing $\text{Ker}(f)$, then $f(N)$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M' .

Proof. (1) Let $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ such that $abm \in f^{-1}(N')$. Then $abf(m) \in N'$. Hence $ab \in (N' :_R M')$ or $af(m) \in M'\text{-rad}(N')$ or $bf(m) \in M'\text{-rad}(N')$, and thus $ab \in (f^{-1}(N') :_R M)$ or $am \in f^{-1}(M'\text{-rad}(N'))$ or $bm \in f^{-1}(M'\text{-rad}(N'))$. By using the inclusion $f^{-1}(M'\text{-rad}(N')) \subseteq M\text{-rad}(f^{-1}(N'))$, we conclude that $f^{-1}(N')$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .

(2) Let $a, b \in R$, $m' \in M'$ and $abm' \in f(N)$. By assumption there exists $m \in M$ such that $m' = f(m)$ and so $f(abm) \in f(N)$. Since $\text{Ker}(f) \subseteq N$, we have $abm \in N$. It implies that $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$. Hence $ab \in (f(N) :_R M')$ or $am' \in f(M\text{-rad}(N)) = M'\text{-rad}(f(N))$ or $bm' \in f(M\text{-rad}(N)) = M'\text{-rad}(f(N))$. Consequently $f(N)$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M' . \square

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.24(2) we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.25. *Let M be an R -module and $L \subseteq N$ be submodules of M . If N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M , then N/L is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M/L .*

Theorem 2.26. *Let K and N be submodules of M with $K \subset N \subset M$. If K is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M and N/K is a weakly 2-absorbing primary submodule of M/K , then N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .*

Proof. Let $a, b \in R$, $m \in M$ and $abm \in N$. If $abm \in K$, then $am \in M\text{-rad}(K) \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(K) \subseteq M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $ab \in (K :_R M) \subseteq (N :_R M)$ as it is needed.

So suppose that $abm \notin K$. Then $0 \neq ab(m + K) \in N/K$ that implies, $a(m + K) \in M/K\text{-rad}(N/K) = \frac{M\text{-rad}(N)}{K}$ or $b(m + K) \in M/K\text{-rad}(N/K)$ or $ab \in (N/K :_R M/K)$. It means that $am \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $bm \in M\text{-rad}(N)$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$, which completes the proof. \square

Let R_i be a commutative ring with identity and M_i be an R_i -module, for $i = 1, 2$. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$. Then $M = M_1 \times M_2$ is an R -module and each submodule of M is of the form $N = N_1 \times N_2$ for some submodules N_1 of M_1 and N_2 of M_2 . In addition, if M_i is a multiplication R_i -module, for $i = 1, 2$, then M is a multiplication R -module. In this case, for each submodule $N = N_1 \times N_2$ of M we have $M\text{-rad}(N) = M_1\text{-rad}(N_1) \times M_2\text{-rad}(N_2)$.

Theorem 2.27. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ and $M = M_1 \times M_2$ where M_1 is a multiplication R_1 -module and M_2 is a multiplication R_2 -module.*

1. *A proper submodule K_1 of M_1 is a 2-absorbing primary submodule if and only if $N = K_1 \times M_2$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .*
2. *A proper submodule K_2 of M_2 is a 2-absorbing primary submodule if and only if $N = M_1 \times K_2$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .*
3. *If K_1 is a primary submodule of M_1 and K_2 is a primary submodule of M_2 , then $N = K_1 \times K_2$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .*

Proof. (1) Suppose that $N = K_1 \times M_2$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M . From our hypothesis, N is proper, so $K_1 \neq M_1$. Set $M' = \frac{M}{\{0\} \times M_2}$. Hence $N' = \frac{N}{\{0\} \times M_2}$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M' by Corollary 2.25. Also observe that $M' \cong M_1$ and $N' \cong K_1$. Thus K_1 is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M_1 . Conversely, if K_1 is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M_1 , then it is clear that $N = K_1 \times M_2$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .

(2) It can be easily verified similar to (1).

(3) Assume that $N = K_1 \times K_2$ where K_1 and K_2 are primary submodules of M_1 and M_2 , respectively. Hence $(K_1 \times M_2) \cap (M_1 \times K_2) = K_1 \times K_2 = N$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M , by parts (1) and (2) and Theorem 2.20. \square

Theorem 2.28. *Let $R = R_1 \times R_2$ and $M = M_1 \times M_2$ be a finitely generated multiplication R -module where M_1 is a multiplication R_1 -module and M_2 is a multiplication R_2 -module. If $N = N_1 \times N_2$ is a proper submodule of M , then the followings are equivalent.*

1. *N is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M .*
2. *$N_1 = M_1$ and N_2 is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M_2 or $N_2 = M_2$ and N_1 is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M_1 or N_1, N_2 are primary submodules of M_1, M_2 , respectively.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Suppose that $N = N_1 \times N_2$ is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M . Then $(N : M) = (N_1 : M_1) \times (N_2 : M_2)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of $R = R_1 \times R_2$ by Theorem 2.6. From Theorem 2.3 in [11], we have $(N_1 : M_1) = R_1$ and $(N_2 : M_2)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R_2 or $(N_2 : M_2) = R_2$ and $(N_1 : M_1)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R_1 or $(N_1 : M_1)$ and $(N_2 : M_2)$ are primary ideals of R_1, R_2 , respectively. Assume that $(N_1 : M_1) = R_1$ and $(N_2 : M_2)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R_2 .

Thus $N_1 = M_1$ and N_2 is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M_2 by Theorem 2.18. Similarly if $(N_2 : M_2) = R_2$ and $(N_1 : M_1)$ is a 2-absorbing primary ideal of R_1 , then $N_2 = M_2$ and N_1 is a 2-absorbing primary submodule of M . And if the last case hold, then clearly we conclude that N_1, N_2 are primary submodules of M_1, M_2 , respectively.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) It is clear from Theorem 2.27. \square

References

- [1] M. M. Ali, *Idempotent and nilpotent submodules of multiplication modules*, Comm. Algebra, **36** (2008), 4620–4642.
- [2] M. M. Ali, *Invertibility of Multiplication Modules III*, New Zeland J. Math., **39** (2009), 193-213.
- [3] R. Ameri, *On the prime submodules of multiplication modules*, Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci., **27** (2003), 1715–1724.
- [4] D. D. Anderson and M. Batanieh, *Generalizations of prime ideals*, Comm. Algebra, **36** (2008), 686–696.
- [5] D. D. Anderson and E. Smith, *Weakly prime ideals*, Houston J. Math., **29** (2003), 831–840.
- [6] D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi, *On n -absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Comm. Algebra, **39** (2011), 1646–1672.
- [7] F. Anderson and K. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*. New-York: Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [8] R. B. Ash, *A course in commutative algebra*. University of Illinois, 2006.
- [9] A. Badawi, *On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **75** (2007), 417–429.
- [10] A. Badawi and A. Yousefian Darani, *On weakly 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Houston J. Math., **39** (2013), 441–452.
- [11] A. Badawi, Ü. Tekir and E. Yetkin, *On 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **51** (4) (2014), 1163–1173.
- [12] A. Barnard, *Multiplication modules*, J. Algebra, **71** (1981), 174–178.
- [13] M. Behboodi and H. Koochi, *Weakly prime modules*, Vietnam J. Math., **32** (2) (2004), 185–195.

- [14] S. M. Bhatwadekar and P. K. Sharma, *Unique factorization and birth of almost primes*, Comm. Algebra, **33** (2005), 43–49.
- [15] S. Ebrahimi Atani, F. Çallıalp and Ü. Tekir, *A Short note on the primary submodules of multiplication modules*, Inter. J. Algebra, **8** (1) (2007), 381–384.
- [16] S. Ebrahimi Atani and F. Farzalipour, *On weakly prime submodules*, Tamk. J. Math., **38** (3) (2007), 247–252.
- [17] Z. A. El-Bast and P. F. Smith, *Multiplication modules*, Comm. Algebra, **16** (1988), 755–779.
- [18] C. Faith, *Algebra: Rings, modules and categories I*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York 1973.
- [19] R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative ideal theory*. Queens Papers Pure Appl. Math. 90, Queens University, Kingston (1992).
- [20] M. Khoramdel and S. Dolati Pish Hesari, *Some notes on Dedekind modules*, Hacettepe J. Math. Stat., **40** (2011), 627–634.
- [21] R. L. McCasland and M. E. Moore, *On radicals of submodules of finitely generated modules*, Canad. Math. Bull., **29** (1986), 37–39.
- [22] R. L. McCasland and M. E. Moore, *Radicals of submodules*, Comm. Algebra, **19** (1991), 1327–1341.
- [23] A. G. Naoum and F. H. Al-Alwan, *Dedekind modules*, Comm. Algebra, **24** (2)(1996), 397–412.
- [24] Sh. Payrovi and S. Babaei, *On 2-absorbing submodules*, Algebra Colloq., **19** (2012), 913–920.
- [25] P. F. Smith, *Some remarks on multiplication modules*, Arch. Math., **50** (1988), 223–235.
- [26] A. Yousefian Darani and F. Soheilnia, *On 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing submodules*, Thai J. Math., **9** (2011), 577–584
- [27] A. Yousefian Darani and F. Soheilnia, *On n-absorbing submodules*, Math. Commun., **17** (2012), 547–557.

Hojjat Mostafanasab,
Department of Mathematics and Applications,
University of Mohaghegh Ardabili,
P. O. Box 179, Ardabil, Iran.
Email: h.mostafanasab@uma.ac.ir

Ece Yetkin,
Department of Mathematics,
Marmara University,
Ziverbey, Goztepe, Istanbul 34722, Turkey.
Email: ece.yetkin@marmara.edu.tr

Ünsal Tekir,
Department of Mathematics,
Marmara University,
Ziverbey, Goztepe, Istanbul 34722, Turkey.
Email: utekir@marmara.edu.tr

Ahmad Yousefian Darani,
Department of Mathematics and Applications,
University of Mohaghegh Ardabili,
P. O. Box 179, Ardabil, Iran.
Email: yousefian@uma.ac.ir

