



## Reduced diophantine quadruples with the binary recurrence $G_n = AG_{n-1} - G_{n-2}$

Murat ALP, Nurettin IRMAK and László SZALAY \*

### Abstract

Given a positive integer  $A \neq 2$ . In this paper, we show that there do not exist two positive integer pairs  $\{a, b\} \neq \{c, d\}$  such that the values of  $ac + 1$ ,  $ad + 1$  and  $bc + 1$ ,  $bd + 1$  are the terms of the sequence  $\{G_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  which satisfies the recurrence relation  $G_n = AG_{n-1} - G_{n-2}$  with the initial values  $G_0 = 0$ ,  $G_1 = 1$ .

### 1 Introduction

A diophantine  $m$ -tuple is a set  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$  of positive integers such that  $a_i a_j + 1$  is a square for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ . This problem and its variations have an extensive history starting with Diophantus. He found such a but rational set:  $\{1/16, 33/16, 68/16, 105/16\}$ . Fermat was the first who could give an integer quadruple, namely the set  $\{1, 3, 8, 120\}$ .

It is well-known that infinitely many integer diophantine quadruples exist (see, for instance [6]). A widely believed conjecture foreshadows that no quintuple exists. The famous theorem of Dujella [4] states that there are only finitely many quintuples.

A variant of the problem is obtained if one replaces the squares by the terms of a given binary recurrence. For details, see articles [5], [8], [9] and

---

Key Words: Reduced diophantine quadruples, binary recurrences.  
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11D72; Secondary 11B39.  
Received: September, 2013.  
Revised: June, 2014.  
Accepted: June, 2014.

\*This paper was written when the third author visited Mathematics Department of Art and Science Faculty, Niğde University. He would like to express his gratitude TÜBİTAK for the financial support and Mathematics Department for the kind and warm hospitality.

[1]. The first cited paper investigates a general case and provides sufficient and necessary conditions to be finitely many diophantine triples with terms of the binary recurrent sequence. But the arguments in [5] give no hint how to find the triples themselves. The other cited papers describe methods to determine all diophantine triples for Fibonacci, Lucas and balancing numbers, respectively.

This work follows the treatment of the above results, but there is an essential difference, the binary recurrence we investigate here contains a positive integer parameter  $A$ . That happened also in [7], where we needed to include a new, additional idea to show that there is no positive integer triple  $\{a, b, c\}$  such that all of  $ab + 1$ ,  $ac + 1$  and  $bc + 1$  are in the sequence  $\{G_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies the relation

$$G_n = AG_{n-1} - G_{n-2} \tag{1.1}$$

with the initial values  $G_0 = 0$ ,  $G_1 = 1$ . Further, we investigated there the analogous question for the quadruples  $\{a, b, c, d\}$  with  $abc + 1 = G_w$ ,  $bcd + 1 = G_x$ ,  $cda + 1 = G_y$  and  $dab + 1 = G_z$ , and deduced the non-existence of such quadruples.

In this paper, the following question linked to reduced quadruples will be solved. Are there two integer pairs  $\{a, b\}$  and  $\{c, d\}$  such that  $ac + 1$ ,  $ad + 1$  and  $bc + 1$ ,  $bd + 1$  are in the sequence  $\{G\}$ ? Note that this kind of reduced quadruples were examined for higher power of integers in [2].

The Binet formula

$$G_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}$$

gives  $G_n$  explicitly, where

$$\alpha = \frac{A + \sqrt{A^2 - 4}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{A - \sqrt{A^2 - 4}}{2}.$$

We define  $\{H_n\}$  as the associated sequence of  $\{G_n\}$  by the usual manner. The recurrence relation for  $\{G_n\}$  and  $\{H_n\}$  coincide, but the initial conditions in the second case are  $H_0 = 2$  and  $H_1 = A$ . Obviously, both  $\{G_n\}$  and  $\{H_n\}$  are strictly monotone increasing sequences if  $A \geq 3$ .

The main result of this work is the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $A \neq 2$  is a positive integer. Then there do not exist sets  $\{a, b\} \neq \{c, d\}$  of positive integers such that*

$$\begin{aligned} ac + 1 &= G_w, \\ ad + 1 &= G_x, \\ bc + 1 &= G_y, \\ bd + 1 &= G_z \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

hold for the positive integers  $w, x, y$  and  $z$ .

Observe that  $A = 2$  would admit the sequence  $\{G_n\}$  as the sequence of natural numbers and in this case, trivially, system (1.2) is satisfied by arbitrary  $a, b$  and  $c, d$ . Further the case  $A = 1$  provides the periodic sequence  $G_n = 0, 1, 1, 0, -1, -1, \dots$ . Hence (1.2) cannot be fulfilled with  $A = 1$ . Thus, in the sequel, we assume  $A \geq 3$ .

Prescribing the more strict conditions  $1 \leq a < c < b < d$  and changing the order of the equations in system (1.2) we arrive at the so called cyclic variant with length 4 of the problem:

$$\begin{aligned} ac + 1 &= G_w, \\ cb + 1 &= G_y, \\ bd + 1 &= G_z, \\ da + 1 &= G_x. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Clearly, Theorem 1 immediately implies

**Corollary 1.** *There is no solution to system (1.3) in positive integers  $a < c < b < d$ .*

Note that the auxiliary results we use in the proof of Theorem 1 are located in the last section.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Recall that  $A \geq 3$  is a positive integer, and suppose that  $1 \leq a < b$  and  $1 \leq c < d$  satisfy (1.2) with some positive integers  $w, x, y$  and  $z$ . Consequently,  $w$  is the smallest,  $z$  is the largest subscript in (1.2). Then,  $1 \cdot 1 + 1 \leq ac + 1 = G_w$  implies  $w \geq 2$ . Subsequently,  $x \geq 3$ .

Moreover, there is no restriction in assuming  $b < d$ . Indeed, if  $b = d$  holds then we have  $a \neq c$ ,  $b \neq c$  and system (1.2) contains  $ab + 1$ ,  $ac + 1$  and  $bc + 1$  generated by the triple  $\{a, b, c\}$ , and according to Theorem 1 of [7] it is not possible. Now we split the proof into two parts.

**Case 1.**  $z \leq 138$

In this case, we show that there is an upper bound for the coefficient  $A$  of the sequence  $\{G_n\}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If there exist a solution to system (1.2) then  $A \leq A_0$  with a suitable  $A_0 \in \mathbb{N}^+$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly, the terms of the sequence  $\{G_n\}$  are monic polynomials in  $A$  with  $\deg(G_n(A)) = n - 1$  ( $n \geq 1$ ), the first few terms are  $G_0(A) = 0$ ,  $G_1(A) = 1$  and

$$G_2(A) = A, \quad G_3(A) = A^2 - 1, \quad G_4(A) = A^3 - 2A, \quad \dots$$

Then, by (1.2)

$$ac = \sqrt{\frac{(G_w(A) - 1)(G_x(A) - 1)(G_y(A) - 1)}{G_z(A) - 1}} \quad (2.1)$$

must be integer for some  $A$ . If we divide the numerator in (2.1) by  $G_z(A) - 1$ , then we obtain polynomials  $q(A) \in \mathbb{Z}[A]$  and  $r(A) \in \mathbb{Z}[A]$  such that

$$(G_w(A) - 1)(G_x(A) - 1)(G_y(A) - 1) = q(A) \cdot (G_z(A) - 1) + r(A),$$

where  $\deg(r(A)) < \deg(G_z(A))$ .

Checking the eligible possibilities for  $w, x, y$  and  $z$  ( $2 \leq w < x, y < z \leq 138$ ) by computer, we observe that  $r(A)$  is never the constant zero polynomial, further  $r(A) \neq 0$  for  $A \geq 3$ . Hence

$$\frac{(G_w(A) - 1)(G_x(A) - 1)(G_y(A) - 1)}{(G_z(A) - 1)} = q(A) + \frac{r(A)}{G_z(A) - 1} \quad (2.2)$$

follows, with non-vanishing fraction on the right hand side. If for some  $A$  the left hand side of the equation (2.2) is an integer, then by  $q(A) \in \mathbb{N}$  we deduce that

$$\frac{r(A)}{G_z(A) - 1}$$

is so. But  $\deg(r(A)) < \deg(G_z(A))$ , so  $A$  cannot be large since

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r(A)}{G_z(A) - 1} = 0.$$

Consequently,  $|r(A)| \geq G_z(A) - 1$  must hold since  $r(A) \neq 0$  and  $\frac{r(A)}{G_z(A) - 1}$  is an integer, which proves  $A \leq A_0$  with some positive integer  $A_0$ . To obtain the exact upper bound, we run a computer search with the conditions  $2 \leq w < x, y < z \leq 138$ , and we found that  $A_0 = 2$ .  $\square$

Then, by Lemma 1 we obtain immediately that there is no solution to system (1.2) in the first case.

**Case 2.**  $z \geq 139$

Put  $P = \gcd(G_z - 1, G_x - 1)$ . Obviously,  $d \leq P$ . By Lemma 2 (3) and (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P &\leq \gcd(G_{z-1}G_{z+1}, G_{x-1}G_{x+1}) \\ &\leq \prod_{i,j \in \{\pm 1\}} \gcd(G_{z-i}, G_{x-j}) = \prod_{i,j \in \{\pm 1\}} G_{\gcd(z-i, x-j)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Let say that  $\gcd(z-i, x-j) = (z-i)/k_{ij}$  is valid for some positive integer  $k_{ij}$ .

Firstly, assume that  $k_{ij} \geq 8$ , hold for all  $i, j \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Then Lemma (3) implies that

$$\alpha^{\frac{z-1}{2}} < \sqrt{G_z} < d \leq P \leq G_{\frac{z-1}{8}}^2 G_{\frac{z+1}{8}}^2 < \alpha^{4(\frac{z+1}{8}-0.83)}. \quad (2.4)$$

If we compare the exponents of  $\alpha$  in (2.4), we arrive at a contradiction.

In what follows, assume that  $k_{ij} \leq 7$  appears for some  $i$  and  $j$ . Let  $k$  denote this  $k_{ij}$ . Further suppose that

$$\frac{z-i}{k} = \frac{x-j}{\ell}$$

holds for a suitable positive integer  $\ell$  such that  $\gcd(k, \ell) = 1$ .

Now, we investigate three separated cases related to  $k$  and  $\ell$ . Firstly, assume that  $\ell > k$ . Then  $z-i < x-j$  implies  $z = x+1$  via  $x < z$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{\frac{z-1}{2}} &< P = \gcd(G_x - 1, G_{x+1} - 1) \\ &\leq \gcd(G_{x+1}G_{x-1}, G_xG_{x+2}) \\ &= \gcd(G_{x-1}, G_{x+2}) \leq G_3 < \alpha^{2.17}, \end{aligned}$$

lead to the contradiction  $z < 5.4$ .

Now assume  $\ell = k$ . Since  $k$  and  $\ell$  are coprimes,  $k = \ell = 1$  follows. By  $z-i = x-j$ , we obtain  $z = x+2$ . According to Lemma 5,

$$\alpha^{\frac{z-1}{2}} < P = \gcd(G_{x+2} - 1, G_x - 1) < 2(A^2 - 2)$$

hold, which together with Lemma 4 is a contradiction again by  $z < 7.1$ .

Lastly, assume that  $\ell < k$ . We distinguish two cases. Analyse first when the condition  $2 \leq \frac{k}{\ell}$  fulfils. Here

$$z = \frac{k}{\ell}(x-j) + i \geq 2(x-1) - 1 = 2x - 3,$$

which, together with Lemma (8) implies one of the following three possibilities:  
 $z = 2x - 3$ ,  $z = 2x - 2$  and  $z = 2x - 1$ .

If  $z = 2x - 3$  then, according to Lemma (6),

$$\alpha^{\frac{z-1}{2}} < P = \gcd(G_x - 1, G_{2x-3} - 1) < \alpha^{5.7}$$

is valid. But, this is not possible.

Now, assume that  $z = 2x - 2$ . Then by Lemma 7, it follows that

$$\alpha^{\frac{z-1}{2}} < P = \gcd(G_x - 1, G_{2x-2} - 1) < \alpha^{6.4}$$

which is impossible since  $z \geq 139$ .

When  $z = 2x - 1$  holds, then we get

$$\alpha^{x-1.17} = \frac{\alpha^{2x-2}}{\alpha^{x-0.83}} < \frac{G_{2x-1}}{G_x} = \frac{bd+1}{ad+1} < \frac{b}{a},$$

and

$$a^2 \alpha^{x-1.17} < ab = G_w - 1 < G_w < \alpha^{w-0.83}$$

follow. Thus

$$a^2 < \alpha^{w-x+0.34} \leq \alpha^{-0.66}$$

mean again a contradiction.

Finally assume that  $\frac{k}{\ell} < 2$ . Note that it implies  $k \geq 3$ . Taking any pair  $(i_0, j_0) \neq (i, j)$ , we have

$$z - i_0 = \frac{k}{\ell} (x - j) + i - i_0.$$

Now the goal is to calculate the best upper bound for  $P_0 = \gcd(z - i_0, v - j_0)$ . Starting with

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 &= \gcd\left(\frac{k}{\ell}(x - j) + i - i_0, x - j_0\right) \\ &\leq \gcd(k(x - j) + \ell(i - i_0), k(x - j_0)) = |k(j_0 - j) + \ell(i - i_0)|, \end{aligned}$$

we need to consider the last expression. The three cases  $j \neq j_0, i \neq i_0$  and  $j \neq j_0, i = i_0$  and  $j = j_0, i \neq i_0$  give  $P_0 \leq 2(k + \ell), 2k, 2\ell$ , respectively. Then using inequality (2.3), it yields

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{\frac{z-1}{2}} \leq P &= \gcd(G_x - 1, G_z - 1) < \prod_{i,j \in \{\pm 1\}} G_{\gcd(z-i, x-j)} \\ &\leq \alpha^{\frac{z+1}{k} + 2(k+\ell) + 2k + 2\ell - 4 \cdot 0.83}. \end{aligned}$$

Going through the eligible pairs

$$(k, \ell) = (3, 2), (4, 3), (5, 3), (5, 4), (6, 5), (7, 4), (7, 5), (7, 6),$$

the previous argument provides the upper bounds

$$z < 105.1, 101.8, 98, 111.3, 124.1, 115.8, 127, 138.2,$$

respectively. The assertion of the second part of the proof contradicts any of these upper bounds. Thus the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

### 3 Lemmata

In the proof of Theorem 1, we needed some lemmata. Apart from the last lemma of the list, and in part from Lemma 2, the proofs of them can be found in [7]. In Lemma 2, the first two identities are known from [3]. Further, paper [11] contains (3), the remaining two properties are also in [7].

**Lemma 2.** *Assume that  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the following identities hold.*

1.  $\gcd(G_n, G_m) = G_{\gcd(n, m)}$ ,
2.  $\gcd(G_n, H_m) = 1$  or  $2$  or  $H_{\gcd(n, m)}$ , especially  $\gcd(G_n, H_n) = 1$  or  $2$ ,
3.  $(G_n - 1)(G_n + 1) = G_{n-1}G_{n+1}$ ,
4.  $G_{2n+1} - 1 = G_n H_{n+1}$ ,
5.  $2G_{n+m} = G_n H_m + H_n G_m$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose that  $A \geq 3$ . Then for all integers  $n \geq 3$ , the inequalities*

$$\alpha^{n-1} < G_n < \alpha^{n-0.83} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\alpha^n < H_n < \alpha^{n+0.004} \tag{3.2}$$

hold.

**Lemma 4.** *Suppose  $A \geq 3$ . Then  $\log_\alpha(2(A^2 - 2)) < 3.1$ .*

**Lemma 5.** *Assume that  $n \geq 3$  and  $A \geq 3$  are integers. Then*

$$\gcd(G_n - 1, G_{n-2} - 1) \leq 2(A^2 - 2).$$

**Lemma 6.** *Any integer  $n \geq 2$  satisfies*

$$\gcd(G_{2n-3} - 1, G_n - 1) < \alpha^{5.7}$$

**Lemma 7.** *Any integer  $n \geq 2$  satisfies*

$$\gcd(G_{2n-2} - 1, G_n - 1) < \alpha^{6.4}$$

**Lemma 8.** *All positive solutions to the system (1.2) satisfy  $z \leq 2x - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Considering the second and fourth equations of the system (1.2) we have

$$d \mid \gcd(G_x - 1, G_z - 1).$$

Moreover  $G_z = bd + 1 < d^2$ , therefore  $\sqrt{G_z} < d$  holds. By (3.1), we obtain

$$\sqrt{\alpha^{z-1}} < \sqrt{G_z} < d < G_x < \alpha^{x-0.83}, \quad (3.3)$$

which implies  $z - 1 < 2(x - 0.83)$ , and then  $z \leq 2x - 1$ . □

**Acknowledgement 1.** *The authors thank the referee for his remarks improving the quality of the paper.*

## References

- [1] M. Alp, N. Irmak, L. Szalay, *Balancing Diophantine triples*, Acta Univ. Sapientiae, 4 (2012), 11-19.
- [2] Y. Bugeaud, A. Dujella, *On a problem of Diophantus for higher powers*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 135 (2003), 1-10.
- [3] R. D. Carmichael, *On the Numeric Factors of the Arithmetic Forms  $\alpha^n \pm \beta^n$* , The Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol 15, No. 1/4 (1913-1914), 30-48.
- [4] A. Dujella, *There are only finitely many Diophantine quintuples*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 566 (2004), 183-214.
- [5] C. Fuch, F. Luca, L. Szalay, *Diophantine triples with values in binary recurrences*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa. Cl. Sci. 5 Vol. VII (2008), 579-608.
- [6] V. E. Hoggat, G. E. Bergum, *A problem of Fermat and Fibonacci sequence*, Fibonacci Quart. 15 (1977), 323-330.
- [7] N. Irmak, L. Szalay, *Diophantine triples and reduced quadruples with binary recurrence  $u_n = Au_{n-1} - u_{n-2}$* , accepted in Glasnik Math.
- [8] F. Luca, L. Szalay, *Fibonacci Diophantine triples*, Glasnik Math., 43 (63) (2008), 253-264.



- [9] F. Luca, L. Szalay, *Lucas Diophantine triples*, INTEGERS, 9 (2009), 441-457.
- [10] G. K. Panda, S. S. Rout, *A class of recurrent sequences exhibiting some exciting properties of Balancing numbers*, World Acad. Sci. Eng. Tech., 61 (2012), 164-166.
- [11] L. Szalay, *Diophantine equations with binary recurrences associated to Brocard-Ramanujan problem*, Portugal. Math., 69 (2012), 213-220.

Murat ALP,  
Department of Mathematics,  
Art and Science Faculty, Niğde University  
51240, Niğde, Turkey.  
Email: muratalp@nigde.edu.tr

Nurettin IRMAK,  
Department of Mathematics,  
Art and Science Faculty, Niğde University  
51240, Niğde, Turkey.  
Email: nirmak@nigde.edu.tr, irmaknurettin@gmail.com

László SZALAY,  
Institute of Mathematics,  
University of West Hungary  
H-9400 Sopron, Ady E. út. 5, Hungary.  
Email: szalay.laszlo@emk.nyme.hu  
<http://titanic.nyme.hu/~laszalay/>

